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## Preface

My master thesis advisor, Prof. Erdoğan ESİN is dead May 16, 2022. In our department, we organized an international geometry symposium on the Zoom application on February 9-10, 2023 in his memory.

Unfortunately, an earthquake with a magnitude of 7.7 occurred at $04: 17$ on February 6,2023 , in the Pazarcık district of Kahramanmaraş in our country three days before the symposium. . Earthquake; It was felt intensely in the surrounding provinces, especially in Kahramanmaraş, Hatay, Osmaniye, Adıyaman, Gaziantep, Şanlıurfa, Diyarbakır, Malatya and Adana. I wish God's mercy on our citizens who died in the earthquake and wish a speedy recovery to the injured people. Our pain as a country is great.

Despite all our angles, we held the symposium on 9-10 February 2023. Geometryists who attended the symposium from abroad and from home shared our pain and expressed their condolences. I would like to thank all the participants who supported us by attending the symposium.

Our symposium invited speaker is Prof. Ryszard DESZCZ wanted to talk at the symposium about his student Şahnur YAPRAK, for whom he was the second advisor for her doctoral thesis. So, we also included Assoc. Dr. Şahnur YAPRAK's life in the symposium. We lost her in a tragic traffic accident on the way back from the symposium in June 1996.

Since the online publication of the symposium proceedings book of the papers presented at the symposium coincides with the 2 nd century of the Republic of Türkiye, I commemorate with respect and gratitude all our Martyrs and Veterans who fought in the War of Independence, especially Mustafa Kemal ATATÜRK.

I would like to thank Lecturer Dr. Anıl ALTINKAYA, who contributed to the preparation of the symposium proceedings book.

The Symposium Organizing Committee would like to thank very much to our Dean Prof. Suat KIYAK and our Rector Prof. Musa YILDIZ for their support at the symposium.

I hope it will be useful to the world of geometry.

## Prof. Aysel TURGUT VANLI

Chairperson of the Symposium Organizing Committee

## Contents

Prof. Aysel TURGUT VANLI (Chairperson of the Symposium Organizing Committee) Opening Speech ..... 1
Prof. A. Duran TÜRKOĞLU (Head of Department of Mathematics)
Opening Speech ..... 3
Prof. Suat KIYAK (Dean of Faculty of Science)
Opening Speech ..... 4
Prof. Erdoğan ESİN
Erdoğan ESİN's Life ..... 6
Elisabetta BARLETTA, Sorin DRAGOMIR and Francesco ESPOSITO Cauchy-Riemann Geometry ..... 16
Ryszard DESZCZ and Małgorzata GLOGOGOWSKA
On Some Generalized Einstein Metric Conditions ..... 31
Adela MIHAI, Marilena JIANU and Leonard DAUS
Surfaces Associated with Pascal and Catalan Triangles ..... 54
Sema SALUR
Geometric Structures on $G_{2}$ Manifolds and Harvey Lawson Submanifolds ..... 65
Chris WOOD
Higher-power harmonicity ..... 77
Aysel TURGUT VANLIRicci Solitons with Concircular and Conformal Killing Potential Vector Fields in ComplexSasakian Manifolds91
Yusuf YAYLI and Mert ÇARBOĞA
Differential Geometry of Umbrella Matrices ..... 101
Assoc. Prof. Şahnur YAPRAK
Şahnur YAPRAK’s Life ..... 108
Prof. Aysel TURGUT VANLI
Closing Speech ..... 115

## $\ell$

Dear Rector

## Dear Dean

Distinguished invited speakers and dear participants

I greet you with respect.

Unfortunately, an earthquake with a magnitude of 7.7 occurred at $04: 17$ on February 6 , 2023, in the Pazarcık district of Kahramanmaraş in our country.

Earthquake; It was felt intensely in the surrounding provinces, especially in Kahramanmaraş, Hatay, Osmaniye, Adıyaman, Gaziantep, Şanlıurfa, Diyarbakır, Malatya and Adana. I wish God's mercy on our citizens who died in the earthquake and wish a speedy recovery to the injured people. Our pain as a country is great.

Thank you very much for your support with your participation in the symposium.

You gave honored us.

The symposium will last for two days. In the symposium, 10 (ten) geometers from 9 (nine) different countries will make presentations as valuable invited speakers. In addition, there will be 6 (six) sessions in the symposium program and a total of 30 ( thirty) presentations will be made. 96 people will participate in the symposium. I believe that the symposium will be beneficial to the scientific world. We organized a symposium in memory of Prof. Erdoğan ESIN.

I want to share my feelings about him. He was my master's thesis advisor. My master's thesis position was determined by my teacher as tangent bundles. I am grateful to my teacher for helping me with my thesis. That's why I am very honored to organize this symposium in memory of Erdoğan ESİN.

He was an idealist scientist who was very meticulous in his scientific studies, examining and questioning everything down to the last detail. Due to the excessive rigor of scientific studies, he published few articles.

However, besides an idealistic humanity, he teaches very well and has very good lecture notes. He used to think of printing these lecture notes as a book, but he couldn't do it.

While our teacher was lecturing, he used to convey his experiences about life beyond mathematics to the students. For this reason, we learned about life in the lessons.

He was a brilliant person. He loved scientific discussions and presented them to academics from different perspectives.

Professor Erdoğan ESİN has given mathematics lessons to thousands of students. He brought 7 scientists to the world of geometry by having 7 master's and 5 doctoral theses in his annual academic life.

We commemorate our teacher and we give our condolences again to his grieving family.

God bless his soul.

I wish you a good symposium.

Kind regards

Organizing Committee Chairperson
Prof. Aysel TURGUT VANLI

## $\ell$

Dear Rector

Dear Dean

Dear guests and invited speakers

I greet you with respect.

Welcome to International Geometry Symposium in Memory of Prof. Erdogan ESİN.

Professor Erdoğan ESİN has worked in our department for 30 years and made a great contribution to the development of our department. He contributed to educational, academical and research activities by raising both undergraduate and graduate students in various universities around Türkiye. My condolences to the grieving family of our valuable professor. May his soul rest in peace.

Also, due to the earthquake disaster that happened on 6th of February, we are in mourning and we wish for the best for all earthquake victims who got hurt or affected in any way.

I hope the symposium to be successful and efficient and I present my thanks to everyone involved in making the symposium a reality including our rectorship, faculty of science dean's office and the organising committee of our department.

Sincerely

Head of Department of Mathematics
Prof. A. Duran TÜRKOĞLU

## $\ell$

Dear Rector

Dear invited speakers and participants
Warm greetings from Türkiye

Sir, I wish God's mercy to our citizens who lost their lives in the earthquake that took place in our country on February 6, 2023. Unfortunately, we have thousands of wounded. I wish them a speedy recovery as well.

Gazi University is a research university and is also one of the largest universities in Türkiye. The mission of research universities is to conduct pioneering studies in the field of fundamental sciences. Gazi University Department of Mathematics is at the forefront of scientific studies in our country. Hence, it has been making significant contributions both within the country and globally that highlight our university's mission of research. Today, I am sharing the excitement of holding the first international geometry symposium by the mathematics department of our faculty. Thank you for participating in the symposium. This symposium will be the initial step in the opening of the Geometry Department abroad. I believe that the symposium will bring great value to geometry with the participant scientists from all over the world.In the honor of Prof. Erdoğan ESİN , I would like to share brief introductory information about.

Prof. Erdoğan ESİN had been in our mathematics department for 30 years that also served in administration of our faculty.

Vice-chairman of the department from 1997 to 2000, Head of the mathematics department from 2000 to 2003, and served as a member of the faculty board between 1992-1993.

He teached in the mathematics department for many years. Today, there are two lecturers and two research assistants in the Geometry Department. Our two professors working in the Geometry Department are students of Prof. Erdoğan ESİN. For this reason, we dedicated the symposium held today to the memory of our teacher.

I wish God's blessings on our teacher and thank him for his contribution to our faculty.

I believe that the symposium will be successful.

I would like to thank Prof. Aysel TURGUT VANLI Chairman of the Organizing Committee, and all the other organizers who contributed to this nice organization.

I respectfully greet the participants.

Prof. Suat KIYAK
Dean of Faculty of Science

## Erdoğan ESİN's Life
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## CAUCHY-RIEMANN GEOMETRY ${ }^{1}$ :AN Introduction to The Main Problems

Elisabetta BARLETTA ${ }^{2}$, Sorin DRAGOMİR ${ }^{3}$ and Francesco ESPOSITO ${ }^{4}$


#### Abstract

The ordinary Cauchy-Riemann system $\bar{\partial} f=0$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}(n \geq 2)$ induces on every real hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations $$
\begin{equation*} \bar{\partial}_{M} u=0 \tag{1} \end{equation*}
$$ (a first order overdetermined PDE system, with variable $C^{\infty}$ coefficients) and every $C^{1}$ solution $u: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to (1) is a $C R$ function on $M$. A CR structure is a recast of (1) as an involutive complex distribution $T_{1,0}(M) \subset T(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, of complex rank $n-1$, and the restriction to $M$ of a holomorphic function (on a neighborhood $\Omega \supset$ $M$ ) is a solution to (1). The $C R$ extension problem is whether a point $x_{0} \in M$ admits a neighborhood $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the restriction morphism $\mathcal{O}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathrm{CR}^{1}(U)$ is an epimorphism (with $U=\Omega \cap M$ ). Given an abstract CR structure $T_{1,0}(M)$ on a real $(2 n-1)$-dimensional manifold (not necessarily embedded into $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ) the $C R$ embedding problem is whether a point $x_{0} \in M$ admits a neighborhood $U \subset M$ and a CR immersion $\Psi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ [so that the portion of $T_{1,0}(M)$ over $U$ is actually induced by the complex structure of the ambient space $\left.\mathbb{C}^{n}\right]$. We review results (old and new) on the two fundamental problems mentioned above, with an emphasis on the differential geometric objects needed in their study (cf. [3] and [1]), and indicate their relationship to mathematical physics (cf. [7], [8], [5], and [6]).


[^0]
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After the publication of the abstract of the present lecture, its objectives appeared as too ambitious for a fifty minutes talk, and the Authors chose to confine the presentation to the CR embedding problem, and relegate other fundamental questions (such as the CR extension problem, or the applications of CR geometry and analysis to theoretical physics) to a further seminar.

## 1. CR structures, the way they occur

Let $M$ be a real $(2 n+k)$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$ manifold, with $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$.

Definition 1. A complex subbundle $\mathcal{H} \subset T(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, of the complexified tangent bundle, of complex rank $n$, is called an almost $C R$ structure ${ }^{5}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{x} \cap \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x}=\{0\}, \quad x \in M \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integer $n$ is the $C R$ dimension, while $k$ is the CR codimension. The pair $(n, k)$ is the type of the given almost CR structure. An almost CR structure $\mathcal{H}$ is formally integrable if for every open set $U \subset M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z, W \in C^{\infty}(U, \mathcal{H}) \Longrightarrow[Z, W] \in C^{\infty}(U, \mathcal{H}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $C R$ structure is a formally integrable almost CR structure. A pair $(M, \mathcal{H})$ consisting of a manifold $M$ and an (almost) CR structure $\mathcal{H}$ is an (almost) CR manifold. Let $(M, \mathcal{H})$ be an almost CR manifold, of type $(n, k)$. Let us consider the first order differential operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\partial}_{M}: C^{1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{*}\right), \\
\left(\bar{\partial}_{M} u\right) \bar{Z}=\bar{Z}(u), \quad u \in C^{1}(M, \mathbb{C}), \quad Z \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{H}) .
\end{gathered}
$$

[^1]Definition 2. $\bar{\partial}_{M}$ is the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}_{M} u=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the tangential $C$-R equations. A $C^{1}$ solution $u$ to (4) is a $C R$ function.
Let us look at a few examples of (almost) CR structures.
1.1 Real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a real hypersurface, and let us set

$$
T_{1,0}(M)_{x}:=\left[T_{x}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right] \cap T^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right)_{x}, \quad x \in M,
$$

where $T^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right)$ is the holomorphic tangent bundle over $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Then $T_{1,0}(M)$ is a CR structure on $M$, of type ( $n, 1$ ). In particular, if $M$ is given by a defining function $\rho \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, with $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ open, i.e.

$$
M=\{x \in \Omega: \rho(x)=0\}, \quad D \rho(x) \neq 0, \quad x \in M,
$$

then the tangential C-R equations on $M$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{L}_{\alpha} u=0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq n, \\
L_{\alpha} \equiv a_{\alpha}^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j}}, \quad a_{\alpha}^{j} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z^{j}}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{n+1}=\left\{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}: \frac{1}{2 i}(\zeta-\bar{\zeta})>|z|^{2}\right\}, \\
z=\left(z^{1}, \cdots, z^{n}\right), \quad|z|^{2}=\delta_{\alpha \beta} z^{\alpha} \bar{z}^{\beta},
\end{gathered}
$$

be the Siegel domain, or generalized upper half plane. Its boundary $\partial \Omega_{n+1}$ is a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, and hence carries the induced CR structure

$$
T_{1,0}\left(\partial \Omega_{n+1}\right) \subset T\left(\partial \Omega_{n+1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .
$$

$T_{1,0}\left(\partial \Omega_{n+1}\right)$ is the span of $\left\{L_{\alpha}: 1 \leq \alpha \leq n\right\}$ where

$$
L_{\alpha} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}}+2 i \bar{z}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta},
$$

hence the tangential C-R equations (on the boundary of the Siegel domain) are

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}^{\alpha}}-2 i z^{\alpha} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{\zeta}}=0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq n .
$$

This is precisely the context in which the tangential C-R equations were discovered by H. Lewy ${ }^{6}$, though confined to the case $n=1$ i.e. on the boundary of the Siegel domain $\Omega_{2} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$. H. Lewy started with the Dirichlet problem for the (ordinary) Cauchy-Riemann equations on the Siegel domain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{\zeta}}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega_{2}  \tag{5}\\
F=u \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega_{2} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the boundary datum $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\partial \Omega_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)$, and posed the problem of the existence of a solution $F \in \mathcal{O}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \cap C^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2}\right)$ [to (5)-(6)] i.e. $F$ is holomorphic in $\Omega_{2}$ and $C^{\infty}$ up to the boundary. It is

[^2]an elementary matter that a necessary condition for such a solution $F$ to exist, is that its boundary values $u$ satisfies the tangential C-R equations on $\partial \Omega_{2}$.

Let $\mathbb{H}_{n}=\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ be the Heisenberg group i.e. the non commutative Lie group $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1} \approx \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the group law

$$
(z, t) \cdot(w, s)=(z+w, t+s+2 \operatorname{Im}(z \cdot \bar{w})), \quad z \cdot \bar{w}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} z^{\alpha} \bar{w}^{\alpha} .
$$

Let us consider the (left invariant) complex vector fields

$$
L_{\alpha} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}}+i \bar{z}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq n
$$

and let $\mathcal{H}$ be the span of the $L_{\alpha}$ 's. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is a CR structure, of type $(n, 1)$, on $\mathbb{H}_{n}$, and the map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi: \mathbb{H}_{n} \rightarrow \partial \Omega_{n+1} \\
\phi(z, t)=\left(z, t-i|z|^{2}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

is a $C R$ isomorphism i.e. a $C^{\infty}$ diffeomorphism preserving the CR structures

$$
\left(d_{x} \phi\right) \mathcal{H}_{x} \subset T_{1,0}\left(\partial \Omega_{n+1}\right)_{\phi(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{H}_{n}
$$

When $n=1$ the differential operator

$$
\bar{L}_{1} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}-i z \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \in C^{\infty}\left(T\left(\mathbb{H}_{n}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

is the Lewy operator.
The Lewy operator is known ${ }^{7}$ to be unsolvable i.e. there exist functions $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{H}_{n}\right)$ such that the equation $\bar{L}_{1} u=f$ possesses no $C^{\infty}$ solution $u$. The unsolvability of the Lewy operator relates Cauchy-Riemann analysis to an important chapter ${ }^{8}$ of the theory of PDEs, and prompted the development of the latter. We shall come back again on the significance of the unsolvability property of $\bar{L}_{1}$, as related to the CR embeddability problem.
1.2 Orbit spaces of null Killing vector fields. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a real $(2 n+2)$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$ manifold, equipped with the Lorentzian metric $g$, and let $N \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{M})$ be a null [i.e. $g(N, N)=0, N_{x} \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{M}]$ Killing (i.e. $\mathcal{L}_{N} g=0$ ) tangent vector field. Let $W$ and $C$ be the Weyl and Cotton tensor fields i.e.

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{i j k \ell}=R_{i j k \ell}-L_{j k} g_{i \ell}-L_{i \ell} g_{j k}+L_{j \ell} g_{i k}+L_{i k} g_{j \ell} \\
C_{j k \ell}=\nabla_{\ell} L_{j k}-\nabla_{k} L_{j \ell}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
L_{j k}=\frac{1}{2 n}\left\{R_{j k}-\frac{R}{2(2 n+1)} g_{j k}\right\},
$$

and let us assume that

$$
N\rfloor W=0, \quad N\rfloor C=0 .
$$

Next, let us consider the differential 1-forms and tangent vector field

$$
\theta, \sigma \in \Omega^{1}(\mathfrak{M}), \quad V \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{M}),
$$

[^3]$$
\theta(X)=g(X, N), \quad \sigma(X)=L(X, N), \quad g(V, X)=\sigma(X) .
$$

Also, let us consider the field of endomorphisms

$$
J: T(\mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow T(\mathfrak{M}), \quad J X=\nabla_{X} N
$$

Then $(J, N, V, \theta, \sigma)$ is an $f$-structure on $\mathfrak{M}$, with two complmented frames, in the sense of D.E. Blair et al. ${ }^{9}$, compatible to the Lorentzian metric $g$ i.e.

$$
g(J X, J Y)=g(X, Y)-\theta(X) \sigma(Y)-\sigma(X) \theta(Y)
$$

If $H=\operatorname{Ker}(\theta) \cap \operatorname{Ker}(\sigma)$ then $J$ descends to a complex structure $J: H \rightarrow H$ and $\operatorname{Spec}\left(J^{\mathbb{C}}\right)=$ $\{ \pm i\}$. The eigenbundle

$$
H^{1,0}=\operatorname{Eigen}\left(J^{\mathbb{C}} ;+i\right) \subset T(\mathfrak{M}) \otimes \mathbb{C}
$$

is an almost CR structure of type $(n, 2)$ on $\mathfrak{M}$, which in general isn't formally integrable ${ }^{10}$. Let $M=\mathfrak{M} / N$ be the orbit space, consisting of the maximal integral curves of $N$, and let us assume that $M$ is a $C^{\infty}$ manifold ${ }^{11}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the foliation of $\mathfrak{M}$ by orbits of $N$, so that $M=\mathfrak{M} / N$ is the leaf space [of the foliated manifold $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{F})$ ]. Then $\theta$ is a basic 1 -form ${ }^{12} \theta \in \Omega_{B}^{1}(\mathcal{F})$ while the Lorentz metric $g$, the endomorphism $J$, and the 1 -form $\sigma$ are invariant under sliding along the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Consequently ${ }^{13}$ the almost CR structure $H^{1,0}$ projects (via $\pi: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow M=\mathfrak{M} / N$ ) on a CR structure $\mathcal{H}$ on $M$, of type $(n, 1)$.
1.3 Bejancu's CR submanifolds. Let $M$ be a submanifold of a Kählerian manifold ( $\tilde{M}, J, \tilde{g})$, where $J$ and $\tilde{g}$ are respectively the complex structure and the Kählerian metric on $N$, and let $g=j^{*} \tilde{g}$ be the first fundamental form of the given immersion $j: M \hookrightarrow \tilde{M}$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a $C^{\infty}$ distribution on $M$.
Definition 3. The pair $(M, \mathcal{D})$ is said to be a $C R$ submanifold ${ }^{14}$ of the Kählerian manifold $(\tilde{M}, J, \tilde{g})$ if
i) $\mathcal{D}$ is $J$-invariant i.e. $J_{x}\left(\mathcal{D}_{x}\right) \subset \mathcal{D}_{x}, x \in M$,
ii) The orthogonal complement $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ in $(T(M), g)$ is $J$-anti-invariant i.e. $J_{x}\left(\mathcal{D}_{x}^{\perp}\right) \subset E(j)_{x}$, $x \in M$, where $E(j) \rightarrow M$ is the normal bundle of the given immersion $j$.
Let $(M, \mathcal{D})$ be a CR submanifold of the Kählerian manifold $(\tilde{M}, J, \tilde{g})$. The complex structure of the ambient space descends to a complex structure $J_{M}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. By a result of D.E. Blair \& B-Y. Chen ${ }^{15}$ the eigenbundle

$$
\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{Eigen}\left(J_{M}^{\mathbb{C}} ;+i\right) \subset T(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}
$$

is a CR structure on $M$, so that $(M, \mathcal{H})$ is a CR manifold of type $(n, k)$, where

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{x}=2 n, \quad \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{x}^{\perp}=k, \quad x \in M
$$

[^4]A program devoted to recovering results in complex analysis, from real hypersurfaces $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ to CR submanifolds of a Hermitian manifold $\tilde{M}$ (perhaps with the ambient space $\tilde{M}$ a Kählerian, or a locally conformal Kähler, manifold) was started by E. Barletta \& S. Dragomir ${ }^{16}$.
1.4. Contact Riemannian manifolds. Let $M$ be a real $(2 n+1)$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$ manifold. The almost contact structure ${ }^{17}(\varphi, \xi, \eta)$ underlying an almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ on $M$ is normal if

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\varphi, \varphi]+2(d \eta) \otimes \xi=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[and normality may be geometrically interpreted as the integrability condition for the almost complex structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$, naturally associated to $(\varphi, \xi, \eta)$ ]. Let us consider the 2 -form $\Phi(X, Y)=g(X, \varphi Y)$. The contact condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \eta=\Phi \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A contact metric structure is an almost contact metric structure obeying to the contact condition (8) $[$ and $(M,(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a contact Riemannian manifold $]$.

Let $(\varphi, \xi, \eta)$ be an almost contact structure on $M$. The restriction $J$ of the endomoprhism $\varphi$ to $\operatorname{Ker}(\eta)$ is a complex structure along $\operatorname{Ker}(\eta)$ and hence

$$
\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{Eigen}\left(J^{\mathbb{C}} ;+i\right) \subset \operatorname{Ker}(\eta) \otimes \mathbb{C}
$$

is an almost CR structure on $M$, of type $(n, 1)$. As observed long ago by S . Ianuş ${ }^{18}$ normality (7) is a sufficient condition for the integrability of $\mathcal{H}$. The converse is however false in general. The integrability of $\mathcal{H}$ on a contact Riemannian manifold was characterized ${ }^{19}$ by the condition $Q=0$ where $Q$ is the Tanno tensor field ${ }^{20}$.

We take the occasion to break a lance in favor of the study of the CR geometry of contact Riemannian manifolds with $Q \neq 0$ i.e. in the absence of integrability.

Of course, as $\mathcal{H}$ is only an almost CR structure, S.M. Webster's theory ${ }^{21}$ doesn't apply (and basic tools in pseudohermitian geometry, such as the Tanaka-Webster connection, the Fefferman metric, the sublaplacian, aren't a priori defined). Nevertheless, as shown by S. Tanno ${ }^{22}$ the wealth of additional geometric structure on a given contact Riemannian manifold exhibits strong formal similarities to the geometric structure of a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold (endowed with a positively oriented contact form) and compensates for the lack of integrability of $\mathcal{H}$, to the point that an analog to the Tanaka-Webster connection (the Tanno connection), and of the sublaplacian (Tanno's second order degenerate elliptic operator $\Delta_{H}$ ), can be built. For instance

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{H} f \equiv \Delta f-\xi(\xi(f)) \\
\Delta f \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{g}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\sqrt{\mathfrak{g}} g^{i j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{j}}\right), \quad f \in C^{2}(M),
\end{gathered}
$$

[^5][ $\Delta$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ ].
As a result, considerations very much like Webster's hold on a contact Riemannian manifold, sort of in the presence of the "ghost" of pseudohermitian geometry. Tanno's pioneering study was carried further by E. Barletta \& S. Dragomir ${ }^{23}$, who proved the subellipticity (of order $\epsilon=1 / 2$ ) of Tanno's sublaplacian $\Delta_{H}$ i.e. $\Delta_{H}$ is formally self-adjoint and ${ }^{24}$
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall x \in M, \quad \exists U \subset M \text { open, } \quad x \in U, \quad \exists C>0, \\
\forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(U): \quad\|f\|_{\epsilon}^{2} \leq C\left\{\left|\left(\Delta_{H} f, f\right)_{L^{2}}\right|+\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

with $\epsilon=1 / 2$, and built a contact Riemannian analog to the Fefferman metric. The same program was further pursued by D.E. Blair \& S. Dragomir ${ }^{25}$. A problem left open there ${ }^{26}$ (that is whether the scalar curvature of the contact Riemannian analog to the Fefferman metric projects on Tanno's scalar curvature) was recently solved by Masayoshi Nagase ${ }^{27}$. A contact Riemannian analog to the Folland-Stein normal coordinates ${ }^{28}$ was devised by S. Dragomir \& D. Perrone ${ }^{29}$. A Bochner-type formula leading to an estimate by below of the first nonzero eigenvalue of Tanno's sublaplacian $\Delta_{H}$ (a contact Riemannian analog to the Lichnerowicz-Obata theorem in Riemannian geometry) was obtained by Feifan Wu \& Wei Wang ${ }^{30}$. It should be mentioned that the result by F. Wu \& W. Wang ${ }^{31}$ is legitimated by the subellipticity of $\Delta_{H}$ (as previously established by E. Barletta \& S. Dragomir ${ }^{32}$ ). Indeed, by a result of A. Menikoff \& J. Sjöstrand ${ }^{33}$ if $M$ is compact then $-\Delta_{H}$ has a discrete spectrum

$$
0<\lambda_{1}<\cdots<\lambda_{\nu}<\cdots \uparrow+\infty .
$$

The same Authors ${ }^{34}$ solved the (contact Riemannian analog to the) CR Yamabe problem. As well as in their previous work on the Lichnerowicz-Obata theorem, W. Wang \& F. Wu focus ${ }^{35}$ on the differential geometric part of the problem ${ }^{36}$.

Among the open problems in almost CR geometry on a contact Riemannian manifold that in the opinion of the present lecturer, ought to be addressed, is building a (contact Riemannian analog to)

[^6]Kohn-Hodge-de Rham theory for the tangential Cauchy-Riemann twisted ${ }^{37}$ complex

$$
C^{\infty}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{M}} \Omega^{0,1}(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{M}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{M}} \Omega^{0, n}(M) \rightarrow 0
$$

[ $\bar{\partial}_{M}^{2} \neq 0$ in general] and its twisted cohomology

$$
H_{\bar{\partial}_{M}}^{0, q}(M)=\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left\{\bar{\partial}_{M}: \Omega^{0, q}(M) \rightarrow \bullet\right\}}{\left[\bar{\partial}_{M} \Omega^{0, q-1}(M)\right] \cap \operatorname{Ker}\left\{\bar{\partial}_{M}: \Omega^{0, q}(M) \rightarrow \bullet\right\}} .
$$

The problem posed will require recovering J.J. Kohn's subelliptic estimates ${ }^{38}$ on a contact Riemannian manifold.

## 2. E.E. Levi convexity

2.1 Levi form. Let $\left(M^{2 n+k}, \mathcal{H}\right)$ be a CR manifold, of type $(n, k)$. Let

$$
\pi_{x}: \mathcal{H}_{x} \rightarrow \frac{T_{x}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}}{\mathcal{H}_{x} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x}}, \quad x \in M,
$$

be the projection. The Levi form is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}_{x}: \mathcal{H}_{x} \rightarrow \frac{T_{x}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}}{\mathcal{H}_{x} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{x}(w)=-\frac{i}{2} \pi_{x}[\bar{L}, L]_{x}, \\
x \in M, \quad w \in \mathcal{H}_{x}, \quad L \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{H}), \quad L_{x}=w .
\end{gathered}
$$

The Levi form is due to E.E. Levi, though confined to (smooth) boundaries of domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$.

Eugenio Elia Levi (1883-1917)

E.E. Levi was born on the 18th of October 1893 in Turin and died in war, shot in the head, at a location near Cormons (Gorizia) on the 28th of October 1917. His death was surely the greatest loss suffered ${ }^{39}$ by the Italian mathematics - and not only - due to the 1914-1918 war. L. completed his university studies at Scuola Normale Superiore of Pisa in 1904 and served there as an assistant of Ulisse Dini. In $1909 \mathbf{L}$. became a professor of infinitesimal analysis at the University of Genova where he remained until he was called for the military service and the successive all too early ending. As F. Tricomi wrote, despite of his premature death (when only 34) L. may be considered (on the basis of the about thirty works he wrote) one of the major Italian mathematicians of the twentieth century. Remarkable are L.'s works on second order elliptic partial differential equations (1907-1908) and also his works on the heat equation and on arguments of variational calculus. L. also has contributions in differential geometry and group theory. L. was a correspondent member of Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei (nominated in 1911).

[^7]In more generality, if $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \mathcal{H}\right)$ is a CR manifold of type $(n, 1)$, then let

$$
H(M)=\operatorname{Re}\{\mathcal{H} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}\}
$$

be the Levi distribution, carrying the complex structure

$$
J: H(M) \rightarrow H(M), \quad J(Z+\bar{Z})=i(Z-\bar{Z}), \quad Z \in \mathcal{H},
$$

and let $H(M)^{\perp} \subset T^{*}(M)$ be the conormal bundle i.e. the real line bundle

$$
H(M)_{x}^{\perp}=\left\{\omega \in T_{x}^{*}(M): \operatorname{Ker}(\omega) \supset H(M)_{x}\right\}, \quad x \in M .
$$

If $M$ is connected and orientable ${ }^{40}$ the conormal bundle is trivial i.e.

$$
H(M)^{\perp} \approx M \times \mathbb{R}
$$

(a vector bundle isomorphism) so that $H(M)^{\perp}$ admits globally defined, nowhere zero, $C^{\infty}$ cross sections $\theta \in C^{\infty}\left(H(M)^{\perp}\right)$, each of which is commonly referred to as a pseudohermitian structure on $M$. A pseudohermitian structure is then a differential 1-form $\theta \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ such that $\operatorname{Ker}(\theta)=$ $H(M)$. Let $\mathcal{P}(M, \mathcal{H})$ be the space of all pseudohermitian structures on $M$. For every $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(M, \mathcal{H})$ we consider the field of bilinear forms

$$
G_{\theta}(X, Y)=(d \theta)(X, J Y), \quad X, Y \in H(M)
$$

As a consequence of formal integrability $G_{\theta}(J X, J Y)=G_{\theta}(X, Y)$. The CR structure $\mathcal{H}$ is nondegenerate if $G_{\theta}$ is nondegenerate for some $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(M, \mathcal{H})$ (and thus for all). Also $\mathcal{H}$ is strictly pseudoconvex if $G_{\theta}$ is positive definite, for some $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(M, \mathcal{H})$. When $\mathcal{H}$ is strictly pseudoconvex, one denotes by $\mathcal{P}_{+}(M, \mathcal{H})$ the set of all positively oriented contact forms $\theta$ i.e. such that $G_{\theta}$ is positive definite. Next

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi_{x}: \frac{T_{x}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}}{\mathcal{H}_{x} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x}} \rightarrow H(M)_{x}^{\perp} \\
\Phi_{x}\left(\pi_{x}(w)\right):=\theta_{x}(w) \theta_{x}, \quad w \in T_{x}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \quad x \in M
\end{gathered}
$$

is a vector bundle isomorphism

$$
\Phi: \frac{T(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}}{\mathcal{H} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}} \approx H(M)^{\perp}
$$

and ${ }^{41}$

$$
\Phi_{x} \mathcal{L}_{x}(w)=G_{\theta, x}(w, \bar{w})
$$

for any $w \in \mathcal{H}_{x}$. One then legitimately refers to $G_{\theta}$, or its $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension to $H(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, as the Levi form.

If $\Omega=\{\rho>0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is a domain with smooth boundary $M=\partial \Omega=\{\rho=0\}$, the Levi invariant of $M$ is

$$
\mathcal{L}(\rho):=2 G_{\theta}(L, \bar{L})=\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \rho}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}} \lambda_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{k}, \quad \lambda_{1}=\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_{2}}, \quad \lambda_{2}=-\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_{1}},
$$

[^8]$$
\theta=\mathbf{j}^{*}\left\{\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\partial}-\partial) \rho\right\}, \mathbf{j}_{*} L=-\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}+\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}, \mathbf{j}: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

This is precisely how E.E. Levi introduced ${ }^{42}$ the Levi form. We end this section by presenting a simple result due to L . Amoroso ${ }^{43}$ demonstrating the Levi form at work i.e. illustrating the influence of the properties of the Levi form of the boundary of a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ on the analysis of pluriharmonic functions in $\Omega$.

A $C^{2}$ function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is pluriharmonic if $\partial \bar{\partial} u=0$ in $\Omega$.
The real part $u=\frac{1}{2}(f+\bar{f})$ of a function $f$, which is holomorphic in $\Omega$, is pluriharmonic. If $\Omega$ is simply connected the converse is true i.e. any pluriharmonic function is the real part of some function holomorphic in $\Omega$. Here we deal with the classical problem to find necessary and sufficient conditions on a function $u$ defined on the boundary of $\Omega$ such that $u$ is the boundary values of a pluriharmonic function.

A linear partial differential operator $P$, of order $m$, whose coefficients are continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$, is said to be tangential to $\partial \Omega$ if $P u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ for any $u \in C^{m}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ which satisfies $\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$.
L. Amoroso described ${ }^{44}$ boundary values of pluriharmonic functions in terms of the Levi invariant.

Theorem 1. Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ admits a defining function $\rho \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\rho) \neq 0$ everywhere on $\partial \Omega$. Then there is a tangential (relative to $\partial \Omega$ ) second order linear differential operator $D$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\rho)} D u, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ which is pluriharmonic in $\Omega$.
Of course the Levi invariant makes sense for every 3 -dimensional CR manifold $(M, \mathcal{H})$, equipped with a pseudohermitian structure $\theta$, and the prescription "Levi invariant $\neq 0$ " is that $\mathcal{H}$ be nondegenerate.
2.2. Levi flat CR manifolds. A CR manifold $\left(M^{2 n+k}, \mathcal{H}\right)$, of type $(n, k)$, is Levi flat if $\mathcal{L}=0$. By a result of T. Levi-Civita ${ }^{45}$

Theorem 2. Every Levi flat CR manifold $\left(M^{2 n+k}, \mathcal{H}\right)$ of type $(n, k)$ is foliated by complex $n$ dimensional manifolds.

The nowadays proof of Theorem is to observe that $(M, \mathcal{H})$ is Levi flat if and only if $H(M)$ is a completely integrable Pfaffian system, and hence a Levi flat manifold carries a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ by real $2 n$ dimensional submanifolds. On the other hand, the complex structure $J: H(M) \rightarrow$ $H(M)$ determines an almost complex structure on every leaf $S \in M / \mathcal{F}$, and one may apply the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem ${ }^{46}$ to that leaf.

[^9]Let $(M, \mathcal{H})$ be a Levi-flat CR manifold of type $(n, 1)$, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the codimension one foliation of $M$ tangent to $H(M)$. Then every local defining submersion of $\mathcal{F}$ is a real valued CR function on $M$. This exhibits a limit to the analogy between holomorphic functions and CR functions, as any real valued holomorphic function is a constant while the same is true for real valued CR functions only on a nondegenerate CR manifold.
2.3 Nondegenerate CR manifolds. Let $(M, \mathcal{H})$ be a CR manifold, of type $(n, 1)$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is nondegenerate then every pseudohermitian structure $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(M, \mathcal{H})$ is a contact structure on $M$ i.e. $\theta \wedge(d \theta)^{n}$ is a volume form. As shown by S.M. Webster ${ }^{47}$ every nondegenerate CR manifold $(M, \mathcal{H})$, on which a contact form $\theta$ has been fixed, admits a rich geometric structure e.g. a semiRiemannian metric $g_{\theta}$ given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{\theta}=G_{\theta} \quad \text { on } H(M) \otimes H(M), \\
g_{\theta}(X, T)=0, \quad g_{\theta}(T, T)=1, \quad X \in H(M), \\
T \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad \theta(T)=1, \quad T\rfloor d \theta=0
\end{gathered}
$$

[ $g_{\theta}$ is the Webster metric, and $T$ is the Reeb vector field of $(M, \theta)$ ] which is Riemannian when $\mathcal{H}$ is strictly pseudoconvex and $\theta$ is positively oriented. An adapted linear connection $\nabla$ (the TanakaWebster connection, similar to both the Levi-Civita connection of a Riemannian manifold, and the Chern connection of a Hermitian manifold), parallelizing the Levi distribution $H(M)$, its complex structure $J$, and the Webster metric $g_{\theta}$, may then be built

$$
\begin{gathered}
X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad Y \in C^{\infty}(H(M)) \Longrightarrow \nabla_{X} Y \in C^{\infty}(H(M)), \\
\nabla J=0, \quad \nabla g_{\theta}=0, \\
\operatorname{Tor}_{\nabla}(Z, W)=0, \quad \operatorname{Tor}_{\nabla}(Z, \bar{W})=2 i G_{\theta}(Z, \bar{W}), \\
\tau \circ J+J \circ \tau=0, \quad \tau(X):=\operatorname{Tor}_{\nabla}(T, X), \\
Z, W \in \mathcal{H}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Also, if $\mathcal{H}$ is strictly pseudoconvex then the total space of the canonical circle bundle $S^{1} \rightarrow$ $C(M) \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ carries a natural Lorentzian metric $F_{\theta} \in \operatorname{Lor}(C(M))$ (the Fefferman metric) such that the projection $\pi:\left(C(M), F_{\theta}\right) \rightarrow\left(M, g_{\theta}\right)$ enjoys properties similar to those of a semiRiemannian submersion, though having degenerate fibers.

## 3. CR embeddability problem

Let $(M, \mathcal{H})$ be a CR manifold, of type $(n, 1)$.
Definition 4. The local CR embeddability problem is whether, given a point $x \in M$, there is an open neighborhood $x \in U \subset M$ and a $C^{\infty}$ immersion $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that $\phi: U \rightarrow$ $N:=\phi(U)$ is a CR isomorphism of the CR manifolds $\left(U, \mathcal{H}_{U}\right)$ and $\left(N, T_{1,0}(N)\right)$. The global CR embeddability problem is whether a globally defined $C^{\infty}$ immersion $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ of the sort ${ }^{48}$ exists. Let $x \in M$ and let us assume that the local CR embeddability problem has solution i.e. there is a $C^{\infty}$ immersion $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, as in the previous definition. Let $\left\{L_{\alpha}: 1 \leq \alpha \leq n\right\}$ be a local frame of $\mathcal{H}$, about ${ }^{49}$ the point $x$. Then

$$
\phi_{*} L_{\alpha} \in \phi^{-1} T_{1,0}(N) \subset \phi^{-1} T^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right),
$$

[^10]$$
\phi_{*} L_{\alpha}=L_{\alpha}\left(\phi^{j}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j}}\right)^{\phi}+L_{\alpha}\left(\overline{\phi^{j}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{j}}\right)^{\phi},
$$
hence
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{L}_{\alpha}\left(\phi^{j}\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

i.e. $\phi^{j}$ are CR functions on $U$. So the local CR embeddability problem is to produce enough functionally independent solutions $\phi^{j}$ to the tangential C-R equations $\bar{L}_{\alpha} u=0$ [so that to build a CR immersion $\phi=\left(\phi^{1}, \cdots, \phi^{n+1}\right): U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ]. However, the innocent looking like (local) CR embeddability problem ${ }^{50}$ turns out to be quite intricate, and cannot be solved in general, that is examples of CR manifolds which aren't locally embeddable do exist, as we shall shortly see.

By a (classical) result of A. Andreotti \& C.D. Hill ${ }^{51}$ any real analytic CR manifold is locally embeddable. In the $C^{\infty}$ category the CR embedding problem was largely solved by M. Kuranishi ${ }^{52}$, who showed that every strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold of real dimension $2 n+1 \geq 9$ is locally embeddable in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. T. Akahori settled ${ }^{53}$ the question in dimension 7.
3.1. Nirenberg's non embeddable example. Let $\mathbb{H}_{1}=\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group, with the CR structure spanned by $L \equiv \partial / \partial z+i \bar{z} \partial / \partial t$. L. Nirenberg built ${ }^{54}$ a function $\varphi \in$ $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{H}_{1}\right)$ such that the CR structure spanned by $L+\varphi \partial / \partial t$ isn't embeddable in any neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{H}_{1}$. The proof is to show that no pair of CR functions $\left\{u^{1}, u^{2}\right\}$ defined in a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{H}_{1}$ are functionally independent, so that there is no CR immersion $\left(u^{1}, u^{2}\right)$ : $U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$. Nirenberg's argument is to consider a sequence of open solid tori $T_{\delta_{\nu}} \subset \mathbb{H}_{1}$ tending to the origin $T_{\delta_{\nu}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, together with a choice of $\varphi$ such that $\varphi>0$ on each $T_{\delta_{\nu}}$ and $\varphi=0$ outside $\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} T_{\delta_{\nu}}$. Then

$$
\int_{\phi\left(\partial T_{\delta_{\nu}}\right)}\left(u \circ \phi^{-1}\right) d w \wedge d z=0, \quad \nu \geq 1
$$

for any CR function $u: \mathbb{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, of class $C^{1}$, with respect to the new CR structure $\mathcal{H}(\varphi)$, thus yielding (by Stokes' theorem)

$$
\int_{T_{\delta_{\nu}}} \varphi \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} d t \wedge d z \wedge d \bar{z}=0
$$

This shows that $(\partial u / \partial t)_{0}=0$ and then $(\partial u / \partial \bar{z})_{0}=0$, because $u$ is a CR function with respect to the canonical CR structure $\mathcal{H}(0)$ wherever $\varphi=0$. Consequently $\left(d u^{1} \wedge d u^{2}\right)_{0}=0$ for any pair of CR functions $u^{j}$ on $\left(\mathbb{H}_{1}, \mathcal{H}(\varphi)\right)$ so that $\left(u^{1}, u^{2}\right): U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ fails to be an immersion (for an arbitrary neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{H}_{1}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{H}_{1}$ ).
L. Nirenberg's non embeddable example was generalized to the vector valued case (i.e. for CR functions $u: U \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, with values in a complex Fréchet space, of Theodoresco class $B^{1}$ ) by E. Barletta et al. ${ }^{55}$.

[^11]It is unknown whether Nirenberg's construction admits a several complex variables version (on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{n}$ with $n \geq 2$ ).

Another open problem is to transplant Nirenberg's construction to compact quotients $\mathbb{H}_{1} / G(s)$, $0<s<1$, by properly discontinuous actions [ $G(s)=\left\{\delta_{s}^{m}: m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is the discrete group generated by the parabolic dilation $\left.\delta_{s}(z, t)=\left(s z, s^{2} t\right),(z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_{1}\right]$ as considered by S. Dragomir ${ }^{56}$ for different purposes ${ }^{57}$.
3.2. Hill's example versus the unsolvability of the Lewy operator. Let $\bar{L} \equiv \partial / \partial \bar{z}-i z \partial t$ be the Lewy operator and let us consider the first order PDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{L} \chi=\omega \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega: \mathbb{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function.
Definition 5. We say (11) is solvable at a point $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{H}_{1}$ if there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{H}_{1}$ such that $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in U$ and there is a $C^{\infty}$ function $\chi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\bar{L} \chi=\omega$ on $U$.
Let $M=\mathbb{H}_{1} \times \mathbb{C}$ endowed with the Cartesian coordinates $(z, t, \zeta)$, and let us consider the complex vector fields

$$
P, Q \in C^{\infty}(T(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}), \quad P \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}}, \quad Q \equiv \bar{L}+\omega(z, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} .
$$

Then $\{P, Q\}$ span a CR structure $\mathcal{H}_{\omega}$ on $M$, of type $(2,1)$. By a result of C.D. Hill ${ }^{58}$ the CR structure $\mathcal{H}_{\omega}$ is locally embeddable at $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}, \zeta_{0}\right) \in M$ if and only if (11) is solvable at $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ [hence the unsolvability of the Lewy operator (the unsolvability of (11) for non real analytic choices of $\omega$ ) produces examples of 5 -dimensional CR manifolds $M$ which aren't locally embeddable].

We ought to recall that Lewy's proof ${ }^{59}$ of the unsolvability of $\bar{L}$ is merely that the solvability of $\bar{L} \chi=\omega$ at a point requires that $\omega$ be real analytic at that point. There is however much more ${ }^{60}$ in the work by H. Lewy ${ }^{61}$ i.e. one builds a family $\left\{\omega_{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon \in B \backslash E}$ of "free terms" (in fact, Baire category ${ }^{62}$ many) such that the equation $\bar{L} \chi=\omega_{\epsilon}$ has no solution.

Precisely, let $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be a real valued, periodic, function that isn't real analytic at any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, let $Q_{\nu}=\left(\xi_{\nu}, \eta_{\nu}, \zeta_{\nu}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a sequence of points, which is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, and let us set

$$
c_{\nu}=2^{-\nu} \exp \left(-\rho_{\nu}\right), \quad \rho_{\nu}=\left|\xi_{\nu}\right|+\left|\eta_{\nu}\right|
$$

Also, let $B$ be the Banach space of all bounded infinite sequences $\epsilon=\left\{\epsilon_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu \geq 1}$ of real numbers $\epsilon_{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}$, with the norm $\|\epsilon\|=\sup _{\nu \geq 1}\left|\epsilon_{\nu}\right|$. The announced functions $\omega_{\epsilon}$ are the sums of the series

$$
\omega_{\epsilon}(x, y, t)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{\nu} c_{\nu} \psi^{\prime}\left(t-2 \eta_{\nu} x+2 \xi_{j} y\right), \quad \epsilon \in B
$$

[^12]Let us explain the rather vague "Baire category" statement, used by us above. To this end, let $\Omega_{\nu, n}=B_{1 / \sqrt{n}}\left(Q_{\nu}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the ball of center $Q_{\nu}=\left(\xi_{\nu}, \eta_{\nu}, \zeta_{\nu}\right)$ and radius $1 / \sqrt{n}$. Next, let $E_{\nu, n} \subset B$ consist of all $\epsilon \in B$ for which there is a solution $\chi \in C^{1}\left(\Omega_{\nu, n}\right)$ to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{L} \chi=\omega_{\epsilon} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that ${ }^{63}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi\left(Q_{\nu}\right)=0  \tag{13}\\
\left|D^{\alpha} \chi(P)\right| \leq n, \quad|\alpha| \leq 1, \quad P \in \Omega_{\nu, n}  \tag{14}\\
\left|D^{\alpha} \chi(P)-D^{\alpha} \chi(Q)\right| \leq n|P-Q|^{1 / n}  \tag{15}\\
|\alpha|=1, \quad P, Q \in \Omega_{\nu, n}
\end{gather*}
$$

By a result of H . Lewy ${ }^{64}$ the sets $E_{\nu, n}$ are closed subsets of $B$ that are nowhere dense ${ }^{65}$. By Baire's Theorem $B$ is a set of the second category in itself, hence the inclusion

$$
E:=\bigcup_{\substack{\nu \geq 1 \\ \\ \\ n \geq 1}} E_{\nu, n} \subset B
$$

is strict. By Hill's result ${ }^{66}$ for every $\epsilon \in B \backslash E$ the CR structure $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_{\epsilon}}$ isn't locally embeddable at any of the points $Q_{\nu}, \nu \geq 1$.

No extension of C.D. Hill's result ${ }^{67}$ to the vector valued case is known, so far. C.D. Hill's example ought to be revisited (in the vector valued setting), as prompted by the discussion of Lewy's unsolvability phenomenon due to E. Barletta \& S. Dragomir ${ }^{68}$.
3.3. Rossi's spheres. Let $S^{3}=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: z \bar{z}+w \bar{w}=1\right\}$. The canonical CR structure

$$
T_{1,0}\left(S^{3}\right)=\left[T\left(S^{3}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}\right] \cap T^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)
$$

is the span of $L_{0} \equiv \bar{w} \partial / \partial z-\bar{z} \partial / \partial w$. Let us consider the first order differential operators

$$
L_{t}=L_{0}+t \bar{L}_{0}, \quad|t|<1,
$$

and let $\mathcal{H}(t)$ be the CR structure on $S^{3}$ spanned by $L_{t}$, so that $\left(S^{3}, \mathcal{H}(t)\right)$ with $|t|<1, t \neq 0$, are the Rossi spheres ${ }^{69}$, known to be non globally embeddable i.e. there is no CR isomorphism $\phi:\left(S^{3}, \mathcal{H}(t)\right) \rightarrow N$ of a Rossi sphere onto a real hypersurface $N \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$.

Given a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold $M$, endowed with a positively oriented contact form, E. Barletta et al. ${ }^{70}$ introduced a natural weakening of the global CR embeddability problem, seeking for a at least $K$-quasiconformal map $\phi: M \rightarrow N \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ i.e. a contact transformation

$$
\left(d_{x} \phi\right) H\left(S^{3}\right)_{x}=H(N)_{\phi(x)}, \quad x \in S^{3},
$$

[^13]such that for any $X \in H\left(S^{3}\right)$
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{K} G_{\theta, \mathcal{H}(t)}(X, X) \leq \frac{G_{\Theta}^{\phi}\left(\phi_{*} X, \phi_{*} X\right)}{\lambda(f ; \theta, \Theta)} \leq K G_{\theta, \mathcal{H}(t)}(X, X) \\
\theta=\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\partial}-\partial)\left(|z|^{2}+|w|^{2}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{+}\left(S^{3}, T_{1,0}\left(S^{3}\right)\right)=\mathcal{P}_{+}\left(S^{3}, \mathcal{H}(t)\right), \\
\Theta \in \mathcal{P}_{+}\left(N, T_{1,0}(N)\right), \quad \phi^{*} \Theta=\lambda(f ; \theta, \Theta) \theta,
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

the finding of which amounts to solving the Beltrami equations, as derived by A. Korányi \& H.M. Reimann ${ }^{71}$. When $M \in\left\{\left(S^{3}, \mathcal{H}(t)\right):|t|<1, \quad t \neq 0\right\}$ E. Barletta et al. ${ }^{72}$ solved the Beltrami equations

$$
\bar{L}_{t}(g)=\mu(\cdot, t) L_{t}(g)
$$

on $S^{3}$ [by using the Greiner-Kohn-Stein solution to the Lewy equation, and the Bargmann representations of the Heisenberg group] for Sobolev-type solutions $g_{t}$ such that $g_{t}-v \in W_{F}^{1,2}\left(S^{3}, \theta\right)$ with $v \in \mathrm{CR}^{\infty}\left(S^{3}, \mathcal{H}(0)\right)$. The weakened CR embeddability problem, as proposed by E. Barletta et al. ${ }^{73}$, is otherwise open.

[^14]Proceedings of the International Geometry Symposium in Memory of Prof. Erdogan ESiN 9-10 February 2023

# On Some Generalized Einstein Metric Conditions 

Ryszard DESZCZ ${ }^{1}$ and Malgorzata GLOGOWSKA ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1,2}$ Department of Applied Mathematics, Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Grunwaldzka 53, 50-357<br>Wrocław, POLAND, e-mail: ryszard.deszcz@upwr.edu.pl ${ }^{1}$, malgorzata.glogowska@upwr.edu.pl ${ }^{2}$


#### Abstract

Let $(M, g)$ be a semi-Riemannian manifold. We denote by $g, R, S, \kappa$ and $C$ the metric tensor, the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor, the scalar curvature and the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of ( $M, g$ ), respectively. Using these tensors we can define the $(0,6)$-tensors $R \cdot R, R \cdot C, C \cdot R, C \cdot C$ and $Q(A, T)$, where $A$ is a symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensor and $T$ a generalized curvature tensor (see, e.g., [32], [35] and [48]). A semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), \operatorname{dim} M=n \geq 2$, is said to be an Einstein manifold [4], or an Einstein space, if at every point of $M$ its Ricci tensor $S$ is proportional to $g$, i.e., $S=(\kappa / n) g$ on $M$, assuming that $\kappa$ is constant when $n=2$. According to [4, p. 432], this condition is called the Einstein metric condition. Einstein manifolds form a natural subclass of several classes of semi-Riemannian manifolds which are determined by curvature conditions imposed on their Ricci tensor [4, Table, pp. 432-433]. These conditions are called generalized Einstein metric conditions [4, Chapter XVI]. The tensor $R \cdot C-C \cdot R$ of every semi-Riemannian Einstein manifold ( $M, g$ ), $n \geq 4$, satisfies the following identities [44, Theorem 3.1] (see also [29, p. 100001-1] and


 [48, p. 107])$$
\begin{align*}
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=\frac{\kappa}{(n-1) n} Q(g, R) & =\frac{\kappa}{(n-1) n} Q(g, C) \\
& =\frac{1}{n-1} Q(S, R) \\
& =\frac{1}{n-1} Q(S, C), \\
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=\frac{\kappa}{n-1} Q(g, C)-Q(S, C) & =Q\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} g-S, C\right) . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

We can express the tensor $R \cdot C-C \cdot R$ of some non-Einstein and non-conformally flat semi-Riemannian manifolds $(M, g)$, $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 4$, as a linear combination of
(0,6)-Tachibana tensors $Q(A, T)$, e.g., $A=g$ or $A=S$ and $T=R$ or $T=C$. These conditions form a family of generalized Einstein metric conditions. SemiRiemannian manifolds, and in particular hypersurfaces isometrically immersed in spaces of constant curvature, satisfying such conditions were investigated in several papers, see, e.g., [2, 12, 28, 32, 34, 35, 45, 48]. We refer to [26] (see also [29]) for a survey of results on manifolds (hypersurfaces) satisfying such conditions.

If a non-quasi-Einstein and non-conformally flat semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geq 4$, satisfies the following two curvature conditions of pseudosymmetry type: $R \cdot R=L_{1} Q(g, R)$ and $C \cdot C=L_{2} Q(g, C)$, where $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are some functions, then the curvature tensor $R$ is a linear combination of the Kulkarni-Nomizu products formed by the metric tensor $g$ and the Ricci tensor $S$ [53, Theorem 3.1, Teorem 3.2 (ii)]. A non-quasi-Einstein and non-conformally flat semi-Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ), $n \geq 4$, with curvature tensor $R$ expressed by the above-mentioned linear combination of the Kulkarni-Nomizu products is called a Roter type manifold, or a Roter manifold, or a Roter space (see, e.g., [10, Section 15.5], [26, 32, 35], [48, Section 4]). Every Roter space satisfies (1) (see, e.g., [32, Proposition 3.3], [35, Theorem 2.4 (ii)]).

Let $M$, $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 4$, be a hypersurface isometrically immersed in a space of constant curvature such that at every point $M$ has exactly two distinct principal curatures, $\lambda_{1}$ with multiplicity $p$ and $\lambda_{2}$ with multiplicity $n-p, 2 \leq p \leq n-2$. If $\left(p_{1}-1\right) \lambda_{1}+(n-p-1) \lambda_{2} \neq 0$, then $M$ is a Roter space, and in a consequence (1) holds on $M$ [27, Theorem 3.3].

Let $M$, $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 4$, be a hypersurface isometrically immersed in a space of constant curvature such that at every point $M$ has exactly three distinct principal curatures. If the condition $R \cdot C-C \cdot R=Q(g, T)$, where $T$ is a generalized curvature tensor, is satisfied on $M$, then the tensor $T$ is a linear combination of the curvature tensor $R$ and Kulkarni-Nomizu products formed by the metric tensor $g$, the Ricci tensor $S$ and its square $S^{2}$ (cf. [34, Theorem 5.2]).

If at every point of a non-quasi-Einstein and non-conformally flat hypersurface $M$, $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 4$, isometrically immersed in a semi-Riemannian space of constant curvature the tensor $R \cdot C-C \cdot R$ is a linear combination of the tensors $Q(g, C)$ and $Q(S, C)$, then (1) holds on $M$ [35, Theorem 5.4].

## 1. Basic formulas

Let $(M, g)$ be a connected $n$-dimensional, $n=\operatorname{dim} M \geq 3$, semi-Riemannian manifold of class $C^{\infty}$ and $\nabla$ its Levi-Civita connection. We define on $M$ the endomorphisms $X \wedge_{A} Y, \mathcal{R}(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(X \wedge_{A} Y\right) Z & =A(Y, Z) X-A(X, Z) Y \\
\mathcal{R}(X, Y) Z & =\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \\
\mathcal{C}(X, Y) & =\mathcal{R}(X, Y)-\frac{1}{n-2}\left(X \wedge_{g} \mathcal{S} Y+\mathcal{S} X \wedge_{g} Y\right)-\frac{\kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)} X \wedge_{g} Y,
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, where $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the Lie algebra of vector fields of $M, X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M), A$ a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor, $S$ the Ricci tensor, $\mathcal{S}$ the Ricci operator,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(X, Y) & =\operatorname{tr}\{Z \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(Z, X) Y\} \\
g(\mathcal{S} X, Y) & =S(X, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\kappa=\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{S}$ the scalar curvature. The Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor $R$, the Weyl conformal curvature tensor $C$ and the ( 0,4 )-tensor $G$ of $(M, g)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)=g\left(\mathcal{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, X_{4}\right), \\
& C\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)=g\left(\mathcal{C}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, X_{4}\right) \\
= & R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)-\frac{1}{n-2}\left(g\left(X_{1}, X_{4}\right) S\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right)-g\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right) S\left(X_{2}, X_{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+g\left(X_{1}, X_{4}\right) S\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right)-g\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right) S\left(X_{2}, X_{4}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{\kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)}\left(g\left(X_{1}, X_{4}\right) g\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right)-g\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right) g\left(X_{2}, X_{4}\right)\right), \\
& G\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)=g\left(\left(X_{1} \wedge_{g} X_{2}\right) X_{3}, X_{4}\right) \\
= & g\left(X_{1}, X_{4}\right) g\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right)-g\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right) g\left(X_{2}, X_{4}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, where $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{4} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
For symmetric (0,2)-tensors $E$ and $F$ we define their Kulkarni-Nomizu product $E \wedge F$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(E \wedge F)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)= & E\left(X_{1}, X_{4}\right) F\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right)+E\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right) F\left(X_{1}, X_{4}\right) \\
& -E\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right) F\left(X_{2}, X_{4}\right)-E\left(X_{2}, X_{4}\right) F\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{4} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Now we can express the Weyl conformal curvature tensor $C$ by

$$
C=R-\frac{1}{n-2} g \wedge S+\frac{\kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)} G, \quad G=\frac{1}{2} g \wedge g .
$$

For a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor $E$ and an $(0, k)$-tensor $T, k \geq 3$, we define their Kulkarni-Nomizu product $E \wedge T$ by (see, e.g., [24])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (E \wedge T)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, Y_{3}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right) \\
=\quad & E\left(X_{1}, X_{4}\right) T\left(X_{2}, X_{3}, Y_{3}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)+E\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right) T\left(X_{1}, X_{4}, Y_{3}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right) \\
& -E\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right) T\left(X_{2}, X_{4}, Y_{3}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)-E\left(X_{2}, X_{4}\right) T\left(X_{1}, X_{3}, Y_{3}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{4}, Y_{3}, \ldots, Y_{k} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
For a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor $A$ and a $(0, k)$-tensor $T, k \geq 1$, we define the $(0, k+2)$-tensors $R \cdot T$, $C \cdot T$ and $Q(A, T)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (R \cdot T)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k} ; X, Y\right)=(\mathcal{R}(X, Y) \cdot T)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \\
= & -T\left(\mathcal{R}(X, Y) X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)-\cdots-T\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}, \mathcal{R}(X, Y) X_{k}\right), \\
& (C \cdot T)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k} ; X, Y\right)=(\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \cdot T)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \\
= & -T\left(\mathcal{C}(X, Y) X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)-\cdots-T\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}, \mathcal{C}(X, Y) X_{k}\right), \\
& Q(A, T)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k} ; X, Y\right)=\left(\left(X \wedge_{A} Y\right) \cdot T\right)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \\
= & -T\left(\left(X \wedge_{A} Y\right) X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)-\cdots-T\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1},\left(X \wedge_{A} Y\right) X_{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. Setting in the above formulas $T=R, T=S, T=C, A=g$ or $A=S$ we obtain the tensors: $R \cdot R, R \cdot C, C \cdot R, C \cdot C, R \cdot S$ and $C \cdot S$, and $Q(g, R), Q(g, C), Q(S, R), Q(S, C)$ and $Q(g, S)$.

Let $A$ be a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor and $T$ a $(0, k)$-tensor. The tensor $Q(A, T)$ is called the Tachibana tensor of $A$ and $T$, or, briefly, the Tachibana tensor [34]. We like to point out that in some papers the tensor $Q(g, R)$ is called the Tachibana tensor (see, e.g., [60, 62, 63]).

Let $(M, g), n \geq 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold. We define the following subsets of $M: \mathcal{U}_{R}=$ $\left\{x \in M \left\lvert\, R \neq \frac{\kappa}{2(n-1) n} g \wedge g\right.\right.$ at $\left.x\right\}, \mathcal{U}_{S}=\left\{x \in M \left\lvert\, S \neq \frac{\kappa}{n} g\right.\right.$ at $\left.x\right\}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{C}=\{x \in M \mid C \neq 0$ at $x\}$. We note that (see, e.g., [25, p. 151])

$$
\mathcal{U}_{S} \cup \mathcal{U}_{C}=\mathcal{U}_{R}
$$

## 2. Pseudosymmetric, Ricci-pseudosymmetric and Weyl-pseudosymmetric manifolds

A semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geq 3$, is said to be pseudosymmetric if at every point of $M$ the tensors $R \cdot R$ and $Q(g, R)$ are linearly dependent (see, e.g., [1, 11, 19, 36, 39, 71, 82]). The manifold $(M, g)$ is pseudosymmetric if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot R=L_{R} Q(g, R) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{R}$, where $L_{R}$ is some function on this set. Every semisymmetric manifold ( $R \cdot R=0[79,80$, 81]) is pseudosymmetric. The converse statement is not true.

We mention that pseudosymmetric manifolds admitting concircular vector fields were investigated in [36].

It is well-known that the Schwarzschild spacetime was discovered in 1916 by Schwarzschild and independently by Droste during their study on solutions of Einstein's equations (see, e.g., [74]). That spacetime is a non-semisymmetric, pseudosymmetric spacetime [50]. It seems that the Schwarzschild spacetime, the Kottler spacetime, the Reissner-Nordström spacetime, as well as some FLRW spacetimes (Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker spacetimes) are the "oldest" examples of non-semisymmetric pseudosymmetric warped product manifolds (cf. [39, 59]).

It is known that hypersurfaces isometrically immersed in spaces of constant curvature with exactly two distinct principal curvatures at every point are pseudosymmetric [51]. Thus in particular, quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces isometrically immersed in spaces of constant curvature are pseudosymmetric (see, e.g., [39]). We also note that in [57] a special subclass of semisymmetric warped products was investigated. Among other things it was proved (see the proof of Lemma 3 of [57]) that the fiber ( $\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$ with $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{N} \geq 3$, of a semisymmetric warped product manifold $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$ satisfies (1).

According to [68] (see also [69, 70]), a pseudosymmetric manifold ( $M, g$ ), $n \geq 3$, ( $R \cdot R=$ $L_{R} Q(g, R)$ ) is said to be pseudosymmetric space of constant type if the function $L_{R}$ is constant on $\mathcal{U}_{R} \subset M$.

Theorem 2.1(cf. [15]) Every type number two hypersurface $M$ isometrically immersed in a semiRiemannian space of constant curvature $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 3$, is a pseudosymmetric space of constant type. Precisely,

$$
R \cdot R=\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} Q(g, R)
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{R} \subset M$, where $\widetilde{\kappa}$ is the scalar curvature of the ambient space.
A semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geq 3$, is said to be Ricci-pseudosymmetric if at every point of $M$ the tensors $R \cdot S$ and $Q(g, S)$ are linearly dependent (see, e.g., [19]). The manifold ( $M, g$ ) is

Ricci-pseudosymmetric if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot S=L_{S} Q(g, S) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{S}$, where $L_{S}$ is some function on this set. Every Ricci-semisymmetric manifold ( $R$. $S=0$ ) is Ricci-pseudosymmetric. The converse statement is not true. According to [54], a Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold $(M, g), n \geq 3,\left(R \cdot S=L_{S} Q(g, S)\right)$ is said to be Riccipseudosymmetric manifold of constant type if the function $L_{S}$ is constant on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \subset M$.

Theorem 2.2 (cf. [52]) If $M$ is a hypersurface isometrically immersed in a Riemannian space of constant curvature $N^{n+1}(c), n \geq 3$, such that at every point of $M$ there are principal curvatures $0, \ldots, 0, \lambda, \ldots, \lambda,-\lambda, \ldots,-\lambda$, with the same multiplicity of $\lambda$ and $-\lambda$, and $\lambda$ is a positive function on $M$, then $M$ is a Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold of constant type. Precisely,

$$
R \cdot S=\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} Q(g, S)
$$

on $M$. In particular, every Cartan hypersurface is a Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold of constant type.
A semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geq 4$, is said to be Weyl-pseudosymmetric if at every point of $M$ the tensors $R \cdot C$ and $Q(g, C)$ are linearly dependent (see, e.g., [37]). The manifold ( $M, g$ ) is Weyl-pseudosymmetric if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot C=L_{C} Q(g, C) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{C}$, where $L_{C}$ is some function on this set. It is easy to check that every pseudosymmetric manifold ( $R \cdot R=L_{R} Q(g, R)$ ) is Weyl-pseudosymmetric ( $R \cdot C=L_{R} Q(g, C)$ ). In particular, every semisymmetric manifold ( $R \cdot R=0$ ) is Weyl-semisymmetric ( $R \cdot C=0$ ). If $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 5$ the converse statements are true. Precisely, if $R \cdot C=L_{C} Q(g, C)$, resp., $R \cdot C=0$, is satisfied on $\mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$, then $R \cdot R=L_{C} Q(g, R)$, resp., $R \cdot R=0$, holds on $\mathcal{U}_{C}$ ([37], resp., [58]). An example of a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying $R \cdot C=0$, with non-zero tensor $R \cdot R$, was found by Derdziński [18]. An example of a 4-dimensional submanifold isometrically immersed in a 6 -dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{6}$ satisfying $R \cdot C=0$, with non-zero tensor $R \cdot R$, was found by Zafindratafa [87]. For further results on 4 -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying $R \cdot C=0$ or $R \cdot C=L Q(g, C)$ we refer to the following papers: [20, 21, 40, 52]. We also refer to $[3,9,26,39,59,60,62,63,77,82,83,84,85,86]$. for further results on semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying (2), (3) or (4).
A semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geq 3$, is said to be a quasi-Einstein manifold if

$$
\operatorname{rank}(S-\alpha g)=1
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \subset M$, where $\alpha$ is some function on this set (see, e.g., [28]). According to [23], a Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ whose Ricci tensor has an eigenvalue of multiplicity at least $n-1$ is called quasiEinstein. Every non-Einstein warped product manifold $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$ of a 1-dimensional $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ base manifold and a 2 -dimensional manifold $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$ or an $(n-1)$-dimensional Einstein manifold $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$, $n \geq 4$, with a warping function $F$, is a quasi-Einstein manifold (see, e.g., [12, 29]).
Quasi-Einstein manifolds arose during the study of exact solutions of the Einstein field equations and the investigation on quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces of conformally flat spaces, see, e.g., [26] and references therein. Quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian spaces of constant curvature were studied among other things in: [28, 45, 55].

According to a well-known Theorem of Cartan and Schouten, a hypersurface $M$ in a conformally flat Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{N}$, $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{N} \geq 5$, is conformally flat if and only if it is quasi-umbilical [5, 76]. This result remains valid when $M$ is a conformally flat hypersurface in a conformally flat semi-Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{N}, \operatorname{dim} \widetilde{N} \geq 5$, [49]. From the above presented results we obtain immediately

Theorem 2.3 Every conformally flat hypersurface $M$ isometrically immersed in a semiRiemannian space of constant curvature $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, is a quasi-Einstein manifold.
Further we have
Theorem $2.4[17,38]$ Let $(M, g)$ be a 3-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold or a conformally flat semi-Riemannian manifold of dimension $\geq 4$. Then on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \subset M$ the following three conditions are equivalent to each other:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R \cdot R & =\rho Q(g, R) \\
R \cdot S & =\rho Q(g, S) \\
S^{2}-\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{2}\right)}{n} g & =\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1}+(n-2) \rho\right)\left(S-\frac{\kappa}{n} g\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ is some function on $\mathcal{U}_{S}$.
Theorem 2.5 [39] Every conformally flat hypersurface $M$ isometrically immersed in a semiRiemannian space of constant curvature $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, is a quasi-Einstein pseudosymmetric manifold. Precisely, if $\operatorname{rank}(S-\alpha g)=1$ on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \subset M$ then

$$
R \cdot R=\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1}-\alpha\right) Q(g, R)
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{S}$, where $\alpha$ is some function on this set.
From this it follows immediately
Corollary 2.5 Let $M$ be hypersurface isometrically immersed in a Riemannian space of constant curvature $N^{n+1}(c), n \geq 3$. Let $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{n}$ be the eigenvalues of the Ricci operator $\mathcal{S}$ of $M$. If at every point of $\mathcal{U}_{S} \subset M$ we have $\rho_{1}=\ldots=\rho_{n-1} \neq \rho_{n}$ then

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(S-\rho_{1} g\right)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad R \cdot R=\frac{\rho_{n}}{n-1} Q(g, R)
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{S}$. We mention that 3-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with two disinct eigenvalues of the Ricci operator, i.e., with two distinct principal Ricci curvatures, were investigated among other things in: $[61,65,66,67,68,69,70]$.

## 3. Roter spaces

Theorem 3.1 [53, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2 (ii)] If $(M, g), n \geq 4$, is a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying on the set $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$ the following two conditions:

$$
R \cdot R=L_{R} Q(g, R) \text { and } C \cdot C=L_{C} Q(g, C)
$$

where $L_{R}$ and $L_{C}$ are some functions on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{\phi}{2} S \wedge S+\mu g \wedge S+\frac{\eta}{2} g \wedge g \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the set $\mathcal{U}$ of all points of $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$ at which $\operatorname{rank}(S-\tau g)>1$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\phi, \mu, \eta$ are some functions defined on $\mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 3.2 [41, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2 (ii)] If $(M, g), n \geq 4$, is a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying on the set $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$ the following two conditions:

$$
R \cdot R=L_{R} Q(g, R) \text { and } \quad R \cdot R-Q(S, R)=L Q(g, C)
$$

where $L_{R}$ and $L$ are some functions on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$, then (5) holds on the set $\mathcal{U}$ of all points of $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$ at which $\operatorname{rank}(S-\tau g)>1$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.
Theorem 3.3 (cf. [25, Proposition 3.2, Theorem 3.3, Theorem 4.4]) If ( $M, g$ ), $n \geq 4$, is a semiRiemannian manifold satisfying on the set $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$ the following three conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C \cdot C & =L_{C} Q(g, C), \\
R \cdot R-Q(S, R) & =L Q(g, C), \\
R \cdot S & =Q(g, D),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L$ and $L_{C}$ are some functions on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$ and $D$ is a symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensor on this set, then the Roter equation (5) holds on the set $\mathcal{U}$ of all points of $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$ at which rank $(S-\tau g)>1$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.
Theorem 3.4 (see, e.g., [32, 64]) Let $(M, g), n \geq 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold and let (5) be satisfied on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$, i.e.,

$$
R=\frac{\phi}{2} S \wedge S+\mu g \wedge S+\frac{\eta}{2} g \wedge g
$$

where $\phi, \mu$ and $\eta$ are some functions on this set. Then on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{2} & =\alpha_{1} S+\alpha_{2} g, \quad \alpha_{1}=\kappa+\frac{(n-2) \mu-1}{\phi}, \quad \alpha_{2}=\frac{\mu \kappa+(n-1) \eta}{\phi}, \\
R \cdot R & =L_{R} Q(g, R), \quad L_{R}=\frac{1}{\phi}\left((n-2)\left(\mu^{2}-\phi \eta\right)-\mu\right), \\
R \cdot R & =Q(S, R)+L Q(g, C), \quad L=L_{R}+\frac{\mu}{\phi}=\frac{n-2}{\phi}\left(\mu^{2}-\phi \eta\right), \\
C \cdot R & =L_{C} Q(g, R), \quad L_{C}=L_{R}+\frac{1}{n-2}\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1}-\alpha_{1}\right), \\
C \cdot C & =L_{C} Q(g, C) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R \cdot C= & L_{R} Q(g, C), \\
C \cdot R= & Q(S, C)+\left(L_{R}-\frac{\kappa}{n-1}\right) Q(g, C), \\
R \cdot C-C \cdot R= & \left(\frac{1}{\phi}\left(\mu-\frac{1}{n-2}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{n-1}\right) Q(g, R) \\
& +\left(\frac{\mu}{\phi}\left(\mu-\frac{1}{n-2}\right)-\eta\right) Q(S, G), \\
R \cdot C-C \cdot R= & \frac{1}{n-2} Q(S, R)+\left(\frac{(n-1) \mu-1}{(n-2) \phi}+\frac{\kappa}{n-1}\right) Q(g, R) \\
& +\frac{\mu((n-1) \mu-1)-(n-1) \phi \eta}{(n-2) \phi} Q(S, G),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \cdot R+R \cdot C=Q(S, C)+\left(2 L_{R}-\frac{\kappa}{n-1}\right) Q(g, C) \\
& C \cdot R-R \cdot C=Q(S, C)-\frac{\kappa}{n-1} Q(g, C)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.5 (i) We note that if (5) holds at a point of $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M, n \geq 4$, then at this point we have $\operatorname{rank}(S-\alpha g)>1$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (see, e.g., [32, 43]).
(ii) (see, e.g., [32, 43, 64]) A semi-Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ), $n \geq 4$, satisfying (5) on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset$ $M$ is called a Roter space, or a Roter type space, or a Roter type manifold.
(iii) (see, e.g., [78]) In the standard Schwarzschild coordinates $(t ; r ; \theta ; \phi)$, and the physical units ( $c=G=1$ ), the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter ( $\Lambda>0$ ), and the Reissner-Nordström-anti-de Sitter $(\Lambda<0)$ metrics are given by the line element

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =-h(r) d t^{2}+h(r)^{-1} d r^{2}+r^{2}\left(d \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta d \phi^{2}\right) \\
h(r) & =1-\frac{2 M}{r}+\frac{Q^{2}}{r^{2}}-\frac{\Lambda r^{2}}{3}, \quad M, Q, \Lambda-\text { non-zero constants. } \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

(iv) (see, e.g., [35, Section 2] The metric (6) satisfies (5) with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi & =\frac{3}{2}\left(Q^{2}-M r\right) r^{4} Q^{-4}, \quad \mu=\frac{1}{2}\left(Q^{4}+3 Q^{2} \Lambda r^{4}-3 \Lambda M r^{5}\right) Q^{-4} \\
\eta & =\frac{1}{12}\left(3 Q^{6}+4 Q^{4} \Lambda r^{4}-3 Q^{4} M r+9 Q^{2} \Lambda^{2} r^{8}-9 \Lambda^{2} M r^{9}\right) r^{-4} Q^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

(v) If we set $\Lambda=0$ in (6) then we obtain the line element of the Reissner-Nordström spacetime, see, e.g., [56, Section 9.2] and references therein. It seems that the Reissner-Nordström spacetime is the "oldest" known example of a Roter type warped product manifold.
(vi) [40, Abstract] We determine a particular class of Roter type warped product manifolds. We show that every manifold of that class admits a non-trivial geodesic mapping onto some Roter type warped product manifold. Moreover, both geodesically related manifolds are pseudosymmetric of constant type.
(vii) Some comments on pseudosymmetric manifolds (also called Deszcz symmetric spaces), as well as Roter spaces, are given in [14]: "From a geometric point of view, the Deszcz symmetric spaces may well be considered to be the simplest Riemannian manifolds next to the real space forms." and "From an algebraic point of view, Roter spaces may well be considered to be the simplest Riemannian manifolds next to the real space forms."
(viii) [13, Abstract] An algebraic classification of the Roter type spacetimes is given. It follows that the Roter-type curvature condition is essentially equivalent with the pseudosymmetry condition on 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifolds.
(ix) We mention that in the Chen's survey paper on Wintgen ideal submanifolds [Chen-2021], in Section 15 (Symmetry of Wintgen ideal submanifolds) results on Wintgen ideal submanifolds satisfying pseudosymmetry type curvature conditions are given. Among other things, the following result of [14] is presented (cf. [10, Theorem 15.11]: Let $M^{n}(n \geq 4)$ be a Wintgen ideal submanifold of a real space form $R^{m}(c)$. Then $M^{n}$ is pseudosymmetric if and only if $M^{n}$ is a Roter space.
Example 3.6 [46, Example 4.1] (i) Let $S^{p}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}}}\right)$, be the $p$-dimensional, $p \geq 2$, standard sphere of radius $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}}}, c_{1}=$ const. $>0$, with the standard metric $\bar{g}$. Let $f$ be a non-constant function on $S^{p}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}}}\right)$ satisfying the following differential equation [72]

$$
\bar{\nabla}(d f)+c_{1} f \bar{g}=0
$$

We set $F=(f+c)^{2}$, where $c$ is a non-zero constant such that $f+c$ is either positive or negative on $S^{p}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}}}\right)$.
(ii) Let $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g}), n-p=\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{N} \geq 2$, be a semi-Riemannian space of constant curvature $c_{2}$. We consider the warped product $S^{p}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}}}\right) \times_{F} \widetilde{N}$ of the manifolds $S^{p}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}}}\right)$ and $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$ with the above defined warping function $F$.
(iii) We can check that the warped product

$$
S^{p}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}}}\right) \times_{F} \widetilde{N}
$$

satisfies the Roter equation. In particular, the warped product

$$
S^{p}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}}}\right) \times_{F} S^{n-p}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{2}}}\right)
$$

where $2 \leq p \leq n-2$ and $c_{1}>0, c_{2}>0$, also satisfies the Roter equation.
(iv) We also can prove that $S^{p}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}}}\right) \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$ can be locally realized as a hypersurface isometrically immersed in a semi-Riemannian space of constant curvature.
Example 3.7 [31, Example 5.4] (i) Let $N_{s_{1}}^{p}\left(c_{1}\right) \times N_{s_{2}}^{n-p}\left(c_{2}\right)$ be the Cartesian product of two semiRiemannian spaces of constant curvature $N_{s_{1}}^{p}\left(c_{1}\right)$ and $N_{s_{2}}^{n-p}\left(c_{2}\right), 2 \leq p \leq n-2$, where $c_{1}=\frac{\kappa_{1}}{(p-1) p}$, $c_{2}=\frac{\kappa_{2}}{(n-p-1)(n-p)}$ and $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ are the scalar curvatures of $N_{s_{1}}^{p}\left(c_{1}\right)$ and $N_{s_{2}}^{n-p}\left(c_{2}\right)$, respectively. The scalar curvature $\kappa$ of the product $N_{s_{1}}^{p}\left(c_{1}\right) \times N_{s_{2}}^{n-p}\left(c_{2}\right)$ is expressed by

$$
\kappa=\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}=p(p-1) c_{1}+(n-p)(n-p-1) c_{2} .
$$

The product $N_{s_{1}}^{p}\left(c_{1}\right) \times N_{s_{2}}^{n-p}\left(c_{2}\right)$ is a semisymmetric manifold $(R \cdot R=0)$. Moreover, if $c_{1}+c_{2} \neq 0$ then the following condition is satisfied

$$
C \cdot C=-\frac{(p-1)(n-p-1)}{(n-2)(n-1)}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) Q(g, C)
$$

(ii) We assume that $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\text { (a) } c_{1}+c_{2} \neq 0 \text { and }(b)(p-1) c_{1}-(n-p-1) c_{2} \neq 0
$$

Now we have $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}=N_{s_{1}}^{p}\left(c_{1}\right) \times N_{s_{2}}^{n-p}\left(c_{2}\right)$. Thus the product $N_{s_{1}}^{p}\left(c_{1}\right) \times N_{s_{2}}^{n-p}\left(c_{2}\right)$ is a nonconformally flat and non-Einstein semi-Riemannian manifold. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi & =\tau\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) \\
\mu & =-(n-2) \tau c_{1} c_{2}, \\
\eta & =\tau c_{1} c_{2}\left((p-1)^{2} c_{1}+(n-p-1)^{2} c_{2}\right) \\
\tau & =\left((p-1) c_{1}-(n-p-1) c_{2}\right)^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.8 (i) Let $S$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be the Ricci tensor and the Ricci operator of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geq 3$, respectively. The ( 0,2 )-tensor $S^{2}$ of $(M, g)$ is defined by $S^{2}(X, Y)=$ $S(\mathcal{S}(X), Y)$, where $X$ and $Y$ are vector fields on $M$.
(ii) It is easy to verify that the following identity is satisfied on every Einstein semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geq 4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \wedge S^{2}+\frac{n-2}{2} S \wedge S-\kappa g \wedge S+\frac{\kappa^{2}-\operatorname{tr}_{g}\left(S^{2}\right)}{2(n-1)} g \wedge g=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) [27, Lemma 2.1] If $\operatorname{rank}(S-\alpha g)=1$ on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \subset M$, where $\alpha$ is some function on $\mathcal{U}_{S}$, then (7) holds on $\mathcal{U}_{S}$.
(iv) [27, Lemma 2.2] If $(M, g), n \geq 4$, is a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying (5), i.e.,

$$
R=\frac{\phi}{2} S \wedge S+\mu g \wedge S+\frac{\eta}{2} g \wedge g
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$ then

$$
C=\frac{\phi}{n-2}\left(g \wedge S^{2}+\frac{n-2}{2} S \wedge S-\kappa g \wedge S+\frac{\kappa^{2}-\operatorname{tr}_{g}\left(S^{2}\right)}{2(n-1)} g \wedge g\right)
$$

on this set.
4. The difference tensor $R \cdot C-C \cdot R$

On every semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geq 4$, the following identity is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-2)(R \cdot C-C \cdot R)=Q(S, R)-\frac{\kappa}{n-1} Q(g, R)-g \wedge(R \cdot S)+P \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $(0,6)$-tensor $P$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4} ; X, Y\right) \\
= & g\left(X, X_{1}\right) R\left(\mathcal{S}(Y), X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)-g\left(Y, X_{1}\right) R\left(\mathcal{S}(X), X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right) \\
& +g\left(X, X_{2}\right) R\left(X_{1}, \mathcal{S}(Y), X_{3}, X_{4}\right)-g\left(Y, X_{2}\right) R\left(X_{1}, \mathcal{S}(X), X_{3}, X_{4}\right) \\
& +g\left(X, X_{3}\right) R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \mathcal{S}(Y), X_{4}\right)-g\left(Y, X_{3}\right) R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \mathcal{S}(X), X_{4}\right) \\
& +g\left(X, X_{4}\right) R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \mathcal{S}(Y)\right)-g\left(Y, X_{4}\right) R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \mathcal{S}(X)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The local expression of the basic identity (8) is the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n-2)(R \cdot C-C \cdot R)_{h i j k l m} \\
= & Q(S, R)_{h i j k l m}-\frac{\kappa}{n-1} Q(g, R)_{h i j k l m} \\
& +g_{h l} A_{m i j k}-g_{h m} A_{l i j k}-g_{i l} A_{m h j k}+g_{i m} A_{l h j k} \\
& +g_{j l} A_{m k h i}-g_{j m} A_{l k h i}-g_{k l} A_{m j h i}+g_{k m} A_{l j h i} \\
& -g_{i j}(R \cdot S)_{h k l m}-g_{h k}(R \cdot S)_{i j l m}+g_{i k}(R \cdot S)_{h j l m}+g_{h j}(R \cdot S)_{i k l m}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad A_{m i j k}=g^{r s} S_{m r} R_{s i j k}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(R \cdot S)_{h k l m}= & g^{r s}\left(S_{h r} R_{s k l m}+S_{k r} R_{s h l m}\right), \\
Q(g, S)_{h k l m}= & g_{h l} S_{k m}+g_{k l} S_{h m}-g_{h m} S_{k l}-g_{k m} S_{h l}, \\
Q(g, R)_{h i j k l m}= & g_{h l} R_{m i j k}+g_{i l} R_{h m j k}+g_{j l} R_{h i m k}+g_{k l} R_{h i j m} \\
& -g_{h m} R_{l i j k}-g_{i m} R_{h l j k}-g_{j m} R_{h i l k}-g_{k m} R_{h i j l}, \\
Q(S, R)_{h i j k l m}= & S_{h l} R_{m i j k}+S_{i l} R_{h m j k}+S_{j l} R_{h i m k}+S_{k l} R_{h i j m} \\
& -S_{h m} R_{l i j k}-S_{i m} R_{h l j k}-S_{j m} R_{h i l k}-S_{k m} R_{h i j l}, \\
(R \cdot C)_{h i j k l m}= & g^{r s}\left(C_{r i j k} R_{s h l m}+C_{h r j k} R_{s i l m}+C_{h i r k} R_{s j l m}+C_{h i j r} R_{s k l m}\right), \\
(C \cdot R)_{h i j k l m}= & g^{r s}\left(R_{r i j k} C_{s h l m}+R_{h r j k} C_{s i l m}+R_{h i r k} C_{s j l m}+R_{h i j r} C_{s k l m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.1 [44, Theorem 3.1] On every Einstein semi-Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ), $n \geq 4$, the following identities are satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=\frac{\kappa}{(n-1) n} Q(g, R)=\frac{\kappa}{(n-1) n} Q(g, C) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.2 Let $(M, g), n \geq 4$, be an Einstein semi-Riemannian manifold. From (9) we get immediately

$$
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=\frac{1}{n-1} Q(S, R)=\frac{1}{n-1} Q(S, C)
$$

Moreover, on every Ricci flat semi-Riemannian manifold we have

$$
R \cdot C=C \cdot R
$$

Theorem 4.3 [35, Theorem 2.3] Let $(M, g), n \geq 4$, be an Einstein semi-Riemannian manifold.
(i) The condition (1), i.e.,

$$
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=\frac{\kappa}{n-1} Q(g, C)-Q(S, C)
$$

on $M$.
(ii) If the condition $R \cdot R=L_{R} Q(g, R)$ is satisfied on $U_{R} \subset M$ then on this set we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R \cdot R-Q(S, R) & =\left(L_{R}-\frac{\kappa}{n}\right) Q(g, C) \\
C \cdot C & =\left(L_{R}-\frac{\kappa}{(n-1) n}\right) Q(g, C) \\
R \cdot C+C \cdot R & =Q(S, C)+\left(2 L_{R}-\frac{\kappa}{n-1}\right) Q(g, C)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.4 ([44, Theorem 4.1, Proposition 4.2, Proposition 4.3], [28, Theorem 6.4]) Let ( $M, g$ ), $n \geq 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold.
(i) If the condition

$$
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=L Q(g, C)
$$

is satisfied on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$ then

$$
R \cdot R=L Q(g, R), \quad C \cdot R=0
$$

on this set.
(ii) If the conditions

$$
S=\mu g+\beta w \otimes w, \quad \sum_{X, Y, Z} w(X) \mathcal{C}(Y, Z)=0
$$

are satisfied on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$, where $\mu$ and $\beta$ are some functions and $w$ a covector field on this set, then

$$
R \cdot R=\frac{\kappa}{(n-1) n} Q(g, R), \quad C \cdot R=0
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$. Consequently on this set we have

$$
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=\frac{\kappa}{(n-1) n} Q(g, C)
$$

(iii) If the condition

$$
C=\frac{\lambda}{2}(S-\alpha g) \wedge(S-\alpha g)
$$

is satisfied on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$, where $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are some functions on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$, then

$$
C \cdot R=0, \quad R \cdot R=\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1}-\lambda\right) Q(g, R)
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$. Consequently on this set we have

$$
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1}-\lambda\right) Q(g, C) .
$$

Theorem 4.5 ([42, Theorem 3.1], [28, Theorem 6.1]) Let $(M, g), n \geq 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$

$$
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=L Q(g, R), \quad S-\alpha g=\beta w \otimes w
$$

where $w$ is some 1-form on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$ and $L, \alpha, \beta$ are some functions on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$. Then on this set we have:

$$
S=\beta w \otimes w, \quad \kappa=0, \quad \sum_{X, Y, Z} w(X) \mathcal{C}(Y, Z)=0, \quad R \cdot R=0, \quad R \cdot C=C \cdot R=0
$$

Theorem 4.6 ([42, Proposition 3.2], [28, Theorem 6.1 (ii)]) Let $(M, g), n \geq 4$, be a semiRiemannian manifold satisfying on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$

$$
S=\beta w \otimes w, \quad \kappa=0, \quad \sum_{X, Y, Z} w(X) \mathcal{C}(Y, Z)=0
$$

Then on this set we have $R \cdot R=0, C \cdot R=0$, and consequently

$$
R \cdot C=C \cdot R=0
$$

Theorem 4.7 ([42, Theorem 4.1], [28, Theorem 6.2 (i)]) Let $(M, g), n \geq 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying on $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$

$$
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=L Q(g, R)
$$

where $L$ is some function on $\mathcal{U}$. Moreover, let at every point of $\mathcal{U}: \operatorname{rank}(S-\tau g)>1$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Then on this set we have

$$
R=\frac{\phi}{2} S \wedge S+\mu g \wedge S+\frac{\eta}{2} g \wedge g
$$

where $\phi, \mu, \eta$ are some functions on $\mathcal{U}$ satisfying

$$
\eta=\alpha \mu, \quad \alpha=\frac{(n-2) \mu-1}{(n-2) \phi} .
$$

Consequently, on $\mathcal{U}$ we have

$$
R \cdot R=0 \quad \text { and } \quad R \cdot C=0
$$

We have also the following inverse statement.
Theorem 4.8 ([42, Proposition 4.1], [28, Theorem 6.2 (ii)]) Let $(M, g), n \geq 4$, be a semiRiemannian manifold. If on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$ the tensor $R$ is of the form

$$
R=\frac{\phi}{2} S \wedge S+\mu g \wedge S+\frac{\eta}{2} g \wedge g
$$

with the functions $\phi, \mu, \eta$ satisfying on this set

$$
\eta=\alpha \mu, \quad \alpha=\frac{(n-2) \mu-1}{(n-2) \phi}
$$

then

$$
R \cdot R=0, \quad C \cdot R=-L_{2} Q(g, R), \quad L_{2}=\alpha+\frac{\kappa}{n-1}, \quad R \cdot C-C \cdot R=L_{2} Q(g, R)
$$

Remark 4.9 Let $(M, g), n \geq 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold. If the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor $R$ has on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$ the form (5), i.e.,

$$
R=\frac{\phi}{2} S \wedge S+\mu g \wedge S+\eta G
$$

with some functions $\phi, \mu, \eta$ on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}$, then on this set we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R \cdot R & =L_{R} Q(g, R), \quad L_{R}=(n-2)(\alpha \mu-\eta), \\
C \cdot R & =L_{C} Q(g, R), \quad L_{C}=L_{R}-\frac{\kappa}{n-1}-\alpha, \\
R \cdot C-C \cdot R & =\left(\alpha+\frac{\kappa}{n-1}\right) Q(g, R)+(\alpha \mu-\eta) Q(S, G), \\
R \cdot C-C \cdot R & =\left(L_{R}-L_{C}\right) Q(g, R)+\frac{1}{n-2} L_{R} Q(S, G),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\frac{(n-2) \mu-1}{(n-2) \phi} \quad \text { and } \quad G=\frac{1}{2} g \wedge g
$$

The last equation, by the identity

$$
Q(S, G)=-Q(g, g \wedge S)
$$

turns into

$$
\begin{aligned}
R \cdot C-C \cdot R & =\left(L_{R}-L_{C}\right) Q(g, R)-\frac{1}{n-2} L_{R} Q(g, g \wedge S) \\
& =Q\left(g,\left(L_{R}-L_{C}\right) R-\frac{1}{n-2} L_{R} g \wedge S\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We recall that

$$
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=-Q(S, C)+\frac{\kappa}{n-1} Q(g, C)=Q\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} g-S, C\right)
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$.
Remark 4.10 (i) We refer to: $[6,7,8,9,10,73]$ for fundamental results on Chen ideal submanifolds.
(ii) Chen ideal submanifolds satisfying pseudosymmetry type curvature conditions were investigated among others in [33, 47].
(iii) Chen ideal submanifolds satisfying some generalized Einstein metric curvature conditions were studied in [48]. For instance, Theorems 5, 6 and 7 of [48] contain results on Chen ideal submanifolds $M$ in $\mathbb{E}^{n+m}, n \geq 4, m \geq 1$, satisfying at every point of $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M$ one of the following conditions:
(a) the tensor $R \cdot C-C \cdot R$ and the tensors $Q(g, R)$ and $Q(S, R)$ are linearly dependent,
(b) the tensor $R \cdot C-C \cdot R$ and the tensors $Q(g, C)$ and $Q(S, C)$ are linearly dependent,
(c) the tensor $R \cdot C-C \cdot R$ and the tensors $Q(g, g \wedge S)$ and $Q(S, g \wedge S)$ are linearly dependent.

Generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes also satisfies generalized Einstein metric curvature conditions. For instance, we have
Theorem 4.11 [12, Theorem 4.2] The warped product $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$ of an 1-dimensional manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ and an $(n-1)$-dimensional, $n \geq 5$, Einstein manifold $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$, which is not of constant curvature, satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rank}\left(S-\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1}-L_{S}\right) g\right) & =1 \\
R \cdot S & =L_{S} Q(g, S) \\
(n-2)(R \cdot C-C \cdot R) & =Q(S, R)-L_{S} Q(g, R)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{S}$ is some function on $\bar{M} \times_{F} \widetilde{N}$.
Corollary 4.12 The warped product $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$ of an 1-dimensional manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ and an ( $n-1$ )dimensional, $n \geq 4$, Einstein manifold $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$, which is not of constant curvature, with the warping function $F(t)=t^{2}$, satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rank}\left(S-\frac{\kappa}{n-1} g\right) & =1, \\
R \cdot S & =0, \\
(n-2)(R \cdot C-C \cdot R) & =Q(S, R) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.13 [2, Theorem 4.1] If the warped product $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$ of an 1-dimensional manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ and an $(n-1)$-dimensional, $n \geq 4$, non-Einstein manifold $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$, satisfies on the set $U$, of all points of $\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset \bar{M} \times_{F} \widetilde{N}$ at which $Q(S, R) \neq 0$, the condition

$$
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=L Q(S, R)
$$

for some function $L$ on $U$, then

$$
F(t)=(a t+b)^{2}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \neq 0, \quad L=\frac{1}{n-2}
$$

We also recall the following result on 4-dimensional gneralized Robertson-Walker spacetimes.
Theorem 4.14 [16, Theorem 4.1] The warped product manifolds $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$ of an 1-dimensional manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ and a 3 -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$ satisfies

$$
R \cdot R-Q(S, R)=L Q(g, C)
$$

where $L$ is some function.

## 5. Hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian spaces of constant curvature

Let $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 3$, be a semi-Riemannian space of constant curvature $c=\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)}$ with signature $(s, n+1-s)$, where $\widetilde{\kappa}$ is its scalar curvature. Let $M$ be a hypersurface isometrically immersed in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c)$ and let $g$ be the metric tensor induced on $M$ from the metric of the ambient space and $R$ and $\kappa$ the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor and the scalar curvature, respectively.

Let $H$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be the second fundamental tensor and the shape operator of $M$, respectively. We have

$$
H(X, Y)=g(\mathcal{A} X, Y)
$$

for any vectors fields $X, Y$ tangent to $M$. The ( 0,2 )-tensors $H^{2}$ and $H^{3}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{2}(X, Y) & =H(\mathcal{A} X, Y) \\
H^{3}(X, Y) & =H^{2}(\mathcal{A} X, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.
The Gauss equation of $M$ in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c)$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} H \wedge H+\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{2 n(n+1)} g \wedge g, \quad \varepsilon= \pm 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On every hypersurface $M$ in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, we have [49]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot R=Q(S, R)-\frac{(n-2) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} Q(g, C) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.1 [51] Let $M$ be a hypersurface in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 3$, satisfying on $\mathcal{U}_{R} \subset M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{2}=\alpha \mathcal{A}+\beta I d \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are some functions on this set and $I d$ is the identity transformation of $M$. Then

$$
R \cdot R=\left(\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)}-\varepsilon \beta\right) Q(g, R)
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{R}$.
Corollary 5.2 A hypersurface $M$ in a Riemannian space of constant curvature $N^{n+1}(c), n \geq 3$, having at every point of $\mathcal{U}_{R} \subset M$ two distinct principal curvatures is pseudosymmetric.
Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset M$ be the set of all points at which $\mathcal{A}^{2}$ cannot be expressed by a linear combination of the second fundamental tensor $\mathcal{A}$ and the identity transformation $I d$ of $M$. We can prove that

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C} \subset M
$$

Moreover, at at every point of $\left(\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}\right) \backslash \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ we have

$$
\mathcal{A}^{2}=\alpha \mathcal{A}+\beta I d \text { and } \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A})-\alpha \neq 0 .
$$

From the Gauss equation we have:

$$
S=\varepsilon\left(\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A}) H-H^{2}\right)+\frac{(n-1) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} g,
$$

which, by (12), turns into

$$
S=\varepsilon(\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A})-\alpha) H+\left(\frac{(n-1) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)}-\varepsilon \beta\right) g .
$$

Theorem 5.3 [54] Let $M$ be a hypersurface in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 3$, satisfying (12) on $\left(\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}\right) \backslash \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are some functions on this set. Then

$$
R=\frac{\phi}{2} S \wedge S+\mu g \wedge S+\frac{\eta}{2} g \wedge g
$$

on $\left(\mathcal{U}_{S} \cap \mathcal{U}_{C}\right) \backslash \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi & =\varepsilon(\operatorname{tr}(H)-\alpha)^{-2} \\
\mu & =\phi\left(\varepsilon \beta-\frac{(n-1) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)}\right) \\
\eta & =\phi\left(\varepsilon \beta-\frac{(n-1) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)}\right)^{2}+\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 5.4 [54, Corollary 3.1, Example 3.2] On every Clifford torus

$$
S^{p}\left(\sqrt{\frac{p}{n}}\right) \times S^{n-p}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-p}{n}}\right), \quad n \neq 2 p, \quad 2 \leq p \leq n-2,
$$

the following equation is satisfied

$$
R=\frac{\phi}{2} S \wedge S+\mu g \wedge S+\frac{\eta}{2} g \wedge g
$$

Theorem 5.5 [22] A hypersurface $M$ in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, is a pseudosymmetric manifold if and only if at every point of $\mathcal{U}_{R} \subset M$ we have:

$$
\mathcal{A}^{2}=\alpha \mathcal{A}+\beta I d, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { or } \quad \text { rank } H=2 .
$$

Theorem 5.6 [15] Let $M$ be a hypersurface in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, satisfying on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset M$ the condition rank $H=2$. Then on this set we have

$$
R \cdot R=\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} Q(g, R) .
$$

Theorem 5.7 [30, Theorem 6.5] If on the subset $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of a hypersurface $M$ in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, one of the tensors $R \cdot C, C \cdot R$ or $R \cdot C-R \cdot C$ is a linear combination of $R \cdot R$ and of a finite sum of tensors of the form $Q(E, B)$, where $E$ is a symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensor and $B$ a generalized curvature tensor, then

$$
\mathcal{A}^{3}=\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A}^{2}+\psi \mathcal{A}+\rho I d,
$$

or equivalently,

$$
H^{3}=\operatorname{tr}(H) H^{2}+\psi H+\rho g
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\psi$ and $\rho$ are some functions on this set.
Theorem 5.8 [34, Theorem 5.2 (iii)] Let $M$ be a hypersurface in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, satisfying on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset M$ the following equation

$$
R \cdot C-C \cdot R=Q(g, B)
$$

where $B$ is a generalized curvature tensor. Then on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & \left(-\frac{\varepsilon \psi}{n-1}+\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)}\right) R+\left(-\frac{\varepsilon \psi}{n-1}+\frac{2 \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)}\right) g \wedge S \\
& -\frac{1}{n-1} g \wedge S^{2}-\frac{1}{2(n-2)(n-1)} S \wedge S+\lambda G
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a function on this set.
Let $M$ be a hypersurface in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, satisfying on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{3}=\phi \mathcal{A}^{2}+\psi \mathcal{A}+\rho I d, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
H^{3}=\phi H^{2}+\psi H+\rho g
$$

on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\phi, \psi$ and $\rho$ are some functions on this set. We set

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu & =\frac{1}{n-2}\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1}-\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{n+1}\right) \\
\beta_{1} & =\varepsilon(\phi-\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A})) \\
\beta_{2} & =-\frac{\varepsilon}{n-2}\left(\phi(2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A})-\phi)-(\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A}))^{2}-\psi-(n-2) \varepsilon \mu\right) \\
\beta_{3} & =\varepsilon \mu \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A})+\frac{1}{n-2}(\psi(2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A})-\phi)+(n-3) \rho) \\
\beta_{4} & =\beta_{3}-\varepsilon \beta_{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A})+\frac{(n-1) \widetilde{\kappa} \beta_{1}}{n(n+1)} \\
\beta_{5} & =\frac{\kappa}{n-1}+\varepsilon \psi-\frac{\left(n^{2}-3 n+3\right) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)}+\beta_{1} \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A}) \\
\beta_{6} & =\beta_{2}-\frac{(n-3) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 5.9 [75, Theorem 6.7] If $M$ is a hypersurface in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, satisfying on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset M$ equation (13) then on this set we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(n-2) R \cdot C= & \rho Q(H, G)-\frac{(n-2)^{2} \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} Q(g, R) \\
& +(n-2) Q(S, R)-\frac{(n-3) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} Q(S, G) \\
& +(\phi-\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A})) g \wedge Q\left(H, H^{2}\right), \\
(n-2) C \cdot R= & \left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1}+\varepsilon \psi-\frac{\left(n^{2}-3 n+3\right) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)}\right) Q(g, R) \\
& +(n-3) Q(S, R)-\frac{(n-3) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} Q(S, G) \\
& +(\phi-\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A})) H \wedge Q\left(g, H^{2}\right),  \tag{15}\\
(n-2)(R \cdot C-C \cdot R)= & Q(S, R)+\frac{(n-1) \widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} Q(g, R)+\rho Q(H, G) \\
& +(\phi-\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A}))\left(g \wedge Q\left(H, H^{2}\right)-H \wedge Q\left(g, H^{2}\right)\right),  \tag{16}\\
(n-2) C \cdot C= & (n-3) Q(S, R)+\beta_{1} Q(S, g \wedge H)+\beta_{4} Q(H, G) \\
& +\beta_{5} Q(g, R)+\beta_{6} Q(S, G), \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot S=\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{n(n+1)} Q(g, S)+\rho Q(g, H)-\varepsilon \beta_{1} Q\left(H, H^{2}\right), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{6}$ are defined by (14). In particular, if $M$ is a hypersurface in a Riemannian space of constant curvature $N^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, having at every point of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset M$ exactly three distinct principal curvatures $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ and $\rho_{3}$ then (16) - (18) hold on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi & =\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}+\rho_{3}, \\
\psi & =-\left(\rho_{1} \rho_{2}+\rho_{1} \rho_{3}+\rho_{2} \rho_{3}\right) \\
\rho & =\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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#### Abstract

An open problem in reliability theory is that of calculating the coefficients of the reliability polynomials associated with particular networks. Since the reliability polynomials can be expressed in Bernstein form (related to binomial coefficients), an extension of the classical discrete Pascal's triangle to a continuous version (the Pascal's Surface) might be geometrically helpful and revealing [6]. Another famous triangle, deeply involved in the reliability theory and counting problems, is the Catalan triangle (formed by the ballot numbers [2]), which can be also extended to a continuous surface [5]. We have investigated some geometric properties of these two surfaces: Gauss curvature, mean curvature, geodesics and level curves, as well as their symmetries [1,2].


Keywords Reliability theory, Catalan triangle, Pascal triangle.

## 1. Pascal's Triangle

Probably the most famous triangular arrangement of integers is the one containing the coefficients of the binomial expansion of $(x+y)^{n}$. It is known as Pascal's triangle, although the triangle itself has been known and studied many centuries earlier by other mathematicians in India (Acharya Pingala 3rd/2nd century B.C.), Halayudha (c. 10th century), the Arab world and Persia (Al-Karaji (953-1029) and Omar Khayyam (1048-1131)), China (Jia Xian (1010-1070), Yang Hui (12381298), and Zhu Shijie (1249-1314)), as well as Europe (Ramon Llull (1232-1316), Michael Stifel (1487-1567), Petrus Apianus (1495-1552), Niccolo Tartaglia (1499-1557) and Marin Mersenne (1588-1648)).

Still, Pascal proved several important properties of the binomial coefficients, and wrote the first modern treatise regarding this arithmetical triangle.
It seems that Blaise Pascal became aware of this arithmetical triangle for the first time when still in his teens, during a visit to Mersenne. In 1636, Father Mersenne published a large arithmetical triangle in Harmonicorum Libri XII (he wanted to apply the knowledge of combinatorics to musical theory). Almost two decades later, in 1654, Pascal wrote Traité du Triangle Arithmétique, which was not printed until 1665 (after Pascal's death). Among other aspects, it details 19 properties (Pascal called them Consequences) of the binomial coefficients that could be derived from this arithmetic triangle. Some of the most important identities are represented by Consequences V and VIII, which currently can be found in any high school math curriculum.
The first mathematician who named the triangle after Pascal was Pierre Raymond de Montmort in 1708, who called it Table de M. Pascal pour les combinaisons. Around 1730, Abraham de Moivre called it Triangulum Arithmeticum Pascalianum and this name has stuck with Western scientists, while being called Khayyam's triangle in Iran, and Yang Hui's triangle in China.
It should be mentioned that, using Pascal's triangle, one can derive and investigate many notable sequences of integers, e.g., Fibonacci, Catalan, Lucas, Bernoulli, and Stirling numbers.
Over time, Pascal's triangle has been represented in more than one form. In the following, we consider $P(\infty)$ the infinite symmetric matrix of components $P(\infty)_{i, j}=\binom{i+j}{i}$, for $i, j \geq 0$ :

$$
P(\infty)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots  \tag{1}\\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & \ldots \\
1 & 3 & 6 & 10 & 15 & 21 & \ldots \\
1 & 4 & 10 & 20 & 35 & 56 & \ldots \\
1 & 5 & 15 & 35 & 70 & 126 & \ldots \\
1 & 6 & 21 & 56 & 126 & 252 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

$P(\infty)$ is a perfect match of the form described by Pascal! (see Figure 1).
(https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:TrianguloPascal.jpg)
Direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\infty)=L(\infty) \cdot L(\infty)^{t}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L(\infty)$ is the infinite lower triangular matrix

$$
L(\infty)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & & & & & &  \tag{3}\\
1 & 1 & & & & & \\
1 & 2 & 1 & & & & \\
1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & & & \\
1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 & & \\
1 & 5 & 10 & 10 & 5 & 1 & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

with components $L(\infty)_{i, j}=\binom{i}{j}$, where $\binom{i}{j}=0$ if $i<j$.
Using the Euler's function gamma, which is a natural extension of the factorial to real numbers,

$$
\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} d t, \quad \Gamma(n+1)=n!, \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$



Figure 1:

Fowler [4] considered the extension of the classical integer binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ to real numbers $\binom{y}{x}$ (for $0 \leq x \leq y$ ); he mentioned that this surface defined by the binomial function $C=y!/ x!(y-x)!$ is Pascal's triangle interpolated to a steeply rising ridge and that he knows no evidence that the graph of $C$ has ever been plotted before. This corresponds to the $L(\infty)$ form of the triangle.

Pellicer and Alvo [7] introduced a generalization of the Pascal's triangle (the Modified Pascal Triangle) and extended the discrete Pascal's triangle (as well as its modified version) to a continuous graphical model corresponding to $P(\infty)$. They called these surfaces Pascal Surfaces and constructed their equation using the Euler's functions Gamma and Beta.

## 2. A Continuous Pascal's Surface

Let $x O y$ be a Cartesian coordinate system. Starting from the original Pascal's triangle, we consider the 3 -dimensional version of the infinite triangle corresponding to $P(\infty)$ formed by the points $\left(i, j,\binom{i+j}{i}\right.$ ), for every nonnegative integers $i, j$ (see Figure 2).


Figure 2: The 3-dimensional representation of the Pascal's Triangle

A continuous smooth surface interpolating the points $\left(i, j,\binom{i+j}{i}\right)$ is simply obtained by replacing the binomial coefficients $\binom{x+y}{y}=\frac{(x+y)!}{x: y!}$ with the continuous version $\frac{\Gamma(x+y+1)}{\Gamma(x+1) \Gamma(y+1)}$. Thus, we obtain the Pascal's Surface defined by the function

$$
f: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{3}, f(x, y)=(x, y, z(x, y)), \text { wherez}(x, y)=\frac{\Gamma(x+y+1)}{\Gamma(x+1) \Gamma(y+1)}, \quad x, y \geq 0 .
$$

We have studied the geometrical properties of this surface (presented in Figure 3). Recall that


Figure 3: Pascal's Surface
the digamma function $\psi$ is defined as the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function:

$$
\psi(x)=\frac{d}{d x} \ln \Gamma(x)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(x)}{\Gamma(x)} .
$$

We denote by $\varphi_{y}(x)$ the function

$$
\varphi_{y}(x)=\psi(x+y+1)-\psi(x+1)
$$

and calculate the coefficients of the first fundamental form, the unit normal vector to the Pascal's Surface, as well as the coefficients of the second fundamental form [3].
Thus, the mean curvature $H$ and the Gauss curvature $G$ have the following expressions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
H=\frac{g_{22} h_{11}-2 g_{12} h_{12}+g_{11} h_{22}}{2 \operatorname{det} g}= \\
=\frac{z(x, y)}{2(\operatorname{det} g)^{3 / 2}}\left[\varphi_{x}^{2}(y)+\varphi_{y}^{2}(x)+\varphi_{x}^{\prime}(y)\left(1+z^{2}(x, y) \varphi_{y}^{2}(x)\right)+\varphi_{y}^{\prime}(x)\left(1+z^{2}(x, y) \varphi_{x}^{2}(y)\right)\right. \\
\left.-2 z^{2}(x, y) \varphi_{x}(y) \varphi_{y}(x) \psi^{\prime}(x+y+1)\right], \\
G=\frac{h_{11} h_{22}-h_{12}^{2}}{\operatorname{det} g}==\frac{z^{2}(x, y)}{\operatorname{det}^{2} g}\left[\left(\varphi_{y}^{2}(x)+\varphi_{y}^{\prime}(x)\right)\left(\varphi_{x}^{2}(y)+\varphi_{x}^{\prime}(y)\right)-\left(\varphi_{y}(x) \varphi_{x}(y)+\psi^{\prime}(x+y+1)\right)^{2}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{det} g=1+z^{2}(x, y)\left(\varphi_{x}^{2}(y)+\varphi_{y}^{2}(x)\right)
$$

The graphs of the functions $H(x, y)$ and $G(x, y)$ are presented in Figure 4.


Figure 4: (a) The mean curvature $H(x, y)$; (b) the Gauss curvature $G(x, y)$ of the Pascal's Surface

## 3. A Catalan triangle

The Catalan numbers are one of the most well-known sequences of positive integers, closely related to the binomial coefficients in the Pascal's triangle. Richard Stanley [9] collected 214 combinatorial interpretations of Catalan numbers, illustrating their ubiquity. The book contains also a history of the multiple (re)discoveries of Catalan numbers (written by Igor Pak). The most important combinatorial interpretations of Catalan numbers are synthesised by the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 The Catalan number $C_{n}$ counts the following:
(i) Triangulations of a convex polygon with $n+2$ vertices.
(ii) Binary trees with $n$ vertices.
(iii) Plane trees with $n+1$ vertices.
(iv) Bracketings of a string of $n+1$ identical characters $x$ subject to a nonassociative binary operation.
$(v)$ Ballot sequences of length $2 n$.
(vi) Dyck paths of length $2 n$.

The mathematical expression of these magnificent numbers is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\frac{(2 n)!}{n!(n+1)!}=\binom{2 n}{n}-\binom{2 n}{n-1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Catalan numbers are closely related to the ballot numbers. These numbers occur in the solution of the ballot problem, which can be formulated as follows: two candidates $P$ and $Q$ receive in an election $p$ and $q$ votes respectively; supposing that $P$ wins $(p>q)$, what is the probability that $P$ stays (strictly) ahead of $Q$ during the counting of votes? The solution was given by $\mathbf{J}$. Bertrand in

1887 and was also found by D. André using the reflection principle and reformulating the problem in terms of lattice paths: a counting of votes such that $P$ stays (strictly) ahead of $Q$ corresponds to a lattice path form $(0,0)$ to $(p, q)$ with steps $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$, staying under the line $y=x$ (and never touching it, except for $(0,0))$.


Figure 5: Reflection of the part $A T$ with respect to the line $y=x$.

Consider (see Figure 5) a lattice path from $A$ to $M$ that touches (or crosses) the line $y=x$ at the point $T(k, k)$ for the first time. The part from $A$ to $T$ of the lattice path is reflected with respect to the line $y=x$. Thus, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the lattice paths from $A^{\prime}$ to $M$ and the lattice paths from $A$ to $M$ that have (at least) one vertex on the line $y=x$.
Since the number of lattice paths from $A^{\prime}(0,1)$ to $M(p, q)$ is equal to $\binom{p+q-1}{p}$, it follows that the number of lattice paths that do not touch or cross the line $y=x$ is equal to ${ }^{p}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(p, q)=\binom{p+q-1}{p-1}-\binom{p+q-1}{p}=\frac{p-q}{p+q}\binom{p+q}{p} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By dividing the number of favourable cases (6) to the total number of possible cases, we obtain the required probability $\frac{p-q}{p+q}$.
The numbers $B(p, q)$ are known as ballot numbers.
A ballot sequence of length $2 n$ is a sequence of $n 1$ 's and $n-1$ 's, such that every partial sum is nonnegative. From the relation above we obtain that the number of ballot sequences of length $2 n$ is the Catalan number $C_{n}$ :

$$
B(n+1, n)=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}=C_{n}
$$

If we write the numbers $B(p, q)$, for every $p=1,2, \ldots$ and $q=0,1, \ldots, p$ we obtain a triangle where the sequence of Catalan numbers appears twice (see ()).


This triangle is known as the Catalan triangle, being recorded as the sequence $\mathbf{A 0 0 9 7 6 6}$ in the On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [8]. As a matter of fact, there exist several triangles known as the Catalan triangle, but it seems that this is the most-standing form.

## 4. A Surface Associated to the Catalan Triangle

In the same way we extended the Pascal's triangle to obtain the Pascal's surface, we can extend the Catalan triangle to obtain the continuous surface (see Figure 6) defined in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space by the function

$$
f_{1}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{3}, \quad f_{1}(x, y)=\left(x, y, z_{1}(x, y)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}(x, y)=\frac{(x-y) \Gamma(x+y)}{\Gamma(x+1) \Gamma(y+1)}=\frac{x-y}{x+y} \cdot z(x, y) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be also written as

$$
z_{1}(x, y)=\frac{\Gamma(x+y)}{\Gamma(x) \Gamma(y+1)}-\frac{\Gamma(x+y)}{\Gamma(x+1) \Gamma(y)}=z(x-1, y)-z(x, y-1)
$$

If $x$ and $y$ are nonnegative integers, then $z_{1}(x, y)=\frac{x-y}{x+y}\binom{x+y}{x}$, which are exactly the numbers in the Catalan triangle (), completed (for $x \leq y$ ) to an (infinite) antisymmetric matrix:

| $*$ | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 2}$ | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 | -7 | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{- 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 5}$ | -9 | -14 | -20 | -27 | $\ldots$ |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{- 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 4}$ | -28 | -48 | -75 | $\ldots$ |
| 1 | 3 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{- 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 9 0}$ | -165 | $\ldots$ |
| 1 | 4 | 9 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{- 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 3 2}$ | -297 | $\ldots$ |
| 1 | 5 | 14 | 28 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{- 1 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 2 9}$ | $\ldots$ |
| 1 | 6 | 20 | 48 | 90 | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{- 4 2 9}$ | $\ldots$ |
| 1 | 7 | 27 | 75 | 165 | 297 | $\mathbf{4 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 9}$ | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |

We remark that the function $z_{1}(x, y)$ cannot be extended by continuity at $(0,0)$ :

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} z_{1}(x, 0)=1, \text { and } \lim _{y \rightarrow 0} z_{1}(0, y)=-1,
$$



Figure 6: The surface associated to the Catalan triangle
so the limit $\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow(0,0)} z_{1}(x, y)$ does not exist.

Since $z_{1}(y, x)=-z_{1}(x, y)$, for any $x, y$, we remark the symmetry of the surface w.r.t. the straight line

$$
L_{1}: x=y, z=0
$$

We also remark that for $x=y+1$ and $x=y+2$ respectively, where $y=n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Catalan numbers are obtained:

$$
z_{1}(n+1, n)=C_{n}, \quad z_{1}(n+2, n)=C_{n+1} .
$$

Similarly, for $y=x+1$ and $y=x+2$ respectively, $(x=n \in \mathbb{N})$ we obtain:

$$
z_{1}(n, n+1)=-C_{n}, \quad z_{1}(n, n+2)=-C_{n+1} .
$$

Besides the axis of symmetry, the surface contains four more straight lines:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{2}: y=0, z=1 \\
& L_{3}: y=1, z=x-1 \\
& L_{4}: x=0, z=-1 \\
& L_{5}: x=1, z=1-y
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, one can prove that there are no more than these 5 lines entirely contained in the surface!
Theorem 4.1 The lines $L_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 5$ are the only straight lines contained in the surface $(S)$.
These geometrical properties of the surface associated to the Catalan triangle are illustrated in Figure 7.


Figure 7: The points corresponding to Catalan numbers $C_{n}$ (the blue ones) and negative Catalan numbers $-C_{n}$ (the green ones); the (red) lines $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{5}$ contained in the surface associated to the Catalan triangle.

More general, we have the following result regarding the cross-section of the surface with planes of the form $x=n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y=n \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively.

Proposition 4.2 The curves of intersection of the surface related to Catalan triangle with planes of the form $x=n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $y=n \in \mathbb{N}$ are polynomials of degree $n$.

We denote by $\phi_{y}(x)$ the function

$$
\phi_{y}(x)=\psi(x+y)-\psi(x+1)+\frac{1}{x-y} .
$$

By straightforward computations the expressions of the mean curvature $H$ and the Gauss curvature $G$ are obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & =\frac{g_{22} h_{11}-2 g_{12} h_{12}+g_{11} h_{22}}{2 \operatorname{det} g} \\
& =\frac{z(x, y)}{2(\operatorname{det} g)^{3 / 2}}\left[\phi_{x}^{2}(y)+\phi_{y}^{2}(x)+\phi_{x}^{\prime}(y)\left(1+z^{2}(x, y) \phi_{y}^{2}(x)\right)+\phi_{y}^{\prime}(x)\left(1+z^{2}(x, y) \phi_{x}^{2}(y)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-2 z^{2}(x, y) \phi_{x}(y) \phi_{y}(x)\left(\psi^{\prime}(x+y)+\frac{1}{(x-y)^{2}}\right)\right] \\
G= & \frac{h_{11} h_{22}-h_{12}^{2}}{\operatorname{det} g} \\
= & \frac{z^{2}(x, y)}{\operatorname{det}^{2} g}\left[\left(\phi_{y}^{2}(x)+\phi_{y}^{\prime}(x)\right)\left(\phi_{x}^{2}(y)+\phi_{x}^{\prime}(y)\right)-\left(\phi_{y}(x) \phi_{x}(y)+\psi^{\prime}(x+y)+\frac{1}{(x-y)^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{det} g=1+z^{2}(x, y)\left(\phi_{x}^{2}(y)+\phi_{y}^{2}(x)\right)$.


Figure 8: (a) The mean curvature $H(x, y)$; (b) the Gauss curvature $G(x, y)$ of the surface associated to the Catalan triangle.

Remark 4.3 If $z(x, y)$ is an anti-symmetric function, that is,

$$
z(y, x)=-z(x, y)
$$

then the surface explicitly defined by $z=z(x, y)$ has the mean curvature $H(x, y)$ with the same property,

$$
H(y, x)=-H(x, y)
$$

while the Gauss curvature $G(x, y)$ is a symmetric function:

$$
G(y, x)=G(x, y)
$$

These properties are satisfied by the surface $z_{1}(x, y)$, as it can be easily observed in Figure 8, which presents the mean curvature and the Gauss curvature of the surface associated to the Catalan triangle.
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#### Abstract

During the past few decades, M-theory, a "theory of everything" has become a very active and exciting area of research as a leading candidate to unify the four fundamental forces of nature - electromagnetism, gravity, the weak and strong nuclear forces. In this paper we will discuss manifolds with special holonomy, spaces whose infinitesimal symmetries play an important role in M-theory compactifications. We will begin by providing brief introductions to $G_{2}$ manifolds and their Harvey-Lawson submanifolds, followed by a survey of recent research exploring the relations between symplectic, contact, and calibrated structures on such manifolds.
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## 1. Introduction

In 10 -dimensional superstring theory, it is conjectured that the additional dimensions of spacetime $(10=4+6)$ form a 6 -dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold. This implies that, in this string theory, the universe is locally represented as $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times X$, where $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is Minkowski space-time, and $X$ is a 6 -dimensional (real) Calabi-Yau manifold. Similarly, in M-theory (considered a theory of everything), the extra dimensions of spacetime $(11=4+7)$ are postulated to constitute a 7 -dimensional $G_{2}$ manifold. This means that the universe, within the framework of M-theory, is locally modeled on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times M$, where $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is Minkowski space-time, and $M$ is a 7-dimensional (real) $G_{2}$ manifold.
In this paper, we begin by providing brief introductions to Calabi-Yau and $G_{2}$ manifolds and then we explore geometric structures on $G_{2}$ manifolds.
A 6-dimensional Riemannian manifold has an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure if the structure group of its frame bundle is reduced to the compact, special unitary group $\mathrm{SU}(3)$. $\mathrm{SU}(3)$
is a Lie group consisting of $3 \times 3$ complex matrices with determinant 1 and unitary transformations:

$$
S U(3)=\left\{A \in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}: A^{\dagger} A=I, \operatorname{det}(A)=1\right\}
$$

where $A^{\dagger}$ represents the conjugate transpose of matrix $A, I$ is the identity matrix, and $\operatorname{det}(A)$ is the determinant of $A$. The condition $A^{\dagger} A=I$ ensures unitarity, and $\operatorname{det}(A)=1$ ensures that the matrices have determinant 1. A Calabi-Yau manifold is a Kähler manifold with a holonomy group contained within $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{n})$, where $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{n})$ is the special unitary group of complex $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrices. In this context, our focus is on the case where $\mathrm{n}=3$ for the Calabi-Yau manifold.
Similarly, a 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold $M$ has a $G_{2}$-structure if the structure group of its frame bundle is reduced to the compact, exceptional Lie group $G_{2}$. This condition implies that $M$ is orientable and admits a spin structure. Alternatively, it means that the first and second Stiefel-Whitney classes of $M$ vanish. A 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is called a $G_{2}$ manifold if the holonomy group of its Levi-Civita connection for the metric $g$ is contained within $G_{2} \subset S O(7)$.

A manifold with holonomy $G_{2}$ was first introduced by E. Bonan in 1966, showing that this manifold should be Ricci-flat [6]. In 1982, R. Harvey and B. Lawson studied the geometric structures on $G_{2}$ manifolds [13]. In 1989, R. Bryant and S. Salamon constructed the first examples of non-compact manifolds with $G_{2}$ holonomy [9]. Physicists are highly interested in $G_{2}$ manifolds due to their crucial role in M-theory compactifications.

More recently, in collaboration with F. Arikan and H. Cho [5], we showed that any 7 -manifold with a spin structure, and thus a $G_{2}$-structure, also admits a compatible almost contact metric structure. Additionally, we showed that certain classes of $G_{2^{-}}$ manifolds have a contact structure. For further details on geometric structures of $G_{2}$ manifolds and their applications, refer to [5], [8], [7], [10], [11], [12], and [13].

## An Open Problem:

Calabi-Yau Theorem: If M is a compact Kähler manifold with Kähler metric $g$ and Kähler form $\omega$, and R is any ( 1,1 )-form representing the manifold's first Chern class, then there exists a unique Kähler metric $\tilde{g}$ on M with Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}$ such that $\omega$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ represent the same class in cohomology $H^{2}(M, \mathbb{R})$ and the Ricci form of $\tilde{\omega}$ is $R$.
S.T. Yau proved this theorem (The Calabi Conjecture) in 1978, through the solvability of the inhomogeneous complex Monge-Ampere equations on compact Kähler manifolds.

One important advance in differential geometry will be to state and prove a theorem analogous to the one for $G_{2}$ manifolds, which is currently an open problem. This will also contribute to our understanding of the topological obstructions to the existence of $G_{2}$ holonomy (Ricci flat) metrics on 7-manifolds.

## 2. $G_{2}$-structures

In this section we review the basics of $G_{2}$ geometry. More on the subject can be found in [1], [2], [3], [4], [8], and [13].

The set of octonions $\mathbb{O}=\mathbb{H} \bigoplus l \mathbb{H}=\mathbb{R}^{8}$ gives an 8 dimensional division algebra generated by $\{1, i, j, k, l, l i, l j, l k\}$. The set of imaginary octonions $\operatorname{im}(\mathbb{O})=\mathbb{R}^{7}$ has a cross product operation $\times: \mathbb{R}^{7} \times \mathbb{R}^{7} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{7}$, defined by $u \times v=\operatorname{Im}(v$. $u$ ), where $\cdot$ is the octonionic multiplication. The exceptional Lie group $G_{2}$ is the linear automorphisms of the imaginary octonions $\operatorname{im}(\mathbb{O}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{7}$ preserving this cross product.

By a theorem of Schouten, [8], [17], the group $G_{2}$ can also be defined as the subgroup of $G L(7, \mathbb{R})$ which preserves the 3 -form $\varphi_{0} \in \Omega^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$,

$$
\varphi_{0}=e^{123}+e^{145}+e^{167}+e^{246}-e^{257}-e^{347}-e^{356}
$$

where $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}\right)$ are the coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ and $e^{i j k}=d x_{i} \wedge d x_{j} \wedge d x_{k}$. That is,

$$
G_{2}=\left\{A \in G L(7, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^{*} \varphi_{0}=\varphi_{0}\right\}
$$

Then we can define the manifold with $G_{2}$ structure:
Definition 2.1 A manifold with $G_{2}$ structure is a smooth 7-dimensional manifold $M$ such that the structure group of $M$ reduces to the exceptional Lie group $G_{2}$. Equivalently, it is a 7 -dimensional manifold $M$ that admits a nondegenerate 3 -form $\varphi \in \Omega^{3}(M)$ such that at any point $p \in M$,

$$
\left(T_{p} M, \varphi_{p}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}, \varphi_{0}\right)
$$

A manifold with $G_{2}$ structure determines a metric $g$ and a cross product $\times$ on $M$ such that

$$
\varphi(u, v, w)=g(u \times v, w), \quad u, v, w \in T M .
$$

Definition 2.2 Suppose $(M, \varphi)$ is a manifold with $G_{2}$ structure. We call $(M, \varphi)$ a $G_{2}$-manifold if $\varphi$ is covariantly constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Note that the covariantly constant condition is equivalent to saying that the form $\varphi$ is closed and co-closed, i.e.

$$
d \varphi=d \star \varphi=0
$$

In 1982, Harvey and Lawson called $\varphi$ and $\star \varphi$ the calibration 3 -form and 4 -form, respectively, when they introduced calibrated submanifolds. They showed that calibrated submanifolds are volume-minimizing in their homology class.
Definition 2.3 A calibration is a closed $p$-form $\phi$ on a Riemannian manifold $X^{n}$ such that $\phi$ restricts to each oriented tangent $p$-plane of $X^{n}$ to be less than or equal to the volume form of that $p$-plane.

Definition 2.4 The submanifolds of $X^{n}$ for which the $p$-form $\phi$ restricts to be equal to the Riemannian volume form are called to be calibrated by the form $\phi$.

The term calibrated geometry represents the ambient manifold $X$, the calibration $\phi$, and the collection of submanifolds calibrated by $\phi$.
There are two types of calibrated submanifolds of $G_{2}$ manifolds.
Definition 2.5 Let $(M, \varphi)$ be a $G_{2}$ manifold with calibration 3-form $\varphi$. A 4dimensional submanifold $C \subset M$ is called coassociative if $\left.\varphi\right|_{C}=0$. A 3dimensional submanifold $A \subset M$ is called associative if $\left.\varphi\right|_{A}=\operatorname{dvol}(A)$.

Note that the condition $\left.\varphi\right|_{A}=\operatorname{dvol}(A)$ is equivalent to the condition that $\left.\chi\right|_{A} \equiv 0$, where $\chi \in \Omega^{3}(M, T M)$ is the tangent bundle-valued 3-form defined by the identity:

$$
\langle\chi(u, v, w), z\rangle=* \varphi(u, v, w, z) .
$$

The equivalence of these conditions follows from the 'associator equality' of

$$
\varphi(u, v, w)^{2}+|\chi(u, v, w)|^{2} / 4=|u \wedge v \wedge w|^{2} .
$$

Then one can define "Harvey-Lawson" submanifolds, [4]:
Definition 2.6 A Harvey-Lawson manifold is a 3-dimensional submanifold $H L \subset$ $M$ of a $G_{2}$ manifold such that

$$
\left.\varphi\right|_{H L}=0
$$

Equivalently, this is defined by $\left\langle\left.\chi\right|_{H L},\left.\chi\right|_{H L}\right\rangle=1$.
One can also define a tangent bundle-valued 2-form, which is just the cross product of $M$, [3].

Definition 2.7 Let $(M, \varphi)$ be a $G_{2}$ manifold. Then $\psi \in \Omega^{2}(M, T M)$ is the tangent bundle-valued 2 -form defined by the identity

$$
\langle\psi(u, v), w\rangle=\varphi(u, v, w)=\langle u \times v, w\rangle .
$$

Note that at any point on $M$, there exists an orthonormal frame such that tangent bundle-valued forms $\chi$ and $\psi$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi= & \left(e^{256}+e^{247}+e^{346}-e^{357}\right) e_{1} \\
& +\left(-e^{156}-e^{147}-e^{345}-e^{367}\right) e_{2} \\
& +\left(e^{157}-e^{146}+e^{245}+e^{267}\right) e_{3} \\
& +\left(e^{127}+e^{136}-e^{235}-e^{567}\right) e_{4} \\
& +\left(e^{126}-e^{137}+e^{234}+e^{467}\right) e_{5} \\
& +\left(-e^{125}-e^{134}-e^{237}-e^{457}\right) e_{6} \\
& +\left(-e^{124}+e^{135}+e^{236}+e^{456}\right) e_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi= & \left(e^{23}+e^{45}+e^{67}\right) e_{1} \\
& +\left(e^{46}-e^{57}-e^{13}\right) e_{2} \\
& +\left(e^{12}-e^{47}-e^{56}\right) e_{3} \\
& +\left(e^{37}-e^{15}-e^{26}\right) e_{4} \\
& +\left(e^{14}+e^{27}+e^{36}\right) e_{5} \\
& +\left(e^{24}-e^{17}-e^{35}\right) e_{6} \\
& +\left(e^{16}-e^{25}-e^{34}\right) e_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}$ is the local orthonormal frame with dual frame $e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}$.

## 3. Harvey-Lawson Submanifolds

It is well-known that a smooth symplectic manifold $N^{2 n}$ admits a closed, nondegenerate differential 2-form $\omega$. An $n$-dimensional submanifold $L^{n}$ of $N^{2 n}$ is called Lagrangian if the restriction of $\omega$ to $L$ is zero. In symplectic geometry, there is a famous quote by Alan Weinstein which says, 'Everything is Lagrangian,' because every manifold is the Lagrangian zero section of its cotangent bundle. In our recent paper [15], as a new quote, we stated that 'Every closed, oriented, smooth 3-manifold is Harvey-Lawson,' and proved the following:
Theorem 3.1 Let $\left(Y^{3}, g\right)$ be a closed, oriented, real analytic Riemannian 3manifold. Then there exists a $G_{2}$-manifold $M^{7}$ and an isometric embedding $i: Y \hookrightarrow M$ such that the image $i(Y)$ is a $H L$-submanifold, contained in a compact coassociative submanifold of $M$.

In [8], R. Bryant proved that every closed, oriented, real analytic Riemannian 4manifold whose bundle of self-dual 2 -forms is trivial can be isometrically embedded as a coassociative submanifold in a $G_{2}$-manifold, even as the fixed locus of an anti- $G_{2}$ involution. Moreover, there exists a tubular neighborhood of $i(Y)$ in $M$ which is trivial.

Let $\left(Y^{3}, g\right)$ be a closed, oriented, real analytic Riemannian 3-manifold. Then the oriented 4-manifold $Y \times S^{1}$ is spin and has zero signature. Moreover the Euler characteristic is also zero. These imply that the bundle of self-dual 2-forms on $Y \times S^{1}$ is topologically trivial. By [8], $Y \times S^{1}$ can be isometrically embedded as a coassociative submanifold in a $G_{2}$-manifold. Therefore, $Y$ will be a HarveyLawson submanifold of this $G_{2}$-manifold.

We now study the normal bundle of a $H L$ submanifold inside a $G_{2}$ manifold $M$. An orthonormal 3-frame field $\Gamma=\{u, v, w\}$ on $(M, \varphi)$ is called a $G_{2}$-frame field if $\varphi(u, v, w)=\langle u \times v, w\rangle=0,[1]$, [2]. It is also well known that there always exists a nonvanishing 2-frame field $\Lambda=\{u, v\}$ on a manifold with $G_{2}$ structure, [18].

Let $T M=\mathbb{E} \oplus \mathbb{V}$ be the splitting with $\mathbb{E}=\{u, v, u \times v\}$ and the corresponding $\mathbb{V}$. Let $w$ be a unit section of the bundle $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$. The existence of $w$ on the entire manifold $M$ is not guaranteed, but one can show that $w$ exists on a tubular
neighborhood of the 3 -skeleton $M^{(3)}$ of $M$ which is the complement of a 3 complex $Y \subset M$. Let $\Gamma=\{u, v, w\}$ be an orthonormal $G_{2}$ frame field satisfying $\varphi(u, v, w)=\langle u \times v, w\rangle=0$, and we consider another non-vanishing vector field defined as

$$
R=\chi(u, v, w)=-u \times(v \times w)
$$

One can show that the following properties hold ([4]):
(a) If $\{u, v, w\}$ is a HL 3-plane field, then $\mathbb{V}=\{u, v, w, R\}$ is a coassociative 4-plane field.
(b) $\mathbb{E}=\{u \times v, v \times w, w \times u\}$ is an associative 3-plane field.
(c) $\mathbb{E} \perp \mathbb{V}$ and $\{u, v, w, R, u \times v, v \times w, w \times u\}$ is an orthonormal frame field on M.

Using the identification of vectors and 1-forms through the metric $g$, the 3-form $\varphi$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi=u \wedge v \wedge & (u \times v)+v \wedge w \wedge(v \times w)+w \wedge u \wedge(w \times u) \\
+u \wedge R \wedge & (v \times w)+v \wedge R \wedge(w \times u)+w \wedge R \wedge(u \times v) \\
& -(u \times v) \wedge(v \times w) \wedge(w \times u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $\{u, v, w, R, u \times v, v \times w, w \times u\}$ and $\{u, v, w, R, w \times R, u \times R, v \times R\}$ are equivalent frames, $\varphi$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi= & u \wedge v \wedge(w \times R)+v \wedge w \wedge(u \times R)+w \wedge u \wedge(v \times R) \\
+u \wedge R \wedge & (u \times R)+v \wedge R \wedge(v \times R)+w \wedge R \wedge(w \times R) \\
& -(w \times R) \wedge(u \times R) \wedge(v \times R)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this expression for $\varphi$, the normal bundle of an $H L$ submanifold can then be decomposed as

$$
N(H L)=\tilde{N}(H L) \oplus R,
$$

where $\tilde{N}(H L)$ is generated by vector fields $u \times R, v \times R$, and $w \times R$. Since $\langle\psi(u, v), w\rangle=\varphi(u, v, w)=\langle u \times v, w\rangle=0$ for an $H L$ submanifold, $\tilde{N}(H L)$ is isomorphic to $T(H L)$. The cross product structure $\times$ (also known as $\psi$ ) induces this isomorphism. Note that every oriented 3 manifold is parallelizable. So $T(H L)$ is trivial. And hence $N(H L)$ is trivial. So $N(H L)=\tilde{N}(H L) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is trivial.

Next, we study the deformations of $H L$-submanifolds in a $G_{2}$ manifold. For more on the subject, see [12]. Recall that McLean studied deformations of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds in Calabi-Yau manifolds, [14]. Using the same approach we can prove the following theorem [12].
Theorem 3.2 The space of infinitesimal deformations of a smooth, compact, orientable 3-dimensional Harvey-Lawson submanifold $H L$ in a $G_{2}$ manifold $M$ within the class of $H L$-submanifolds is infinite-dimensional. This space can be identified with the direct sum of the spaces of smooth functions and closed 2-forms on $H L$.

Proof. For a small vector field $V$, the deformation map is a map, $F$, defined from an open neighborhood of zero in the space of sections of the normal bundle, $U \subset$ $\Gamma(N(H L))$, to the space of differential 3 -forms on $H L, \Lambda^{3} T^{*}(H L)$, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
F: U \rightarrow \Lambda^{3} T^{*}(H L) \\
F(V)=\left(\exp _{V}\right)^{*}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{H L_{V}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that the deformation map $F$ first restricts $\varphi$ to $H L_{V}$ and then pulls this back to $H L$ via $\left(\exp _{V}\right)^{*}$ where $\exp _{V}$ is the normal exponential map that gives a diffeomorphism of $H L$ onto its image $H L_{V}$ in a neighborhood of 0 .
Since $H L$ is compact, normal vector fields can be identified with nearby submanifolds. Under this identification, the kernel of $F$ then corresponds to $H L$ deformations.
The linearization of $F$ at 0 is given by

$$
d F(0): \Gamma(N(H L)) \rightarrow \Lambda^{3} T^{*}(H L)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
d F(0)(V) & =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(t V)\right|_{t=0}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\exp _{t V}^{*}(\varphi)\right] \\
& =\left[\left.\mathcal{L}_{V}(\varphi)\right|_{H L}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, by Cartan's formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d F(0)(V) & =\left.\left(\iota_{V} d \varphi+d\left(\iota_{V} \varphi\right)\right)\right|_{H L} \\
& =\left.d\left(\iota_{V} \varphi\right)\right|_{H L},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\iota_{V}$ represents the interior derivative. Using the decomposition of the normal bundle, $N(H L)=\tilde{N}(H L) \oplus\langle R\rangle$, we write

$$
V=V^{\prime}+V_{R} R
$$

Note that $V^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to a vector field $V^{\prime \prime} \in T(H L)$ since $\tilde{N}(H L) \cong T(H L)$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d F(0)(V) & =\left.d\left(\left(\iota_{V^{\prime}} \varphi\right)+V_{R}\left(\iota_{R} \varphi\right)\right)\right|_{H L} \\
& =\left.d\left(\iota_{V^{\prime}} \varphi\right)\right|_{H L} \\
& =d(\star \eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta$ is the dual 1-form to the vector field $V^{\prime \prime}$ with respect to the induced metric, and $\star \eta$ is the Hodge dual of $\eta$ on HL. Hence

$$
d F(0)(V)=d(\star \eta)=d^{*} \eta .
$$

Therefore, the set of nontrivial deformations for $H L$-submanifolds can be identified with closed 2-forms on $H L$. However, this description omits additional deforma-tions-specifically, the trivial deformations arising from the $R$ component of the vector field. These correspond to the deformation of a 3-dimensional $H L$ manifold within a coassociative submanifold. By definition, any such 3-manifold will be $H L$, implying that deformations of $H L$ within a coassociative submanifold in the direction of $R$ can be identified with smooth functions on $H L$.

## 4. Contact and Almost Contact Manifolds

In this section we will review the properties of (almost) contact structures on manifolds with $G_{2}$ structure and show that every manifold with $G_{2}$ structure is almost contact. For more on the subject see [5].

Let $M$ be a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional smooth manifold. A plane field (or hyperplane distribution) $\xi$ on $M$ can (locally) be given as the kernel of 1-form $\alpha: \xi_{x}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{x}\right)$, $x \in M$.
Definition 4.1 A contact structure on $M$ is a hyperplane field $\xi$ that is (locally) given by the kernel of a 1-form $\alpha$ such that $\alpha \wedge(d \alpha)^{n} \neq 0$. The pair $(M, \xi)$ is called a contact manifold.

Definition 4.2 An almost contact structure on an odd-dimensional differentiable manifold $\left(M^{2 n+1}, J, R, \alpha\right)$ consists of a field $J$ of endomorphisms of the tangent spaces, a vector field $R$, and a 1-form $\alpha$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\text { (i) } \alpha(R)=1 \text {, }
$$

(ii) $J^{2}=-\mathrm{id}+\alpha \otimes R$.

Here id denotes the identity transformation.
Definition 4.3 An almost contact metric structure on an odd-dimensional differentiable manifold ( $M^{2 n+1}, J, R, \alpha, g$ ) consists of an almost contact structure ( $J, R, \alpha$ ) and a Riemannian metric $g$ satisfying

$$
g(J u, J v)=g(u, v)-\alpha(u) \alpha(v)
$$

for all vector fields $u, v$ in $T M$. In this case such a $g$ is called a compatible metric. Theorem 4.1 (A-C-S) Let $\left(M^{7}, \varphi\right)$ be a manifold with $G_{2}$ structure. Then M admits an almost contact structure. Moreover, for any non-vanishing vector field $R$ on $M$, $\left(J, R, \alpha_{R},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varphi}=g_{\varphi}\right)$ is an almost contact metric structure on $M$, [5].
Proof. Here we give an explicit construction of the almost contact structure. More can be found in [5]. Let $(M, \varphi)$ be a manifold with $G_{2}$-structure. As $M$ is 7dimensional there exists a nowhere vanishing vector field $R$ on $M$. Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\phi}$ denotes the Riemannian metric and $\times_{\varphi}$ denotes the cross product determined by $\varphi$. Using the metric, we define the 1 -form $\alpha$ as the metric dual of $R$, that is,

$$
\alpha(u)=\langle R, u\rangle_{\varphi} .
$$

The cross product $\times_{\varphi}$ and $R$ defines an endomorphism $J_{R}: T M \rightarrow T M$ of the tangent spaces by

$$
J_{R}(u)=R \times_{\varphi} u
$$

We take the structure $\left(J_{R}, R, \alpha_{R}\right)$ described as above. Then we get

$$
\alpha_{R}(R)=g_{\varphi}(R, R)=1
$$

and

$$
J_{R}^{2}(u)=J_{R}\left(R \times_{\varphi} u\right)=R \times_{\varphi}\left(R \times_{\varphi} u\right)=-|R|^{2} u+g_{\varphi}(R, u) R
$$

So we conclude that $\left(J_{R}, R, \alpha_{R}\right)$ is an almost contact structure on $(M, \varphi)$.
Note that $J_{R}(R)=0$, and so $J_{R}$, indeed, defines a complex structure on the orthogonal complement $R^{\perp}$ of $R$ with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varphi}$.

Next, we show that $g_{\varphi}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varphi}$ is a compatible metric with an almost contact structure $\left(J_{R}, R, \alpha_{R}\right)$. In order to show this, we compute $g(J u, J v)$ and show that it is equal to $g(u, v)-\alpha(u) \alpha(v)$ for all $u, v \in T M$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle J_{R} u, J_{R} v\right\rangle_{\varphi} & =\langle R \times u, R \times v\rangle=\varphi(R, u, R \times v) \\
& =-\varphi(R, R \times v, u)=-\langle R \times(R \times v), u\rangle \\
& \left.\left.\left.=-\left.\langle-| R\right|^{2} v+\langle R, v\rangle R, u\right\rangle=\left.\langle | R\right|^{2} v, u\right\rangle-\langle\langle R, v\rangle R, u\rangle\right\rangle \\
& \left.\left.=\left.\langle | R\right|^{2} v, u\right\rangle-\langle\alpha(v) R, u\rangle=\left.\langle u,| R\right|^{2} v\right\rangle-\alpha(v)\langle R, u\rangle \\
& \left.=\left.\langle u,| R\right|^{2} v\right\rangle-\alpha(v) \alpha(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

And hence $g(J u, J v)=g(u, v)-\alpha(u) \alpha(v)$ for all $u, v \in T M$.
Note that in the special case where $u=R($ or $v=R)$ then

$$
\left\langle J_{R} R, J_{R} v\right\rangle=\left\langle 0, J_{R} v\right\rangle=0 \text { and }\langle R, v\rangle-\alpha(R) \alpha(v)=\alpha(v)-
$$

$\alpha(v)=0$
And if $u, v$ are both taken from the orthogonal complement $R^{\perp}$ (wrt $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varphi}$ ), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle J_{R} u, J_{R} v\right\rangle & =\langle R \times u, R \times v\rangle=\varphi(R, u, R \times v)=-\varphi(R, R \times v, u) \\
& \left.=-\langle R \times(R \times v), u\rangle=-\left.\langle-| R\right|^{2} v+\langle R, v\rangle R, u\right\rangle \\
& =-\langle-v, u\rangle=\langle u, v\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

We now prove an extension theorem that states the relations between contact structures on submanifolds (associative and Harvey-Lawson) and the ambient $G_{2}$ manifold.

Theorem 4.2 There is an almost contact structure on a $G_{2}$-manifold $M$ coming from an (almost) contact structure on an associative 3-manifold $Y$. This also holds for 3-dimensional $H L$ submanifolds of $M$.
Proof. Let $Y$ be an associative (or HL) 3-dimensional submanifold of $M$. Since $Y$ is 3-dimensional there always exists a contact structure $\xi_{Y}\left(=\operatorname{ker} \alpha_{Y}\right)$ and a corresponding almost contact metric structure $\left(J_{Y}, R_{Y}, \alpha_{Y}, g_{Y}\right)$. Here $J_{Y}$ is an almost complex structure on $\xi_{Y}$.

Note that the Stiefel manifold $V_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the set of all orthonormal $k$-frames in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is equivalent to the set of ordered orthonormal k-tuples of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is an $n-$ $k-1$ connected, compact manifold whose dimension is given by $n k-1 / 2 k(k+1)$, (4-connected for $n=7$ and $k=2$ ).

One can use classical obstruction theory to show that there is no obstruction for the homotopy extension theorem. Here we have the fibers given by $V_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$. If $M$ has a 2-frame field, then this implies that the fiber bundle $V_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$ has a section. One can check when two such sections of this fiber bundle are homotopic to each other using obstruction theory. The number of the sections of $V_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$ is given by $H^{i}\left(Y, \pi_{i}(F)\right)$, where $F$ is the fiber. Since $Y$ is 3 dimensional and $V_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$ is 4-connected these groups are zero up to homotopy, in another words, one can always deform one section to the other.

Now, let $\xi_{Y}$ be the 2-plane spanned by two nonzero vectors $u$, $v$. By homotopy extension theorem we can extend them to the ambient manifold $G_{2}$ manifold $M$. Denote $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ by the extensions of $u$ and $v$ respectively. One can easily check that this extension of 2-plane field provides and almost contact structure on $G_{2}$ manifold.

Let's define a vector in $M$ as $R^{\prime}=u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}$ and a linear transformation $J^{\prime}: T_{p} M \rightarrow$ $T_{p} M$ as $J^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=R^{\prime} \times u^{\prime}$. Then using the properties of the cross product we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\right) & =\left(u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}\right) \times u^{\prime}=-u^{\prime} \times\left(u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-\left(-\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} v^{\prime}+\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle u^{\prime}\right)=v^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

and if we apply $J^{\prime}$ again

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime}\left(J^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right) & =J^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=R^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}=\left(u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}\right) \times v^{\prime} \\
& =-v^{\prime} \times\left(u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}\right) \\
& =v^{\prime} \times\left(v^{\prime} \times u^{\prime}\right)=-\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2} u^{\prime}+\left\langle v^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right\rangle v^{\prime}=-u^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, one can show that for any nonzero vector $w$ in the orthogonal complement of $R^{\prime} \in T_{p} M$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime 2}(w) & =J^{\prime}\left(J^{\prime}(w)\right)=J^{\prime}\left(\left(u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}\right) \times w\right) \\
& =\left(u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}\right) \times\left(\left(u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}\right) \times w\right) \\
& =-\left|u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}\right|^{2} w+\left\langle u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}, w\right\rangle\left(u^{\prime} \times v^{\prime}\right)=-w .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\left(J^{\prime}, R^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is an almost contact structure of $M$ for 1-form $\alpha^{\prime}$ that satisfies $\left\langle R^{\prime}, \cdot\right\rangle_{\varphi}=g_{\varphi}\left(R^{\prime}, \cdot\right)=\alpha^{\prime}(\cdot)$.

## 5. Interesting Questions

In this paper, we explored the relationships among $G_{2}$ structures, vector fields, and (almost) contact structures on $G_{2}$ manifolds and their Harvey-Lawson submanifolds. An intriguing avenue for further investigation lies in understanding the connections between Harvey-Lawson manifolds and the construction of certain 'mirror dual' Calabi-Yau submanifolds within a $G_{2}$ manifold. Specifically, when given a Harvey-Lawson manifold HL, it would be interesting to investigate how one can assign a pair of tangent bundle-valued 2 and 3-forms to a $G_{2}$ manifold ( $M, H L, \varphi, \Lambda$ ), where $\varphi$ is the calibration 3-form and $\Lambda$ is an oriented 2-plane field. As shown
in [3], these forms can then be utilized to define different complex and symplectic structures on certain 6-dimensional subbundles of $T(M)$. Upon integration of these bundles, mirror Calabi-Yau manifolds are obtained. Additionally, an intriguing question is how contact and symplectic structures in Calabi-Yau and $G_{2}$ manifolds are related, along with the role of Harvey-Lawson submanifolds. Furthermore, it is very interesting to understand how these contact and symplectic structures will help to state and prove a theorem analogous to the one for $G_{2}$ manifolds-a theorem to find the topological condition to guarantee the existence of integrable $G_{2}$ structures, which is currently an 'open problem,' as mentioned earlier. We expect that these geometric structures will contribute to our understanding of the topological obstructions to the existence of $G_{2}$ holonomy (Ricci flat) metrics on 7-manifolds. In a future project, we plan to address these questions and extend the constructions to $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ manifolds and their submanifolds, [16].
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#### Abstract

We give a brief overview of the variational theory of the family of higher-power energy functionals for mappings between Riemannian manifolds, and its generalisation to sections of Riemannian fibre bundles, with particular emphasis on Riemannian vector bundles and their sphere bundles. The main example is Ramachandran's complete classification of all higher-power harmonic left-invariant vector fields on 3-dimensional unimodular Lie groups equipped with an arbitrary left-invariant Riemannian metric, which rounds out the picture for harmonic vector fields in these cases obtained by Gonzalez-Davila and Vanhecke [3].
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## 1. Introduction

The ideas of "higher-power energy" and "higher-power harmonic maps" appeared briefly in the foundational paper [1] of Eells and Sampson. However, despite the subsequent popularity of harmonic mappings, they made comparitively little headway, at least within the differential geometric community ${ }^{74}$. During my graduate studies (with Eells) I spent some time thinking about "higher-power harmonicity", and wrote up what I learned as the first part of my PhD thesis [10]. However, this material was not published. More recently, my graduate student Anand Ramachandran was able to develop some new angles on the topic in his PhD thesis [8]. We then decided to write a joint paper [9] combining results from both our theses, intended to be a foundation for the theory of "higher-power harmonic sections". Unfortunately Anand passed away before the paper was completed, so it now also stands as a memorial to him and his work.
This talk is a brief summary of [9], together with a few results that we were unable to fit in to that paper (Theorems, , and ). For completeness, the proofs of these

[^15]additional results have been included here. (There are further results from [8] concerning non-unimodular 3-dimensional Lie groups that do not appear either here or in [9], and still await publication.) The intention is to give some flavour of the topic, without getting bogged down with too much technical detail.

## 2. Higher-power harmonic maps

We begin with some brief algebraic preliminaries. Suppose $V$ is an $m$-dimensional (real) vector space, and $\alpha$ is a linear endomorphism of $V$. Writing the characteristic polynomial of $\alpha$ as follows:

$$
\chi_{\alpha}(\lambda)=\varepsilon_{m}(\alpha)-\varepsilon_{m-1}(\alpha) \lambda+\cdots+(-1)^{m-1} \varepsilon_{1}(\alpha) \lambda^{m-1}+(-1)^{m} \varepsilon_{0}(\alpha) \lambda^{m}
$$

we have that:

$$
\varepsilon_{m}(\alpha)=\operatorname{det}(\alpha), \ldots, \varepsilon_{1}(\alpha)=\operatorname{trace}(\alpha), \varepsilon_{0}(\alpha)=1
$$

In general, $\varepsilon_{r}(\alpha)$ is the $r$-th elementary invariant of $\alpha$; if $\alpha$ is diagonalisable then $\varepsilon_{r}(\alpha)$ is simply the $r$-th elementary symmetric polynomial in the eigenvalues of $\alpha$. In general $\varepsilon_{r}(\alpha)$ satisfies the Newton-Girard identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \varepsilon_{r}(\alpha)=\varepsilon_{r-1}(\alpha) \operatorname{trace}(\alpha)-\cdots+(-1)^{r-2} \varepsilon_{1}(\alpha) \operatorname{trace}\left(\alpha^{r-1}\right)+(-1)^{r-1} \operatorname{trace}\left(\alpha^{r}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $r$-th Newton polynomial of $\alpha(r=0,1, \ldots, m)$ is defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\alpha, r}(\lambda)=\varepsilon_{r}(\alpha)-\varepsilon_{r-1}(\alpha) \lambda+\cdots+(-1)^{r-1} \varepsilon_{1}(\alpha) \lambda^{r-1}+(-1)^{r} \lambda^{r}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $r$-th Newton tensor of $\alpha$ is then the following linear map:

$$
\nu_{r}(\alpha): V \rightarrow V ; \nu_{r}(\alpha)=\varepsilon_{\alpha, r}(\alpha) .
$$

We note that $\nu_{0}(\alpha)=I$ (the identity map), and $\nu_{m}(\alpha)=0$ (the zero map) by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

Now suppose that $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is a smooth mapping of Riemannian manifolds, with $\operatorname{dim}(M)=m$ and $\operatorname{dim}(N)=n$. We apply the above algebraic constructs to the first fundamental tensor $\alpha$ of $\phi$ (also known as the Cauchy-Green tensor), defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\alpha(X), Y)=\varphi^{*} h(X, Y)=h(d \varphi(X), d \varphi(Y)) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Then:

$$
\varepsilon_{1}(\alpha)=\|d \varphi\|^{2}=2 e(\varphi), \ldots, \varepsilon_{m}(\alpha)=v(\varphi)^{2}
$$

where $e(\varphi)$ is the energy density of $\varphi$, and $v(\varphi)$ is the volume density. In general we have:

$$
\varepsilon_{r}(\alpha)=\left\|(d \varepsilon)^{r}\right\|^{2}=\|d \varphi \wedge \cdots \wedge d \varphi\|^{2} .
$$

Relabelling the elementary invariants as $\varepsilon_{r}(\varphi)$, it follows that $\varepsilon_{r}(\varphi): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function that at each point measures the average deformation by $\varphi$ of infinitesimal $r$-dimensional volume (squared). If $M$ is compact and orientable then the total amount of $r$-dimensional deformation imposed by $\varphi$ is therefore:

$$
\mathcal{E}_{r}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \varepsilon_{r}(\varphi) \operatorname{vol}(g), \quad r=1, \ldots, m .
$$

We refer to this as the $r$-power energy, or simply $r$-energy, of $\varphi$. Note that $\mathcal{E}_{r}(\varphi)=$ 0 if and only if the rank of $d \varphi$ drops below $r$ everywhere on $M$; in particular, the $r$-power energy is trivial if $r>n$.
Remark 2.1 [ 9 , Proposition 2.5]. If $m=2 r$ then $\mathcal{E}_{r}(\varphi)$ depends only on the conformal class of $g$.
In order to decide which mappings are optimal with respect to $r$-power energy we use a variational approach, just as for the "classic" case $r=1$. After applying the calculus of variations, this produces a non-linear system of second order partial differential equations characterising the critical points of the functional $\mathcal{E}_{r}: \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(M, N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see Theorem below). It turns out that these Euler-Lagrange equations can be written rather succinctly using the Newton tensors $\nu_{r}(\alpha)$, which we relabel as $\nu_{r}(\varphi)$ and refer to as the Newton tensors of $\varphi$. Since $\alpha$ is symmetric, the Newton tensors are symmetric (1,1)-tensors on $M$. We now define the $r$-power tension field of $\varphi$ by:

$$
\tau_{r}(\varphi)=\operatorname{trace} \nabla\left(d \varphi \circ \nu_{r-1}(\varphi)\right) .
$$

When $r=1$ we recover the standard tension field $\tau(\varphi)$ since $\nu_{0}(\varphi)=I$, and in all cases $\tau_{r}(\varphi)$ is a section of the pullback bundle $\varphi^{-1} T N \rightarrow M$.

It is possible to split the higher-power tension fields into two pieces as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{r}(\varphi)=\operatorname{trace}_{\nu} \nabla d \varphi+d \varphi(\operatorname{div\nu }), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for notational clarity we have abbreviated $\nu=\nu_{r-1}(\varphi)$, and trace $_{\nu}$ denotes the following "twisted trace":

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{trace}_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla d \varphi=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla d \varphi\left(\nu E_{i}, E_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} d \varphi\left(E_{i}, \nu E_{i}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any local orthonormal tangent frame field $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ in $M$. (The symmetry of $\nu$ ensures that its placement is unambiguous.) Since the coefficients of $\nu$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $2 r-2$ in the first order partial derivatives of $\varphi$, when expressed in local coordinates, this clarifies the non-linearity of $\tau_{r}(\varphi)$ as a differential operator (without writing it out in full detail). Furthermore, if the Newton tensors of $\varphi$ are solenoidal (ie. div $=0$ ) then $\tau_{r}(\varphi)$ simplifies dramatically. Unfortunately (or otherwise) this is not generally the case, and situations where it occurs are of great interest.
Theorem 2.2 [9, Theorem 2.12]. For any smooth variation $\varphi_{t}$ of $\varphi$ we have:

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathcal{E}_{r}\left(\varphi_{t}\right)=-\int_{M} h\left(\tau_{r}(\varphi),\left.\frac{\partial \varphi_{t}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}\right) \operatorname{vol}(g) .
$$

Thus $\varphi$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{E}_{r}$ if and only if $\tau_{r}(\varphi)=0$.
Theorem leads to the following definition.
Definition 2.3 A smooth mapping $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is a $r$-power harmonic map, or simply $r$-harmonic map, if $\tau_{r}(\varphi)=0$, for $r=1, \ldots, m$.

A full frontal attack on the $r$-harmonic map equations is certainly a daunting prospect. Instead, we look at specific geometric situations in which the equations
become more manageable, perhaps the simplest being when either $M$ or $N$ is a sphere:

$$
\mathbb{S}^{k}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}:\|x\|=1\right\}
$$

equipped with the metric (of constant sectional curvature 1) induced from ambient Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{k+1}$.
Theorem 2.4[9, Corollary 4.17]. Suppose $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n}$, and $\tilde{\varphi}:(M, g) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ is the composition of $\varphi$ with the inclusion map $\mathbb{S}^{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$. Then $\varphi$ is a $r$-harmonic map precisely when:

$$
\operatorname{trace}_{\mathcal{\nu}} \nabla^{2} \tilde{\varphi}+d \tilde{\varphi}(d i v \nu)=-r\left\|(d \varphi)^{r}\right\|^{2} \tilde{\varphi}
$$

where $\mathcal{V}=\nu_{r-1}(\tilde{\varphi})=\nu_{r-1}(\varphi)$.
Remark 2.5 When $r=1$ the divergence term in Theorem vanishes, and the twisted trace reduces to the Laplace-Beltrami operator, which is linear. However this is no longer the case when $r>1$.
The most familiar example to test drive Theorem is probably the following.
Example 2.6 [9, Example 4.19]. The Hopf map $\varphi: \mathbb{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2}$ is defined:

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(2 \bar{u} v,|v|^{2}-|u|^{2}\right), \quad(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^{2},|u|^{2}+|v|^{2}=1 .
$$

Then $\varphi$ is 1-harmonic and 2-harmonic with:

$$
\varepsilon_{1}(\varphi)=8, \quad \varepsilon_{2}(\varphi)=16, \quad \operatorname{div} \nu_{1}(\varphi)=0
$$

The natural generalisation of the Hopf map to higher dimensions is the Hopf fibration $\varphi: \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{n}$, defined for all unit vectors $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2 n+2}$ by:

$$
\varphi\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n+1}\right)=\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n+1}\right]
$$

where the square brackets denote homogeneous coordinates. The following result is a special case of Theorem below.
Theorem 2.7 The Hopf fibration $\varphi$ is a $r$-harmonic map for all $r=1, \ldots, 2 n$, with:

$$
\varepsilon_{r}(\varphi)=\binom{2 n}{r} \quad(\text { binomial coefficient }), \quad \operatorname{div} \nu_{r-1}(\varphi)=0
$$

Remark 2.8 The $r$-power energy densities in Theorem when $n=1$ differ from those of Example, since $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ is only homothetically equivalent to $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$. Furthermore, the fact that $\varepsilon_{1}(\varphi)<\varepsilon_{2}(\varphi)$ in Example whereas $\varepsilon_{1}(\varphi)>\varepsilon_{2}(\varphi)$ in Theorem shows that the relative values of the higher-power energies can be changed by homotheties of the codomain.
The Hopf fibration is an example of a Riemannian submersion, and the following theorem generalises a well-known result for harmonic maps.
Theorem 2.9 Suppose $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is a Riemannian submersion. Then for all $r=1, \ldots, n$ we have:

$$
\varepsilon_{r}(\varphi)=\binom{n}{r}
$$

and the following are equivalent:
i) $\varphi$ is a $r$-harmonic map;
ii) $\operatorname{div}_{r-1}(\varphi)=0$ and $r \geq 2$;
iii) $\varphi$ has minimal fibres.

Proof. As usual, we write $T M=\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ where $\mathcal{V}=\operatorname{ker} d \varphi$ and $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{V}^{\perp}$. We denote by $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}: T M \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}: T M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ the projection morphisms. From (3), for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\alpha(X), Y) & =h(d \varphi(X), d \varphi(Y))=h\left(d \varphi \circ \pi_{\mathcal{H}}(X), d \varphi \circ \pi_{\mathcal{H}}(Y)\right) \\
& =g\left(\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(X), \pi_{\mathcal{H}}(Y)\right), \quad \text { since } \varphi \text { is a Riemannian submersion } \\
& =g\left(\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(X), Y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\alpha=\pi_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}=n$ the expression for $\varepsilon_{r}(\varepsilon)$ as the $r$-th elementary symmetric polynomial in the eigenvalues of $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ is immediate. It then follows from (2) that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{r-1}(\varphi) & =\binom{n}{r-1} I-\binom{n}{r-2} \pi_{\mathcal{H}}+\cdots+(-1)^{r-2}\binom{n}{1} \pi_{\mathcal{H}}+(-1)^{r-1} \pi_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& =\binom{n}{r-1} I-\binom{n-1}{r-2} \pi_{\mathcal{H}},
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Newton-Girard identity (1), with the understanding that the term involving $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ comes into play only if $r \geq 2$.
Now choose local orthonormal frames $\left\{H_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ for $\mathcal{H}$ and $\left\{V_{j}: 1 \leq j \leq\right.$ $m-n\}$ for $\mathcal{V}$. Then abbreviating $\nu=\nu_{r-1}(\varphi)$, and using the fact that $\nabla d \varphi(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})=$ 0 for a Riemannian submersion, it follows from (5) that (summing over repeated indices):

$$
\operatorname{trace}_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla d \varphi=\binom{n}{r-1} \nabla d \varphi\left(V_{j}, V_{j}\right)=(n-m)\binom{n}{r-1} d \varphi\left(H_{\varphi}\right),
$$

where $H_{\varphi}$ is the mean curvature of the fibres of $\varphi$. Furthermore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div} \pi_{\mathcal{H}} & =\nabla \pi_{\mathcal{H}}\left(H_{i}, H_{i}\right)+\nabla \pi_{\mathcal{H}}\left(V_{j}, V_{j}\right) \\
& =\pi_{\mathcal{V}}\left(\nabla H_{i} H_{i}\right)-\pi_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\nabla V_{j} V_{j}\right) \\
& =(n-m) H_{\varphi}
\end{aligned}
$$

since the vertical component of $\nabla: \Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is skew-symmetric [7]. Hence:

$$
\operatorname{div} \nu=(m-n)\binom{n-1}{r-2} H_{\varphi}
$$

From (4) we therefore obtain:

$$
\tau_{r}(\varphi)=(n-m)\left(\binom{n}{r-1}-\binom{n-1}{r-2}\right) d \varphi\left(H_{\varphi}\right)=(n-m)\binom{n-1}{r-1} d \varphi\left(H_{\varphi}\right) .
$$

Since $H_{\varphi}$ is horizontal it follows that $\varphi$ is $r$-harmonic if and only if $H_{\varphi}=0$, which also coincides with $d i v \nu=0$ when $r \geq 2$.
Remark 2.10 Theorem shows that for Riemannian submersions there is no significant difference between the variational behaviour of the non-trivial higher-power energies.

The following result is "dual" to Theorem, and exhibits similar features. The proof is comparitively straightforward.
Theorem 2.11 Suppose $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is a Riemannian (ie. isometric) immersion. Then for all $r=1, \ldots, m$ we have:

$$
\varepsilon_{r}(\varphi)=\binom{m}{r}, \quad \operatorname{div\nu _{r-1}}(\varphi)=0
$$

and $\varphi$ is a $r$-harmonic map if and only if $\varphi$ is a minimal immersion.
Proof. We have $\alpha=I$, hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}=\nu_{r-1}(\varphi) & =\left(\binom{m}{r-1}-\binom{m}{r-2}+\cdots+(-1)^{r-1}\right) I \\
& =\binom{m-1}{r-1} I
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Newton-Girard identity (??). Therefore $\operatorname{div} \nu=0$, and:

$$
\operatorname{trace}_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla d \varphi=\binom{m-1}{r-1} \tau(\varphi)=m\binom{m-1}{r-1} H_{\varphi}
$$

where $H_{\varphi}$ is the mean curvature of $\varphi$.
Remark 2.12 Setting $m=n$ in either of Theorems or shows that the isometries of a Riemannian manifold are $r$-power harmonic maps for all $r$, as we would expect, and all their Newton tensors are divergence-free.

## 3. Higher-power harmonic sections

In differential geometry, many mappings of interest are sections of fibre bundles, and to treat them simply as maps ignores this extra structure. We therefore "tweak" the ideas of Section in a couple of ways, the most obvious of which is to restrict the calculus of variations to the submanifold of sections. However we would also like to measure the deviation of a section from "horizontality" (given a suitable definition of this concept), particularly in situations where no "horizontal" sections exist. This requires tweaking the higher-power energy functionals themselves.

To be more specific, suppose initially that $\pi:(P, k) \rightarrow(M, g)$ is a smooth submersion of Riemannian manifolds (not necessarily a Riemannian submersion, or a fibre bundle). We use the metric of $P$ to make the following decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T P=\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{V}=\operatorname{ker} d \pi$ and $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{V}^{\perp}$. Now given a smooth section $\sigma: M \rightarrow P$ we define its vertical derivative $d^{v} \sigma$ by:

$$
d^{v} \sigma(X)=\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(d \sigma(X)),
$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}: T P \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is projection with respect to the splitting (6). The vertical derivative is a 1-form on $M$ with values in the pullback bundle $\sigma^{-1} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow M$. The vertical first fundamental tensor (or vertical Cauchy-Green tensor) $\alpha^{v}$ of $\sigma$ is then defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\alpha^{v}(X), Y\right)=k\left(d^{v} \sigma(X), d^{v} \sigma(Y)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
We now apply the algebraic apparatus of Section, defining first the elementary invariants of $\alpha^{v}$, which we denote by $\varepsilon_{r}^{v}(\sigma): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $r=1, \ldots, m$. Then:

$$
\varepsilon_{1}^{v}(\sigma)=\left\|d^{v} \sigma\right\|^{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r}^{v}(\sigma)=\left\|d^{v} \sigma \wedge \cdots \wedge d^{v} \sigma\right\|^{2} .
$$

The first elementary invariant is familiar from the theory of harmonic sections [2]; it vanishes identically precisely when $\sigma$ is horizontal in the sense that $d \sigma(T M) \subset \mathbb{H}$. In general, $\varepsilon_{r}^{v}(\sigma)$ vanishes at points where the rank of $d^{v} \sigma$ drops below $r$. The $r$-power vertical energy of $\sigma$ is then defined:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{r}^{v}(\sigma)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \varepsilon_{r}^{v}(\sigma) \operatorname{vol}(g), \quad r=1, \ldots, m .
$$

This functional is trivial if $r$ exceeds the dimension of the fibres of $\pi$, which can only happen if $\operatorname{dim}(P)<2 \operatorname{dim}(M)$.

We also construct the Newton tensors of $\alpha^{v}$, which we denote by $\nu_{r}^{v}(\sigma)$ and refer to as the vertical Newton tensors of $\sigma$ (to distinguish them from the Newton tensors of $\sigma$ as defined in Section, which are still available). These are defined for $r=$ $0, \ldots, m$, and are symmetric $(1,1)$-tensors on $M$, with $\nu_{0}^{v}(\sigma)=I$ and $\nu_{m}^{v}(\sigma)=0$. The $r$-power vertical tension fields of $\sigma$ are then defined for $r=1, \ldots, m$ by:

$$
\tau_{r}^{v}(\sigma)=\operatorname{trace} \nabla^{v}\left(d^{v} \sigma \circ \nu\right)=\operatorname{trace}_{\nu} \nabla^{v} d^{v} \sigma+d^{v} \sigma(\operatorname{div} \nu),
$$

where $\mathcal{V}=\nu_{r-1}^{v}(\sigma)$ and $\nabla^{v}$ is the $\mathcal{V}$-component of the Levi-Civita connection of $k$. So $\tau_{r}^{v}(\sigma)$ is a section of $\sigma^{-1} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow M$, which simplifies if $\nu_{r-1}^{v}(\sigma)$ is solenoidal.
Theorem 3.1 [9, Theorem 3.6]. Suppose that $\pi$ has totally geodesic fibres. If $\sigma_{t}$ is a smooth variation of $\sigma$ through sections of $\pi$ then:

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathbb{E}_{r}^{v}\left(\sigma_{t}\right)=-\int_{M} k\left(\tau_{r}^{v}(\sigma),\left.\frac{\partial \sigma_{t}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}\right) \operatorname{vol}(g) .
$$

Theorem leads to the following definition.
Definition 3.2 A smooth section $\sigma$ of a submersion with totally geodesic fibres is a r-power harmonic section, or simply $r$-harmonic section, if $\tau_{r}^{v}(\sigma)=0$, for $r=1, \ldots, m$.
Remark 3.3 It is possible to remove the condition that $\pi$ has totally geodesic fibres. However the Euler-Lagrange equations then become more complicated, and are no longer characterised by the vanishing of the higher-power vertical tension fields.
To demonstrate this machinery in action, we apply it first to the familiar case of a vector bundle $\pi: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow(M, g)$. Suppose, as is often the case, that $\pi$ has a linear connection $\nabla$ and holonomy-invariant fibre metric $\langle *, *\rangle$; ie. $\pi$ is a Riemannian vector bundle. A Riemannian metric $k$ on $\mathbb{E}$ may then be obtained from the "KaluzaKlein" construction (otherwise said, $k$ is a generalised Sasaki metric), with respect to which $\pi$ has totally geodesic fibres. Then:

$$
\varepsilon_{r}^{v}(\sigma)=\left\|(\nabla \sigma)^{r}\right\|^{2}=\|\nabla \sigma \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla \sigma\|^{2} .
$$

The condition for $\varepsilon_{r}^{v}(\sigma)$ to vanish at $x \in M$ is therefore that the rank of the $\mathbb{E}$ valued 1-form $\nabla \sigma$ on $M$ drops below $r$ at $x$. If this happens everywhere then we
say that $\sigma$ is $r$-parallel, generalising the familiar notion of a parallel section, which we recover when $r=1$. Thus we see very clearly what our various measurements of "deviation from horizontality" mean in this context.

Under suitable (natural) identifications, the higher-power vertical tension fields may be viewed as operators $\mathcal{T}_{r}: \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{r}(\sigma)=\operatorname{trace}_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla^{2} \sigma+\nabla \operatorname{div} \mathcal{V} \sigma, \quad r=1, \ldots, m \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu=\nu_{r-1}^{v}(\sigma)$ [9, Theorem 4.7]. (Note that the second covariant derivative $\nabla^{2} \sigma$ is built out of the connection in $\mathcal{E}$ and the Levi-Civita connection of $g$.) When $r=1$ this reduces to the rough Laplacian; however $\mathcal{T}_{r}$ becomes non-linear if $r>1$. Then, since $\pi$ has totally geodesic fibres, $\sigma$ is a $r$-harmonic section of $\mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}_{r}(\sigma)=0$.

The problematic feature of higher-power harmonic sections of vector bundles (and a fortiori sections that are higher-power harmonic maps) is the following rigidity theorem, already known in the "classic" case $r=1$ [6].
Theorem 3.4[9, Theorem 4.5]. Suppose that $\sigma$ is a section of a Riemannian vector bundle with compact base.
(i) $\sigma$ is a $r$-harmonic section if and only if $\sigma$ is $r$-parallel.
(ii) $\sigma$ is a $r$-harmonic map if and only if $\sigma$ is parallel.

To sidestep the ramifications of Theorem we turn to a closely related class of bundles, the associated (unit) sphere bundles $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow M$ defined:

$$
\mathcal{S}=\{v \in \mathcal{E}:<v, v>=1\} .
$$

We equip with the restriction of the Kaluza-Klein metric of $\mathcal{E}$ (which coincides with the metric obtained from the Kaluza-Klein construction applied to $\mathcal{S}$ ). The following result is analogous to, and in fact generalises, the characterisation of higher-power harmonic maps into spheres (Theorem ). It is already well-known when $r=1$ [11].
Theorem 3.5 [9, Theorem 4.13]. The $r$-harmonic sections $\sigma$ of are characterised by the equation:

$$
\mathcal{T}_{r}(\sigma)=-r\left\|(\nabla \sigma)^{r}\right\|^{2} \sigma
$$

To illustrate these ideas, suppose that $\mathcal{E}=T M$ and $k$ is the Sasaki metric. Then $\mathcal{S}=U M$, the unit tangent bundle, and sections of $\mathcal{S}$ are unit vector fields on $M$. For a concrete example, let $M=\mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}$ and let $\sigma$ be the Hopf vector field, defined for all unit vectors $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2 n+2}$ by:

$$
\sigma(z)=i z
$$

where $i=\sqrt{-1}$.
Theorem 3.6 The Hopf vector field $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}$ is a $r$-harmonic section of the unit tangent bundle for all $r=1, \ldots, 2 n$, with:

$$
\varepsilon_{r}^{v}(\sigma)=\binom{2 n}{r}, \quad \operatorname{div} \nu_{r-1}^{v}(\sigma)=0
$$

Proof. The natural identification of the tangent spaces of $\mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}$ with their tangent spaces is isometric and the inverse sends $d^{v} \sigma$ to $\nabla \sigma$. It then follows from (7) that $\alpha^{v}(\sigma)=0$, since the integral curves of $\sigma$ are geodesics. Furthermore, if $\left\{H_{k}: k=\right.$ $1, \ldots, 2 n\}$ is a local orthonormal horizontal frame field in $\mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}$ (with respect to the Hopf fibration) and $H$ is a horizontal tangent vector then (summing over $k$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{v}(H) & =g\left(\alpha^{v}(H), H_{k}\right) H_{k}+g\left(\alpha^{v}(H), \sigma\right) \sigma \\
& =g\left(\nabla H \sigma, \nabla H_{k} \sigma\right) H_{k}+g(\nabla H \sigma, \nabla \sigma \sigma) \sigma, \quad \text { by (7) } \\
& =g\left(\nabla H \sigma, \nabla H_{k} \sigma\right) H_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

again using the fact that $\sigma$ is geodesic. Now the action of $\nabla \sigma$ on horizontal tangent vectors is simply multiplication by $i$, which is isometric. Hence:

$$
\alpha^{v}(H)=g\left(i H, i H_{k}\right) H_{k}=g\left(H, H_{k}\right) H_{k}=H
$$

We conclude that $\alpha^{v}$ is orthogonal projection onto the horizontal distribution of the Hopf fibration.
It follows from the first part of the proof of Theorem that $\alpha^{v}$ coincides with the first fundamental tensor of the Hopf fibration. Borrowing some of the subsequent calculations from that proof then gives us:

$$
\varepsilon_{r}^{v}(\sigma)=\binom{2 n}{r}, \quad \nu(\sigma)=\binom{2 n}{r-1} \sigma, \quad \nu(H)=\binom{2 n-1}{r-1} H,
$$

where $\nu=\nu_{r-1}^{v}(\sigma)$, since $\nu$ is also the $(r-1)$-st Newton tensor of the Hopf fibration. Then div $=0$ by Theorem , and from (8) the tension operators of $T \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}$ act on $\sigma$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{r}(\sigma)=\operatorname{trace}_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla^{2} \sigma & =\nabla^{2} \mathcal{V} H_{k} H_{k} \sigma+\nabla^{2} \mathcal{V} \sigma \sigma \sigma \\
& =\binom{2 n-1}{r-1} \nabla^{2} H_{k} H_{k} \sigma+\binom{2 n}{r-1} \nabla^{2} \sigma \sigma \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second covariant derivatives can be readily evaluated since $\sigma$ is a spherical Killing field, using the following well-known curvature identity:

$$
\nabla^{2} Y Z \sigma=-R(\sigma, Y) Z=g(\sigma, Z) Y-g(Y, Z) \sigma
$$

Hence:

$$
\mathcal{T}_{r}(\sigma)=-2 n\binom{2 n-1}{r-1} \sigma=-r\binom{2 n}{r} \sigma=-r \varepsilon_{r}^{v}(\sigma) \sigma=-r\left\|(\nabla \sigma)^{r}\right\|^{2} \sigma,
$$

and it follows from Theorem that $\sigma$ is a $r$-power harmonic section of the unit sphere bundle, as claimed.
Remark 3.7 The covariant derivative $\nabla \sigma$ of the Hopf vector field $\sigma$ has rank $2 n$. It therefore follows from Theorem that $\sigma$ is not a $r$-harmonic section of the full tangent bundle $T \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}$ for any $r=1, \ldots, 2 n$. However $\sigma$ is a $(2 n+1)$-harmonic section of $T \mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}$, with $\mathbb{E}_{2 n+1}^{v}(\sigma)=0$.
Remark 3.8 The evident similarity between Theorem and Theorem shows that the first order variational theories of higher-power energy of the Hopf fibration and higher-power vertical energy of the Hopf vector field are essentially the same. However, there are known differences in the second order theories (ie. stability vs. instability) when $r=1[4,12]$, and it is an interesting and as yet unanswered question whether these persist for higher powers.

## 4. 3-dimensional Lie groups

So far, none of our examples have revealed any discrepancies between the various higher-power energies of maps, or vertical higher-power energies of sections. To find some examples where the higher-power (vertical) energies behave differently we consider a wider class of unit vector fields, generalising Theorem when $n=1$. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional Lie group, which we relabel as $G$, equipped with a leftinvariant Riemannian metric $g$. The algebraic and geometric classification of all such $(G, g)$ was given by Milnor in [5], and we begin with a brief review.

When an orientation for $G$ is chosen, $g$ defines a vector cross product $\times$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The Lie structure map is the linear map $L: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ characterised by:
$\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b})=[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}], \quad$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}$.
Then $L$ is self-adjoint if and only if $G$ is unimodular, which henceforward for simplicity we assume to be the case. So if $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ are the eigenvalues of $L$, and $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ is a positively-oriented orthonormal eigenbasis of $\mathfrak{g}$, then:
$\left[\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right]=\lambda_{k} \sigma_{k}$,
for any even permutation $(i, j, k)$ of $(1,2,3)$. We therefore refer to the $\lambda_{i}$ as the principal structure constants of $(G, g)$, and the $\sigma_{i}$ as principal structure directions. Their dependence on orientation means that the principal structure constants are only determined up to sign. Furthermore if any of the $\lambda_{i}$ have multiplicity then the principal structure directions are not unique.

There are precisely six possibilities for the relative signs of the principal structure constants (including 0 ), and these classify $\mathfrak{g}$ algebraically. The six classes are:

$$
\mathfrak{f u}(2), \quad \mathfrak{s l}(2), \quad \mathfrak{e}(2), \quad \mathfrak{e}(1,1), \quad \mathfrak{n i l}, \quad \mathfrak{a},
$$

where $\mathfrak{e}(2)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ ) is the Lie algebra of the isometry group of the Euclidean (resp. Minkowskian) plane, $\mathfrak{n i l}$ is the 3 -dimensional Heisenberg algebra and $\mathfrak{a}$ is the 3 -dimensional abelian Lie algebra. The first two are simple, and the others are solvable.

The geometry of $(G, g)$ is facilitated by the Milnor map $M: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ defined:

$$
M=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}(L) I-L
$$

(This looks similar to the first Newton tensor of $L$, but for the factor $1 / 2$.) The principal structure directions are therefore also eigenvectors of $M$, and we refer to the eigenvalues $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}$ as the Milnor numbers of $(G, g)$. They are again only determined up to sign, and in terms of the principal structure constants are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{j}+\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}\right), \quad\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,3\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Most remarkably, the Ricci curvature Ric of $(G, g)$, when viewed as a ( 1,1 )-tensor, is essentially the 2-nd Newton tensor of $M$ :

$$
R i c=2 \nu_{2}(M) .
$$

It follows that the principal structure directions are also principal Ricci directions, and the principal Ricci curvatures $\rho_{i}$ (ie. the eigenvalues of Ric) are:

$$
\rho_{i}=2 \mu_{j} \mu_{k}, \quad\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,3\} .
$$

Now let $\sigma$ be a left-invariant unit vector field on $G$, regarded as a section of the unit tangent bundle $U G \rightarrow G$; ie. the unit sphere bundle of $T G$. We begin with a special case.
Theorem 4.1[9, Theorems 5.12 and 5.13]. Let $(G, g)$ be a 3-dimensional unimodular Lie group with left-invariant metric. The principal structure directions are 1harmonic and 2-harmonic sections of $U G$, with:

$$
\varepsilon_{1}^{v}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\mu_{j}^{2}+\mu_{k}^{2}, \quad \varepsilon_{2}^{v}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\mu_{j}^{2} \mu_{k}^{2}, \quad \operatorname{div} \nu_{1}^{v}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=0
$$

where $\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,3\}$.
Remark 4.2 Both vertical energy densities are independent of orientation, as they should be, and $\varepsilon_{2}^{v}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \rho_{i}{ }^{2}$.
Example 4.3 Let $G=S U(2)$. The principal structure constants for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$ all have the same sign, which by choice of orientation may be assumed positive. The spherical metric then corresponds to the special case $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=2$. All left-invariant unit vector fields $\sigma$ are therefore principal directions, and congruent to the standard Hopf field. By (9) the Milnor numbers are $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=1$. So $\sigma$ is 1-harmonic and 2-harmonic, with $\varepsilon_{1}^{v}(\sigma)=2$ and $\varepsilon_{2}^{v}(\sigma)=1$, in agreement with Theorem .
Example 4.4[9, Example 5.3]. Let $G=P S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ which we identify with the unit tangent bundle of the hyperbolic plane:

$$
U \mathbb{H}^{2}=\left\{(x, y, \theta): x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{1}\right\} .
$$

We endow $G$ with the Sasaki metric $g$, which is left-invariant and may be expressed as follows:

$$
d s^{2}=\frac{1}{y^{2}}\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}+(d x+y d \theta)^{2}\right) .
$$

Consider the left-invariant unit vector fields $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ on $G$ defined:
$\sigma_{1}=y \cos \theta \partial_{x}+y \sin \theta \partial_{y}-\cos \theta \partial_{\theta}, \quad \sigma_{2}=-y \sin \theta \partial_{x}+y \cos \theta \partial_{y}+\sin \theta \partial_{\theta}, \quad \sigma_{3}=\partial_{\theta}$.
Standard computational techniques for Lie brackets of vector fields yield:

$$
\left[\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right]=-\sigma_{3}, \quad\left[\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right]=\sigma_{1}, \quad\left[\sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1}\right]=\sigma_{2}
$$

Therefore the $\sigma_{i}$ are principal structure directions, with:

$$
\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=1, \quad \lambda_{3}=-1 .
$$

Hence $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=-1 / 2$ and $\mu_{3}=3 / 2$ by (9). It then follows from Theorem that:

- $\sigma_{3}$ is 1-harmonic and 2-harmonic, with:

$$
\varepsilon_{1}^{v}\left(\sigma_{3}\right)=1 / 2, \quad \varepsilon_{2}^{v}\left(\sigma_{3}\right)=1 / 16
$$

- For all $t \in[0,2 \pi)$ the unit vector field $\sigma_{t}$ defined:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{t} & =(\cos t) \sigma_{1}+(\sin t) \sigma_{2} \\
& =y \cos (\theta+t) \partial_{x}+y \sin (\theta+t) \partial_{y}-\cos (\theta-t) \partial_{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

is 1-harmonic and 2-harmonic, with:

$$
\varepsilon_{1}^{v}\left(\sigma_{t}\right)=5 / 2, \quad \varepsilon_{2}^{v}\left(\sigma_{t}\right)=9 / 16
$$

Remark 4.5 A comparison of the vertical energy densities in Example suggests that $\sigma_{t}$ is unstable with respect to both vertical 1-energy and 2-energy, and it is possible to show that this is indeed the case by explicity constructing an $\mathbb{E}_{r}^{v}$-decreasing variation from $\sigma_{t}$ to $\sigma_{3}$ for $r=1,2$. We also suspect that $\sigma_{3}$ is stable, and possibly an absolute minimum of both vertical energies, although this has not yet been verified.
We now come to the general case. Notation-wise, we denote by $M^{2}$ and $R i c^{2}$ the twice-iterated Milnor and Ricci tensors:

$$
M^{2}=M \circ M, \quad R_{i c}^{2}=\text { Ric } \circ \text { Ric } .
$$

Theorem 4.6[9, Theorems 5.12 and 5.13]. Let $\sigma$ be a left-invariant unit vector field on a 3-dimensional unimodular Lie group $G$ with left-invariant metric.
i) $\sigma$ is a 1-harmonic section of $U G$ if and only if $\sigma$ is an eigenvector of $M^{2}$.
ii) $\sigma$ is a 2-harmonic section of $U G$ if and only if $\sigma$ is an eigenvector of $R i c^{2}$.

Remark 4.7 The non-unimodular case was also addressed in [8].
Since the principal structure directions are eigenvectors of both $M$ and Ric, the eigenspaces of $M^{2}$ and $R i c^{2}$ are direct sums of those of $L$. The admissible configurations are indicated in Figure 9, which shows the possible subsets (orange) of the unit sphere of $\mathfrak{g}$ (blue) in which higher-power harmonic left-invariant unit vector fields can lie.


Figure 9: Admissible configurations
The "gyroscopic" and "global" configurations can occur when some or all of the principal structure constants are distinct, and may differ according to the power of the energy, as illustrated by the following examples.
Example 4.8 Suppose $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{e}(2)$. For this Lie algebra precisely one principal structure constant vanishes, and the other two have the same sign. An exceptional case is when the two non-vanishing $\lambda_{i}$ are equal; the corresponding metric is then flat (in fact, these are the only flat metrics on any non-abelian 3-dimensional unimodular Lie group). For these metrics the 1-harmonic left-invariant unit vector fields are gyroscopic, and the 2 -harmonic fields are global.
Example 4.9 Suppose $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}(2)$. The principal structure constants for this Lie algebra are all non-zero, and precisely two have the same sign, which by choice of orientation may be assumed positive. If labelling is chosen so that $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$
then an exceptional case is $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}$ or $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}$, depending on which of $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ is negative. (Note that this is not the exceptional case in Example, where two principal structure constants are equal.) The left-invariant unit vector fields that are 1 -harmonic with respect to these metrics are generic, whereas the 2 -harmonic fields are gyroscopic.
Example 4.10 Suppose $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s u}(2)$. By choice of orientation and labelling it may be assumed that $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}>0$ with $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. An exceptional case is $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}$, $\lambda_{2} \neq \lambda_{3}$, and for these metrics the 1-harmonic left-invariant unit vector fields are again generic, whereas the 2-harmonic fields are gyroscopic.
It's possible, but complicated, to classify the higher-power harmonic invariant unit vector fields for all possible left-invariant metrics on $G$ [9, Theorem 5.16]. Instead we conclude with a few pertinent observations.
Corollary 4.11 [9, Corollary 5.15]. Let $\sigma$ be a left-invariant unit vector field on a 3-dimensional unimodular Lie group $G$ with left-invariant metric.
i) If $\sigma$ is a 1-harmonic section of $U G$ then $\sigma$ is 2 -harmonic.
ii) If $\sigma$ is a 2 -harmonic section but not 1-harmonic then $\operatorname{Ric}(\sigma)=0$, which is the case precisely when $\varepsilon_{2}^{v}(\sigma)=0$.
iii) The only cases where $\sigma$ is 2-harmonic but not 1-harmonic are $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s u}(2), \mathfrak{s l}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{e}(2)$ with the metrics described in Examples 4.8-4.10.

Remark 4.12 The vertical first Newton tensor $\nu$ of a 2-harmonic left-invariant unit vector field need not be solenoidal. For example, if $G=E(2)$ (the Euclidean group) then the infinitesimal translations are 1-harmonic and 2-harmonic, for all left-invariant metrics, but $\nu$ is only solenoidal with respect to the flat metrics, which render all left-invariant unit fields 2 -harmonic (see Example 4.8).
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#### Abstract

Ricci solitons with concircular and conformal killing potential vector fields in complex Sasakian manifolds are investigated. In addition, it is shown that a Ricci soliton in complex Sasakian manifolds satisfying the conditions $\rho(U, X) R=0$ and $\rho(V, X) R=0$ is always expanding.
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## 1. Introduction

The Riemannian geometry of contact manifolds are studied widely at last 60 years and could be divided into two parts: reel and complex. While there are rather than works on the Riemannian geometry of reel contact manifolds the complex contact manifolds are still infancy. One can think that is it possible to transfer all results from real contact manifolds to complex unchanged. But it is not possible, so the Riemannian geometry of complex contact manifolds should be studied independently.
Theory of complex contact manifolds was started by Kobayashi's paper [21] in 1959. Although this theory is older as real contact manifolds it does not interested to geometers like real contact manifolds. Further research was started again in the early 1980s by Ishihara and Konishi [16, 17]. Ishihara and Konishi gave Hermitian metric on complex contact manifolds and they showed that a complex contact manifold admits always a complex almost contact structure of class $C^{\infty}$ [18]. Same authors presented their normality conditions for these manifolds and they also proved that when a complex contact manifold is normal underlying manifold is Kaehler. When a complex contact metric manifold is normal in this sense it is called IK-normal. IK-normality generates some restrictions for studying normal complex contact manifolds. The one example of complex contact manifolds odd-dimensional complex projective space is Kaehler and IK-normal. But while
real Heisenberg group is the well-known example of normal real contact manifolds, since complex Heisenberg group is not Kaehler, it is not IK-normal. For overcoming this issue in 2000 Korkmaz gave a weaker definition for normality [23]. This definition can be considered complex version of Sasakian manifolds [14]. On the other hand there is no another example of normal complex contact manifolds except for complex Heisenberg group. Many years after especially Blair, Foreman and Korkmaz improved the Riemannian geometry of complex contact metric manifolds [2, 3, 4, 13, 14, 23]. Also complex contact manifolds have some different results from real case and they have good applications in optimal control of entanglements [20].
A special case of normal complex contact metric manifolds is a complex Sasakian manifold. Foreman gave the definition of the complex Sasakian manifold in 2000 [14]. In addition, Fetcu examined harmonic maps between complex Sasakian manifolds [10] and an adapted connection on a strict complex contact manifold. [11]. We have given the definition of the complex Sasakian manifold in accordance with the real Sasakian in [32]. We studied symmetry in complex Sasakian manifolds in [33].

The concept of Ricci solitons was introduced by R. Hamilton [15] in the mid 1980's. In 1993, Iwey studied Ricci solitons on compact three- manifolds [19]. In 2006, Cao studied geometry of Ricci solitons [9].
A Ricci soliton is a natural generalization of an Einstein metric and is defined on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. A Ricci soliton is a triple $(g, W, \lambda)$ with $g$ a Riemannian metric, $W$ a vector field, and $\lambda$ a real scalar such that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{W} g+2 \rho+2 \lambda g=0
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{W}$ denotes the Lie derivative along $W, \rho$ is the Ricci tensor. The vector field $W$ is called the potential field.. Obviously, a trivial Ricci soliton is an Einstein metric with $W$ zero or Killing. Thus, a Ricci soliton may be considered an apt generalization of an Einstein metric. The Ricci soliton is said to be shrinking, steady, and expanding accordingly as $\lambda$ is negative, zero, and positive, respectively.
Fialkow introduced in [12] the notion of concircular vector fields on a Riemannian manifold. The concept of conformal Killing on a Riemannian manifold was introduced by Tachibana in [25]. Chen and Deshmukh first introduced and classified Ricci solitons with congruent vector fields on a Riemannian manifold in 2015 [7]. He classified Ricci solitons with concircular potential field on a Riemannian manifold [8].

## 2. Complex Almost Contact Metric Manifolds

The study of complex contact manifolds began with Shoshichi Kobayashi in 1959. In [21] Kobayashi gave the following definition;
Definition 2.1 Let $M$ be a $(2 n+1)$-complex dimensional complex manifold and $\mathcal{A}=\left\{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}^{\prime}, \ldots\right\}$ be an open covering by coordinate neighbourhoods with following conditions:

- There is a holomoprhic 1 -form on $\omega$ each $\mathcal{O}$ such that $\omega \wedge(d \omega)^{n} \neq 0$,
- There is a holomoprhic function $\lambda: \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\omega^{\prime}=\lambda \omega$.

Then $\omega$ is called a complex contact form, and $M$ is called a complex contact manifold.
The complex contact structure determines a non-integrable distribution $\mathcal{H}$ by the equation $\omega=0$. $\mathcal{H}$ is called a horizontal subbundle.
A complex almost contact metric structure related to complex contact form was defined by Ishihara and Konishi in [16].
Definition 2.2 Let $M$ be an odd complex dimensional complex manifold with almost complex structure $J$ and Hermitian metric $g$ and be covered by an open covering $\mathcal{A}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ consisting of coordinate neighborhoods. If the following conditions satisfy then the manifold is called a complex almost contact metric manifold:

1. In each $\mathcal{U}_{i}$ there exist 1 -forms $u$ and $v=u \circ J$, with dual vector fields $U$ and $V=-J U$ and $(1,1)$ tensor fields $G$ and $H=G J$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{2}=G^{2}=-I+u \otimes U+v \otimes V \\
G J=-J G, \quad G U=0, \quad g(X, G Y)=-g(G X, Y)
\end{gathered}
$$

2. For $a$ and $b$ are functions on $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ with $a^{2}+b^{2}=1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime} & =a u-b v, \quad v^{\prime}=b u+a v \\
G^{\prime} & =a G-b H, \quad H^{\prime}=b G+a H
\end{aligned}
$$

$U$ and $V$ vector fields determine a global vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}$ which is typically assumed to be integrable.Thus from Whitney sum we have

$$
T M=\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}
$$

and so an arbitrary vector field $X$ on $M$ we can write

$$
X=X_{0}+u(X) U+v(X) V, \quad X_{0} \in \mathcal{H}
$$

The local tensor fields $G$ and $H$ are related to $d u$ and $d v$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d u(X, Y) & =\widetilde{G}(X, Y)+(\sigma \wedge v)(X, Y) \\
d v(X, Y) & =\widetilde{H}(X, Y)-(\sigma \wedge u)(X, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where fundamental 2 -forms $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{H}$ are defined by $\widetilde{G}(X, Y)=g(X, G Y)$, $\widetilde{H}(X, Y)=g(X, H Y)$, and $\sigma(X)=g\left(\nabla_{X} U, V\right) . \sigma$ is also called the IshiharaKonishi connection [16, 17].
In complex almost contact geometry, we have two normality notions. One of is given by Ishihara and Konishi [17]. The authors defined the following two tensor

$$
\begin{align*}
S(X, Y)= & {[G, G](X, Y)+2 g(X, G Y) U-2 g(X, H Y) V } \\
& +2(v(Y) H X-v(X) H Y)+\sigma(G Y) H X \\
& -\sigma(G X) H Y+\sigma(X) G H Y-\sigma(Y) G H X  \tag{1}\\
T(X, Y)= & {[H, H](X, Y)-2 g(X, G Y) U+2 g(X, H Y) V } \\
& +2(u(Y) G X-u(X) G Y)+\sigma(H X) G Y \\
& -\sigma(H Y) G X+\sigma(X) G H Y-\sigma(Y) G H X . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In here

$$
[G, G](X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{G X} G\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{G Y} G\right) X-G\left(\nabla_{X} G\right) Y+G\left(\nabla_{Y} G\right) X
$$

is the Nijenhuis torsion of $G$. If $S=T=0$, then a complex almost contact metric manifold is called normal. This type of normality has known as IK-normality. On a IK-normal complex contact metric manifold, we have $\nabla J=0$, that is the manifold is Kähler.
Ishihara and Konishi introduced a concept of normality, which forced the structure to be Kählerian and did not include some natural examples like the complex Heisneberg group. This led Korkmaz to define a weaker version of normality in [22], which included these examples. The an other difference, which makes this concept interesting, a normal complex contact metric manifold is not Kaehler.
Definition 2.3 A complex almost contact metric manifold is normal if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(X, Y)=T(X, Y)=0 \text { for all } X, Y \text { in } \mathcal{H}, \text { and } \\
& S(X, U)=T(X, V)=0 \text { for all } X .
\end{aligned}
$$

In literature, we recall this kind of manifolds as normal complex contact metric manifolds.
Korkmaz proved following theorem which states normality condition.
Theorem 2.4 A complex almost contact metric manifold is normal if and only if for $X, Y, Z \in T M$

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} G\right) Y, Z\right)= & \sigma(X) g(H Y, Z)+v(X) d \sigma(G Z, G Y) \\
& -2 v(X) g(H G Y, Z)-u(Y) g(X, Z) \\
& -v(Y) g(J X, Z)+u(Z) g(X, Y)+v(Z) g(J X, Y),  \tag{3}\\
g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} H\right) Y, Z\right)= & -\sigma(X) g(G Y, Z)-u(X) d \sigma(H Z, H Y) \\
& +2 u(X) g(H G Y, Z)+u(Y) g(J X, Z) \\
& -v(Y) g(X, Z)+u(Z) g(X, J Y)+v(Z) g(X, Y) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It is follow from above Theorem that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\left(\nabla_{W} J\right) Z, T\right)= & u(W)(d \sigma(T, G Z)-2 g(H Z, T)) \\
& +v(W)(d \sigma(T, H Z)+2 g(G Z, T))
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows a normal complex contact metric manifold is not Kähler. In addition, Blair and Mihai studied symmetry in complex contact geometry [4], homogeneity and local symmetry of complex $(\kappa, \nu)$-spaces [3]. In 2017, we introduced complex $\eta$-Einstein normal complex contact metric manifolds as follow [30].
Definition 2.5 Let $(M, G, H, J, U, V, u, v, g)$ be a normal complex contact metric manifold and $\eta=u-i v$. If for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ smooth functions on $M$ the Ricci tensor satisfies

$$
\rho=\alpha g+\beta(u \otimes u+v \otimes v)
$$

then $M$ is called a complex $\eta$-Einstein.

## 3. Complex Sasakian Manifolds

A special case of normal complex contact metric manifolds is a complex Sasakian manifold. Foreman gave the definition of the complex Sasakian manifold in 2000 as follows [14].

Definition 3.1 A normal complex contact metric manifold whose complex contact structure is given by a global complex contact form, is called a complex Sasakian manifold.
Also Foreman obtained following result;
Theorem 3.2 Let $(M, G, H, J, U, V, u, v, g)$ be a normal complex contact metric manifold. If $\eta=u-i v$ is globally defined then $\sigma=0$ [14].
We have given the definition of the complex Sasakian manifold in accordance with the real Sasakian manifold as follows [32].
Definition 3.3 Let $(M, G, H, J, U, V, u, v, g)$ be a normal complex contact metric manifold. If fundamental 2-forms $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{H}$ is defined by

$$
\widetilde{G}(X, Y)=d u(X, Y) \text { and } \widetilde{H}(X, Y)=d v(X, Y)
$$

then $M$ is called a complex Sasakian manifold, where $X, Y$ are vector fields on $M$. We have given the version of the theorem found in the real Sasakian manifold as follows [32].
Theorem 3.4 Let $M$ be a normal complex contact metric manifold. Then $M$ is a complex Sasakian manifold if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{X} G\right) Y= & -2 v(X) H G Y-u(Y) X-v(Y) J X \\
+ & g(X, Y) U+g(J X, Y) V  \tag{5}\\
\left(\nabla_{X} H\right) Y= & -2 u(X) H G Y+u(Y) J X-v(Y) X \\
& -g(J X, Y) U+g(X, Y) V . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

So,we have

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} J\right) Y=-2 u(X) H Y+2 v(X) G Y
$$

On a complex Sasakian manifold we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} U=-G X, \quad \nabla_{X} V=-H X \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.5 A complex Heisenberg group is an example of complex Sasakian manifolds.
We have studied on properties of Riemannian curvature tensor on complex Sasakian manifolds in [30]. Let $M$ be a complex Sasakian manifold. Then we have,
$R(U, V) V=R(V, U) U=0$,
$R(X, U) U=X+u(X) U+v(X) V$,
$R(X, V) V=X-u(X) U-v(X) V$,
$R(X, U) V=-3 J X-3 u(X) V+3 v(X) U$,
$R(X, V) U=0$,
$R(U, V) X=J X+u(X) V-v(X) U$,
$R(X, Y) U=v(X) J Y-v(Y) J X+2 v(X) u(Y) V-2 v(Y) u(X) V$
$+u(Y) X-u(X) Y-2 g(J X, Y) V$,
$R(X, Y) V=3 u(X) J Y-3 u(Y) J X-2 u(X) v(Y) U+2 u(Y) v(X) U$
$+v(Y) X-v(X) Y+2 g(J X, Y) U$,
$R(X, U) Y=-2 v(Y) v(X) U+2 u(Y) v(X) V-g(Y, X) U+u(Y) X+g(J Y, X) V$,
for $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$.
On a complex Sasakian manifold $M$, we showed the following properties.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(R(X, G X) G X, X) & +g(R(X, H X) H X, X)+g(R(X, J X) J X, X)=-6 g(X, X), \\
g(R(X, G X) Y, G Y) & =g(R(X, Y) X, Y)+g(R(X, G Y) X, G Y)-2 g(G X, Y)^{2} \\
& -4 g(H X, Y)^{2}-2 g(X, Y)^{2}+2 g(X, X) g(Y, Y)-4 g(J X, Y)^{2}, \\
g(R(X, H X) Y, H Y) & =g(R(X, Y) X, Y)+g(R(X, H Y) X, H Y)-2 g(H X, Y)^{2} \\
& -4 g(G X, Y)^{2}-2 g(X, Y)^{2}+2 g(X, X) g(Y, Y)-4 g(J X, Y)^{2}, \\
g(R(X, H X) J X, G X) & =-g(R(X, H X) H X, X)-4 g(X, X)^{2}, \\
g(R(X, J X) H X, G X) & =g(R(X, J X) J X, X)-2 g(X, X)^{2}, \\
g(R(G X, H X) H X, G X) & =g(R(X, J X) J X, X), \\
g(R(G X, J X) J X, G X) & =g(R(X, H X) H X, X), \\
g(R(J X, J Y) J Y, J X) & =g(R(X, Y) Y, X), \\
g(R(X, Y) J X, J Y) & =g(R(X, Y) Y, X)+4 g(X, G Y)^{2}+4 g(X, H Y)^{2}, \\
g(R(Y, J X) J X, Y) & =g(R(X, J Y) J Y, X), \\
g(R(X, J Y) J X, Y) & =g(R(X, J Y) J Y, X)+4 g(X, H Y)^{2}+4 g(X, G Y)^{2}, \\
g(R(X, J X) J Y, Y) & =-g(R(J X, J Y) X, Y)-g(R(J Y, X) J X, Y),
\end{aligned}
$$

By considering above results we obtain following:
Theorem 3.6 For a unit horizontal vector $X$ on $M$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(X, G X)+K(X, H X)+K(X, J X)=6 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.7 Let $M$ be a complex Sasakian manifold and $X$ be a unit horizontal vector field on $M$. Then, the sectional curvature $K$ is given by

$$
K(U, V)=0 \text { and } K(X, U)=1
$$

On complex Sasakian manifolds, we obtain following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(U, U) & =\rho(V, V)=4 n, \rho(U, V)=0 \\
\rho(X, U) & =4 n u(X), \rho(X, V)=4 n v(X) \\
\rho(X, Y) & =\rho(G X, G Y)+4 n(u(X) u(Y)+v(X) v(Y)), \\
\rho(X, Y) & =\rho(H X, H Y)+4 n(u(X) u(Y)+v(X) v(Y)), \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X, Y$ are any vector fields on $M$.

## 4. Ricci Soliton

In this section we will examine Ricci solitons on a complex Sasakian manifold. Now, consider the real characteristic vector fields $U$ and $V$ of a complex Sasakian manifold.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{U} g\right)(Y, Z) & =g\left(\nabla_{Y} U, Z\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Z} U, Y\right) \\
& =g(-G Y, Z)+g(-G Z, Y) \\
& =-g(G Y, Z)+g(Z, G Y) \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $U$ is a killing vector field. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{V} g\right)(Y, Z) & =g\left(\nabla_{Y} V, Z\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Z} V, Y\right) \\
& =g(-H Y, Z)+g(-H Z, Y) \\
& =-g(H Y, Z)+g(Z, H Y)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $V$ is a killing vector field.
Let $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$ be two horizontal vector fields. Hence, we have

$$
\left(L_{U} g\right)\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)+2 \rho\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)+2 \lambda g\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)=0 .
$$

Since $U$ is killing vector field we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)=-\lambda g\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any vector fields $X_{0}=X-u(X) U-v(X) V, Y_{0}=Y-u(X) U-v(X) V$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(X, Y)=-\lambda g(X, Y)+(4 n+\lambda)(u(X) u(Y)+v(X) v(Y)), \\
& \tau= \sum_{i=1}^{4 n}\left[-\lambda g\left(E_{i}, E_{i}\right)+(4 n+\lambda)\left(u\left(E_{i}\right) u\left(E_{i}\right)+v\left(E_{i}\right) v\left(E_{i}\right)\right)\right] \\
&-\lambda g(U, U)+(4 n+\lambda)(u(U) u(U)+v(U) v(U)) \\
&-\lambda g(V, V)+(4 n+\lambda)(u(V) u(V)+v(V) v(V)) \\
&=-4 n \lambda+8 n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.1 If the real characteristic vector fields of a complex Sasakian manifold are $U$ and $V$, then Ricci solitons $(g, U, \lambda)$ and $(g, V, \lambda)$ in a complex Sasakian manifold are trivial.
Let $(g, W, \lambda)$ be a Ricci soliton. If $W$ is a conformal killing vector field then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mu g+2 \rho+2 \lambda g=0 \\
& \rho=-(\mu+\lambda) g .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eq. 19 we get

$$
\rho(X, Y)=8 n g(X, Y)-4 n(u(X) u(Y)+v(X) v(Y)) .
$$

Hence, $M$ is a complex $\eta$-Einstein. A. Fialkow introduced in [12] the notion of concircular vector fields on a Riemannian manifold $M$ as follow.
Definition 4.2 A vector $\nu$ on a Riemannian manifold $M$ is called concircular if it satisfies $\nabla_{X} \nu=\gamma X$ for any vector $X$ tangent to $M$, where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $M$, and $\gamma$ is a function. A concircular vector field satisfying $\nabla_{X} \nu=$ $\gamma X$ is called a nontrivial if $\gamma$ is non-constant.
A vector field $\nu$ is called concurrent if $\gamma=1$.
Definition 4.3 A Ricci soliton $(g, W, \lambda)$ on a Riemannian manifold $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ is said to have concircular (or concurrent) potential field if its potential field $W$ is a concircular (or concurrent) vector field. We call the vector field $W$ the potential field of the Ricci soliton.

Theorem 4.4 A Ricci soliton with concircular vector field in complex Sasakian manifolds
i) If $\gamma=-4 n$ then the Ricci soliton is steady,
ii) If $\gamma>-4 n$ then the Ricci soliton is expanding
iii) If $\gamma<-4 n$ then the Ricci soliton is shrinking.

Corollary 4.5 Let $M$ be a complex Sasakian manifold. If $M$ is a Ricci soliton with concurrent vector field then the Ricci soliton is shrinking with $\lambda=-(4 n+1)$.
Theorem 4.6 Let $M$ be a complex Sasakian manifold with $(g, W, \lambda)$ a Ricci soliton. If $W$ is a concircular vector field then $M$ is Einstein.
The concept of conformal Killing on a Riemannian manifolds was introduced by Tachibana in [25].
Definition 4.7 A vector field $W$ on a Riemannian manifold is called conformal Killing vector if the Lie derivative satisfy

$$
\mathcal{L}_{W} g=\mu g
$$

for a function $\mu$.

- If $\mu=0 \Longrightarrow$ the vector field is a Killing vector
- If $\mu=2 c$ is constant the vector field is homothetic.

Theorem 4.8 Ricci soliton in complex Sasakian manifolds with conformal Killing vector field
i) If $\mu=-8 n$ then the Ricci soliton is steady,
ii) If $\mu>-8 n$ then the Ricci soliton is expanding
iii) If $\mu<-8 n$ then the Ricci soliton is shrinking.

Corollary 4.9 If a conformal Killing vector field $W$ is the potential vector field of the Ricci soliton in complex Sasakian manifolds then $W$ is homothetic vector field.
Theorem 4.10 Let $M$ be a complex Sasakian manifold and $(g, W, \lambda)$ a Ricci soliton. If $W$ is conformal killing vector field then $M$ is an Einstein.
Theorem 4.11 A Ricci soliton in complex Sasakian manifolds satisfying $\rho(U, X) R=0$ and $\rho(V, X) R=0$ is always expanding.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, studies on umbrella matrices will be discussed. Erdoğan Esin's work with umbrella matrices will be mentioned.
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## 1. Introduction

This study has been prepared on umbrella matrices and consists of three sections. In the first section Ertugrul Özdamar's doctoral thesis named "Lie Group of Umbrella Matrices and Differential Geometry", who is the first person to work on umbrella matrices in the literature will be discussed. In this section, after the definition of umbrella matrices in real and complex spaces, the Lie Group structure of umbrella matrices and the Lie algebraic structure of the Lie group is examined.
In the second section, Nuri Kuruoğlu's work, "On The Lie Group of Umbrella Matrices", is discussed. This section shows that the umbrella matrix group is a subgroup of $G L(n, \mathbb{R})$. Also, Double umbrella matrices and Lie group are mentioned.
In the third section, Erdoğan Esin's doctoral dissertation titled "Motions Along a Curve and Umbrella Matrices" was written on a problem about the kinematics of umbrella matrices in correspondence between Hasan Hilmi Hacısalihoğlu and Oene Bottema was examined. This review was handled by Erdogan Esin's work "Umbrella Matrices and Higher Curvatures of Curve". In this section, an (nxn)-type umbrella matrix is obtained using the curvature matrix of the curve-hypersurface couple in the Cayley Formula, and the relationship between the Darboux matrix of the umbrella motion and the curvature matrix is given. Then, an infinitesimal umbrella motion is obtained using the umbrella matrix. In addition, Yusuf Yaylı's also contribution to umbrella matrices is in his doctoral thesis. In this thesis, quaternions are discussed. Hamilton motions and Lie groups were analyzed. Umbrella matrices were obtained from Hamilton's motions with the help of the Cayley Formula.

## 2. Ertuğrul Özdamar's Contributions to The Subject

Firstly, let us start by defining the umbrella matrix.
Definition 2.1 The orthogonal matrix $A \in O(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $A S=S$ is called an umbrella matrix, where $S=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 \ldots & 1\end{array}\right]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{n}$ and the set of umbrella matrices $A(n, \mathbb{C})$.
This definition above is of course similar for $\mathbb{R}$ and we may called $A(n)$ is the set of umbrella matrices. So we are talking about an orthogonal rotation matrix, which is a matrix that keeps the $S=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 \ldots & 1\end{array}\right]^{T}$ axis fixed and rotates everything around this axis. We may give some situations these matrices have brought to the following [2].
Theorem 2.2 Let $A(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the set of umbrella matrices, then

- $A(n, \mathbb{C}) \subseteq O(n, C)$ is subgroup.
- $A(n) \subseteq S O(n)$ is subgroup.
- $A(n)$ and $A(n, \mathbb{C})$ are the Lie group.
- The $A(n)$ Lie group is compact and connected [2].

We can also talk about the Lie algebra of this group. The umbrella Lie group $A(n)$ has a Lie algebraic structure, and let us denote it by $\chi(A(n))$. Let the lie algebra of the $O(n-1)$ orthogonal group be $\chi(O(n-1))$. It is known that $g l(n-1, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the space of skew-symmetric matrices $\chi(O(n-1))$. Ertugrul Ozdamar showed that there is a Lie algebra isomorphism between $\chi(O(n-1))$ and $\chi(A(n))$.
Theorem 2.3 There is a Lie algebra isomorphism between the Lie algebras $\chi(A(n))$ and $\chi(O(n-1))$.

Therefore, A Lie algebra isomorphism can be established between $g l(n-1, \mathbb{R})$ and $\chi(A(n))$.
Now let us consider group $A(n-1)$ as a subgroup in $A(n)$. Hence, for each $A \in$ A( $n-1$ )

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \in A(n)
$$

Moreover, for the matrix

$$
S=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n-1} & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

we may give the $\sigma$ transformation following

$$
\sigma: A(n) \longrightarrow A(n)
$$

This $\sigma$ be defined as $\sigma(A)=S A S^{-1}$. Thus, the following theorem can be mentioned [2].
Theorem $2.4(A(n), A(n-1), \sigma)$ is a symmetric space [2].

Definition 2.5 The symmetric space $(A(n), A(n-1), \sigma)$ is called Umbrella symmetric space [2].
Definition 2.6 The symmetric Lie algebra that the Umbrella symmetric space denotes is called Umbrella symmetric Lie algebra [2].

## 3. Nuri Kuruoğlu's Contributions to The Subject

Let us start by giving the umbrella matrix definition in this section in a different way from def.().
Theorem 3.1 A matrix $A \in G L(n, \mathbb{R})$ is Umbrella Matrix, if

$$
A S=S
$$

where $S=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 \ldots & 1\end{array}\right]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{n}$ and []$^{T}$ denotes the transpose of a matrix and $G L(n, R)$ is the set of all $n \times n$, nonsingular matrices. The set of Umbrella Matrices will be denoted by $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{n})$ [3].
In this section, some crucial theorems can be given for $H(n)$, which is defined as the set of umbrella matrices.
Theorem 3.2 Let $A(n) \subseteq S O(n)$ is the set of umbrella matrices, then

- $A(n) \subseteq H(n)$ is subgroup.
- $A(n)$ is Lie group of $H(n)$ [3].

Next, we will give a definition that brings a different approach to umbrella matrices from Nuri Kuruoğlu's doctoral thesis.
Definition 3.3 $A$ matrix $A \in G L(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a Double Umbrella matrix if

$$
\begin{gathered}
A S=S \\
A^{T} S=S
\end{gathered}
$$

where $S=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 \ldots & 1\end{array}\right]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{n},[]^{T}$ denotes the transpose of matrix and $G L(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the set of all $n \times n$, nonsingular matrices. The set Double Umbrella matrices is denoted by $D U(n)$. [4]
Theorem 3.4 Let $D U(n)$ is the set of Double Umbrella matrices, then

- $A(n) \subseteq D U(n)$ is subgroup.
- $D U(n) \subseteq H(n)$ is subgroup.
- $D U(n)$ is Lie group of $H(n)$.
- $D U(n)$ is a Lie subgroup of $G L(n, \mathbb{R})[3]$.


## 4. Erdoğan Esin's Contributions to The Subject

In this part, we will discuss Erdogan Esin's paper titled "Umbrella Matrices And Higher Curvature Of A Curve", which reviews his doctoral thesis. While doing this review, we will also talk about some basic information. Firstly, let us start by giving the curvature matrix of the curve on a hypersurface.
Let M be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{E}^{n}$ and $\alpha$ curve on M . The derivative formulas of the natural frame field $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{X_{1}} X_{i} & =X_{i}^{\prime}=-k_{(i-1) g} X_{i-1}+k_{i g} X_{i+1}+I I\left(X_{1}, X_{i}\right) X_{n} \\
D_{X_{1}} X_{n} & =-I I\left(X_{1}, X_{1}\right) X_{1}-I I\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{2}-\ldots-I I\left(X_{1}, X_{n-1}\right) X_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $k_{0 g}=k_{(n-1) g}=0$. In the matrix form, these derivative formulas become

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{1}^{\prime} \\
X_{2}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
X_{n-1}^{\prime} \\
X_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & k_{1 g} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & I I\left(X_{1}, X_{1}\right) \\
-k_{1 g} & 0 & k_{2 g} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & I I\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -k_{(n-2) g} & 0 & I I\left(X_{1}, X_{n-1}\right) \\
-I I\left(X_{1}, X_{1}\right) & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & -I I\left(X_{1}, X_{n-1}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

or simply

$$
X^{\prime}=K(x) X
$$

The matrix $K(x)$ in called the (higher) curvature matrix of the pair $(\alpha, M)$ [6].

We assume that the directions $X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots, X_{n-2}$ of the natural frame field $X=$ $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ are conjugate directions with the tanget direction $X_{1}$ for a curve $\alpha$ which is different from the line of curvature on a hypersurface $M$ in $E^{n}$. Then the higher curvature matrix can be written in the form

$$
K(X)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & k_{1 g} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & I I\left(X_{1}, X_{1}\right)  \tag{1}\\
-k_{1 g} & 0 & k_{2 g} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -k_{2 g} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -k_{(n-2) g} & 0 & I I\left(X_{1}, X_{n-1}\right) \\
-I I\left(X_{1}, X_{1}\right) & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & -I I\left(X_{1}, X_{n-1}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

since

$$
I I\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=I I\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right)=\ldots=I I\left(X_{1}, X_{n-2}\right)=0
$$

Let us write

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{i g} & =b_{i+2} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n-2) \\
I I\left(X_{1}, X_{n-1}\right) & =b_{1} \\
I I\left(X_{1}, X_{1}\right) & =b_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the equality (1), with respect to the elements $b_{j}(1 \leq j \leq n)$, takes the form

$$
K(X)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & b_{3} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -b_{2}  \tag{2}\\
-b_{3} & 0 & b_{4} & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -b_{4} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -b_{n} & 0 & b_{1} \\
b_{2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -b_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let A be any $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix one of the characteristic values of which is not -1 . Then $A$ can always be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(I_{n}-B\right)^{-1}\left(I_{n}+B\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is as $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix. The formula (3) is known as the Cayley formula. Now taking $K(X)$ instead of $B$ in this formula we obtain the following theorem [1].
Theorem 4.1 For $n \geq 3$, if $b_{1}=b_{2}=\ldots=b_{n}=c$ then the orthogonal matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(I_{n}-K(X)\right)^{-1}\left(I_{n}+K(X)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

one of the charaeteristic values of which is not -1 , is an umbrella matrix [1].
Next, we will give examples of surfaces formed by a $(3 \times 3)$-type curvature matrix and an orbital curve.
Example 4.2 The curvature matrix of an $\alpha$ curve in 3-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ has the form

$$
K(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \kappa_{g} & -\kappa_{n} \\
-\kappa_{g} & 0 & \tau_{g} \\
\kappa_{n} & -\tau_{g} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

If we take $\kappa_{g}=\kappa_{n}=\tau_{g}=u$, we get

$$
K(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & u & -u \\
-u & 0 & u \\
u & -u & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and using Cayley's formula, the orthogonal matrix

$$
A=\frac{1}{1+u^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1-u^{2} & 2\left(u^{2}+u\right) & 2\left(u^{2}-u\right) \\
2\left(u^{2}-u\right) & 1-u^{2} & 2\left(u^{2}+u\right) \\
2\left(u^{2}+u\right) & 2\left(u^{2}-u\right) & 1-u^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is an umbrella matrix [1].
Let we consider $A$ umbrella matrix with the orbit curve $\alpha(v)=(\sin v, v, 0)$, then we obtain the following surface

$$
H(u, v)=\left(\frac{\left.\left(1-u^{2}\right) \sin v+\left(2 u^{2}+2 u\right) v\right)}{1+3 u^{2}}, \frac{\left.\left(2 u^{2}-2 u\right) \sin v+\left(1-u^{2}\right) v\right)}{1+3 u^{2}}, \frac{\left(2 u^{2}+2 u\right) \sin v+\left(2 u^{2}-2 u\right)}{1+3 u^{2}}\right.
$$



FİG. 1. $H$ Umbrella Surface
The picture of the surface of $H$ is rendered in Figure 1. In addition, considering the orbital curve $\beta(v)=\left(v, v^{3}, 0\right)$, we obtain the following surface

$$
G(u, v)=\left(\frac{\left.\left(1-u^{2}\right) v+\left(2 u^{2}+2 u\right) v^{3}\right)}{1+3 u^{2}}, \frac{\left.\left(2 u^{2}-2 u\right) v+\left(1-u^{2}\right) v^{3}\right)}{1+3 u^{2}}, \frac{\left.\left(2 u^{2}+2 u\right) v+\left(2 u^{2}-2 u\right) v^{3}\right)}{1+3 u^{2}}\right) .
$$



The picture of the surface of $H$ is rendered in Figure.

Now we may give a relation between the Darboux matrix of the umbrella motion and the higher curvature matrix by the following theorem.
Theorem 4.3 Let $W(A)$ be the Darboux matrix of the umbrella motion, where A is given by (4), and $K(X)$ be the higher curvature matrix. Then

$$
W(A)=\frac{2 c^{\prime}}{c}\left(I_{n}-K(X)\right)^{-1} K(X)\left(I_{n}+K(X)\right)^{-1}
$$

where $c=c(s)$ [1].
We will talk about the definition of infinitesimal transformation. An infinitesimal linear transformation is defined as a transformation whose matrix is

$$
A=I_{n}+\varepsilon\left[b_{i j}\right]
$$

where $\left[b_{i j}\right]$ skew-symmetric matrix and $\varepsilon$ denotes an infinitesimal quantity of the first order [7]. Thus, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 4.4 Let $W(A)$ be the Darboux matrix of the umbrella motion, where $A$ is given by (4). Then the matrix $I_{n}+W(A) d s$ is also an infinitesimal umbrella matrix [1].

## Conclusion

As mentioned in all these studies, the kinematic applications of umbrella matrices come to the fore. Here, some umbrella matrices were obtained from some specially selected skew-symmetric matrices with the help of Cayley's Formula. We will answer the question of how skew-symmetric matrices will be in such a way as to obtain all umbrella matrices with a study in the future.
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